

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FACT SHEET i

PREFACEvii

TABLE OF CONTENTSxv

S SUMMARYS-1

 S.1 Introduction and BackgroundS-1

1 PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES 1-1

 1.1 Introduction..... 1-1

 1.1.1 The Fresno to Bakersfield HSR Project..... 1-1

 1.1.2 Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative 1-5

 1.1.3 F-B LGA Supplemental EIR/EIS 1-5

 1.2 Purpose, Need, and Objectives 1-10

 1.2.1 Purpose and Need of HSR System, Fresno to Bakersfield Section, and F-B LGA 1-10

 1.2.2 CEQA Project Objectives of the HSR System, Fresno to Bakersfield Section, and F-B LGA 1-12

 1.3 Relationship to Other Transportation Projects, Agency Plans, Policies, and Programs..... 1-12

2 F-B LGA DESCRIPTION 2-1

 2.1 Fresno to Bakersfield Section and the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS 2-1

 2.1.1 Development of the F-B LGA..... 2-2

 2.1.2 Approved Activities North of Poplar Avenue..... 2-3

 2.1.3 Retain Design-Build Contractors, Develop Design, Begin Construction, Continued Work with Stakeholders and Public 2-3

 2.1.4 Implement Habitat Mitigation 2-4

 2.1.5 Study Electrical Interconnections and Network Upgrades..... 2-4

 2.1.6 Ongoing Collaboration with Local Agencies 2-4

 2.2 Potential Alternatives Considered During Alternatives Screening Process 2-4

 2.2.1 No Project Alternative 2-5

 2.3 May 2014 Project 2-6

 2.4 Locally Generated Alternative 2-6

 2.4.1 Alignment Requirements 2-9

 2.4.2 F-B LGA Adjacency to the BNSF Railway and Union Pacific Railroad..... 2-20

 2.4.3 North-South Alignment..... 2-20

 2.4.4 F-B LGA F Street Station 2-27

 2.4.5 Other Alignment Requirements 2-34

 2.5 Modification of California Department of Transportation/State Facilities 2-39

 2.6 City and County Roadway Reconfigurations 2-40

 2.7 Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts 2-41

 2.8 Operations and Service Plan 2-42

 2.9 Maintenance Activities 2-42

 2.10 Construction Plan and Phased Implementation Strategy 2-43

 2.11 Authority’s Adopted Requirements Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 2-45

 2.12 Permits and Approvals 2-45

3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES 3.1-1

 3.1 Introduction..... 3.1-1

 3.1.1 Purpose of Chapter 3..... 3.1-1

 3.1.2 Organization of Chapter 3..... 3.1-2

- 3.1.3 Content of Chapter 3..... 3.1-3
- 3.1.4 Legal Authority to Implement Off-site Mitigation 3.1-7
- 3.2 Transportation 3.2-1
 - 3.2.1 Regulatory Setting 3.2-1
 - 3.2.2 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.2-2
 - 3.2.3 Affected Environment..... 3.2-5
 - 3.2.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.2-43
 - 3.2.5 Avoidance and Minimization Measures 3.2-83
 - 3.2.6 Mitigation..... 3.2-84
- 3.3 Air Quality and Global Climate Change 3.3-1
 - 3.3.1 Regulatory Setting 3.3-1
 - 3.3.2 Pollutants for Analysis..... 3.3-5
 - 3.3.3 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.3-6
 - 3.3.4 Affected Environment..... 3.3-18
 - 3.3.5 Environmental Consequences..... 3.3-27
 - 3.3.6 Compliance with Conformity Rules..... 3.3-44
 - 3.3.7 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Measures 3.3-46
 - 3.3.8 Mitigation Measures 3.3-46
- 3.4 Noise and Vibration 3.4-1
 - 3.4.1 Regulatory Setting 3.4-1
 - 3.4.2 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.4-2
 - 3.4.3 Affected Environment..... 3.4-9
 - 3.4.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.4-14
 - 3.4.5 Avoidance and Minimization Measures 3.4-41
 - 3.4.6 Mitigation Measures 3.4-42
- 3.5 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference..... 3.5-1
 - 3.5.1 Regulatory Setting 3.5-2
 - 3.5.2 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.5-3
 - 3.5.3 Affected Environment..... 3.5-4
 - 3.5.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.5-7
 - 3.5.5 Avoidance and Minimization Measures 3.5-13
 - 3.5.6 Mitigation Measures 3.5-13
- 3.6 Public Utilities and Energy 3.6-1
 - 3.6.1 Regulatory Setting 3.6-1
 - 3.6.2 Methods of Evaluation of Impacts..... 3.6-3
 - 3.6.3 Affected Environment..... 3.6-5
 - 3.6.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.6-17
 - 3.6.5 Avoidance and Minimization Measures 3.6-36
 - 3.6.6 Mitigation Measures..... 3.6-36
- 3.7 Biological Resources and Wetlands..... 3.7-1
 - 3.7.1 Regulatory Setting 3.7-1
 - 3.7.2 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.7-5
 - 3.7.3 Affected Environment..... 3.7-17
 - 3.7.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.7-69
 - 3.7.5 Avoidance and Minimization Measures 3.7-87
- 3.8 Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-1
 - 3.8.1 Regulatory Setting 3.8-1
 - 3.8.2 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.8-3
 - 3.8.3 Affected Environment..... 3.8-9
 - 3.8.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.8-28
 - 3.8.5 Avoidance and Minimization Measures and Mitigation Measures..... 3.8-40
- 3.9 Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-1
 - 3.9.1 Regulatory Setting 3.9-1
 - 3.9.2 Methods for Evaluating Impacts 3.9-3
 - 3.9.3 Affected Environment..... 3.9-4
 - 3.9.4 Environmental Consequences..... 3.9-17

3.9.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.9-33
3.9.6	Mitigation Measures	3.9-35
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-1
3.10.1	Regulatory Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.10-2
3.10.3	Affected Environment.....	3.10-4
3.10.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-31
3.10.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.10-40
3.10.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-41
3.11	Safety and Security	3.11-1
3.11.1	Regulatory Setting	3.11-2
3.11.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.11-4
3.11.3	Affected Environment.....	3.11-5
3.11.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-17
3.11.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.11-31
3.11.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-32
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-1
3.12.1	Regulatory Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.12-2
3.12.3	Affected Environment.....	3.12-7
3.12.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-34
3.12.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.12-62
3.12.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-63
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-1
3.13.1	Regulatory Setting	3.13-1
3.13.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.13-2
3.13.3	Affected Environment.....	3.13-4
3.13.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-9
3.13.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.13-20
3.13.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.13-21
3.14	Agricultural Land	3.14-1
3.14.1	Regulatory Setting	3.14-1
3.14.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.14-3
3.14.3	Affected Environment.....	3.14-5
3.14.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.14-20
3.14.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.14-39
3.14.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.14-40
3.15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Regulatory Setting	3.15-1
3.15.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.15-2
3.15.3	Affected Environment.....	3.15-4
3.15.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.15-13
3.15.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.15-24
3.15.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-26
3.16	Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....	3.16-1
3.16.1	Regulatory Setting	3.16-1
3.16.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.16-2
3.16.3	Affected Environment.....	3.16-6
3.16.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.16-47
3.16.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.16-82
3.16.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3.16-82
3.17	Cultural Resources.....	3.17-1
3.17.1	Regulatory Setting	3.17-2
3.17.2	Methods for Evaluating Effects/Impacts	3.17-3
3.17.3	Affected Environment.....	3.17-23
3.17.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.17-34

3.17.5	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3.17-44
3.17.6	Mitigation Measures	3.17-46
3.17.7	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.17-49
3.18	Regional Growth	3.18-1
3.18.1	Regulatory Setting	3.18-1
3.18.2	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.18-2
3.18.3	Affected Environment	3.18-5
3.18.4	Environmental Consequences	3.18-11
3.19	Cumulative Impacts	3.19-1
3.19.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.19-1
3.19.2	Methods	3.19-1
3.19.3	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts	3.19-2
3.19.4	Analysis of Cumulative Impacts	3.19-3
4	SECTION 4(F)/6(F) EVALUATION	4-1
4.1	Regulatory Setting	4-1
4.1.1	Federal	4-1
4.2	Affected Environment	4-2
4.2.1	Summary of the May 2014 Project Affected Environment	4-2
4.2.2	Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative	4-3
4.3	Environmental Consequences	4-27
4.3.1	Summary of Analysis for the May 2014 Project	4-27
4.3.2	Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative	4-27
4.4	Measures to Minimize Harm	4-49
4.4.1	Measures to Minimize Harm from the May 2014 Project	4-49
4.4.2	F-B LGA Measures to Minimize Harm	4-49
5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Regulatory Setting	5-1
5.2.1	Federal	5-1
5.2.2	State	5-3
5.2.3	Regional and Local	5-4
5.3	Methodology for Data Collection and the Identification of Low-Income and Minority Communities	5-4
5.3.1	Data Collection	5-4
5.3.2	Identification of Minority and Low-Income Communities	5-5
5.4	Affected Environment	5-7
5.4.1	Reference Community and Resource Study Area Definition	5-7
5.4.2	Summary of the May 2014 Project Affected Environment	5-10
5.4.3	Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative	5-13
5.5	Engagement with Potential Environmental Justice Populations	5-15
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities	5-15
5.5.2	Issues and Concerns	5-21
5.6	Assessment of Adverse Effects and Disparate Impacts	5-21
5.6.1	Methodology for the Assessment of Adverse Effects and Disparate Impacts	5-22
5.6.2	Summary of Analysis for the May 2014 Project	5-22
5.6.3	Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative	5-33
5.6.4	Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects	5-47
5.6.5	Project Benefits	5-49
5.7	Measures to Minimize Harm	5-50
5.7.1	Mitigation Measures and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	5-50
5.7.2	F-B LGA Enhancements	5-51
5.8	Environmental Justice Determination	5-51

6 PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS 6-1

6.1 Introduction..... 6-1

6.2 Capital Costs 6-1

6.2.1 High-Speed Rail Alternatives 6-2

6.3 Operation and Maintenance Costs..... 6-3

6.3.1 Operating Speeds 6-4

6.3.2 Travel Times 6-4

6.3.3 Development of Operation and Maintenance Costs 6-4

7 OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS 7-1

7.1 Unavoidable Adverse Potentially Significant Impacts 7-1

7.2 Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity 7-2

7.3 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes That Would Result from the F-B LGA Implementation..... 7-3

8 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE 8-1

8.1 Summary of Comments 8-5

8.1.1 Public Information Meetings 8-6

8.1.2 Federal, State, Regional, and Other Public Agencies 8-7

8.1.3 Technical Working Group and Agency Coordination..... 8-7

8.1.4 Tribal Consultation 8-8

8.2 Alternatives Considered 8-8

8.3 Preliminary Preferred Alternative 8-9

8.4 Preferred Alternative 8-10

8.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative 8-14

8.6 Environmentally Preferable Alternative 8-14

8.7 Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative 8-14

9 PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT 9-1

9.1 Environmental Justice Outreach 9-2

9.2 Public and Agency Scoping 9-3

9.3 Outreach During the Development of the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement 9-9

9.3.1 Public Information Materials and Meetings..... 9-9

9.3.2 Technical Working Group Meetings..... 9-9

9.3.3 Agency Meetings and Consultation 9-10

9.4 Notification and Circulation of the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement 9-10

10 EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION 10-1

10.1 F-B LGA Repository Locations..... 10-1

10.2 Fresno to Bakersfield FEIR/EIS Repository Locations 10-1

10.3 Federal Agencies 10-5

10.4 State Agencies 10-6

10.5 Elected Officials..... 10-8

10.5.1 Federal Elected Officials 10-8

10.5.2 State Elected Officials..... 10-8

10.6 Regional/Local Agencies..... 10-11

10.7 Organizations and Businesses..... 10-13

10.8 Native American Contacts..... 10-15

10.9 Schools and Districts..... 10-16

11 LIST OF PREPARERS 11-1

11.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority..... 11-1

11.2 Federal Railroad Administration..... 11-1

11.3 List of Consultants..... 11-2

12 REFERENCES AND SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION 12-1

13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS..... 13-1

14 INDEX 14-1

15 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS..... 15-1

Tables

Table 2-1 Design Features of the F-B LGA2-19

Table 2-2 HSR System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year).....2-41

Table 2-3 Major Environmental Permits and Approvals2-45

Table 3.2-1 Trip Generation for the Maintenance of Infrastructure Facility 3.2-3

Table 3.2-2 Year 2035 Forecast Vehicle Trip Generation at Bakersfield Station Area 3.2-3

Table 3.2-3 Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service D, E or F under Existing
Conditions – City of Shafter..... 3.2-13

Table 3.2-4 Roadway Segments Operating at Levels-of-Service D, E or F under Existing
Conditions – Kern County..... 3.2-18

Table 3.2-5 Existing Peak Hour Intersection Levels-of-Service – Kern County 3.2-21

Table 3.2-6 Existing Intersection Levels-of-Service – City of Bakersfield 3.2-25

Table 3.2-7 Roadway Segments Operating at Levels-of-Service D, E or F under Existing
Conditions..... 3.2-35

Table 3.2-8 Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service D, E or F under Existing
Conditions..... 3.2-41

Table 3.2-9 Existing Golden Empire Transit Bus Routes and Weekday Service Frequency ..3.2-42

Table 3.2-10 Year 2035 No Project Roadway Segments Operating at Levels-of-Service E
or F – City of Shafter 3.2-48

Table 3.2-11 Year 2035 No Project Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service E or F –
City of Shafter 3.2-48

Table 3.2-12 Year 2035 No Project Roadway Segments Operating at Levels-of-Service E
or F – Kern County 3.2-49

Table 3.2-13 Year 2035 No Project Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service E or F –
Kern County..... 3.2-50

Table 3.2-14 Year 2035 No Project Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service E or F –
City of Bakersfield..... 3.2-50

Table 3.2-15 Year 2035 No Project Roadway Segments Operating at Levels-of-Service
E or F..... 3.2-51

Table 3.2-16 Year 2035 No Project Intersections Operating at Levels-of-Service E or F 3.2-52

Table 3.2-17 Year 2035 No Project Peak Hour Highway Segment Levels-of-Service 3.2-53

Table 3.2-18 Future (2035) Plus F-B LGA Roadway Segment Analysis – City of Shafter 3.2-69

Table 3.2-19 Future (2035) Plus F-B LGA Roadway Segment Analysis – Kern County..... 3.2-70

Table 3.2-20 Intersections Existing Plus Project F-B LGA Levels-of-Service Summary –
City of Shafter 3.2-71

Table 3.2-21 Intersections Future (2035) Plus Project Levels-of-Service Summary – City of Shafter 3.2-71

Table 3.2-22 Intersections Existing Plus Project F-B LGA Levels-of-Service Summary – Kern County..... 3.2-72

Table 3.2-23 Intersections Future (2035) Plus Project Levels-of-Service Summary – Kern County 3.2-73

Table 3.2-24 Intersection Existing Plus Project F-B LGA Levels-of-Service Summary – City of Bakersfield..... 3.2-74

Table 3.2-25 Intersection Future Plus Project Levels-of-Service Summary – City of Bakersfield 3.2-74

Table 3.2-26 Existing Plus Project F-B LGA Bakersfield Station Area Roadway Segment Analysis 3.2-75

Table 3.2-27 Future (2035) Plus Project F-B LGA Bakersfield Station Area Roadway Segment Analysis..... 3.2-76

Table 3.2-28 Existing Plus Project F-B LGA Bakersfield Station Area Intersection Analysis 3.2-79

Table 3.2-29 Future (2035) Plus Project F-B LGA Bakersfield Station Area Intersection Levels-of-Service..... 3.2-80

Table 3.2-30 Transportation Measures 3.2-85

Table 3.2-31 Transportation Mitigation Measures 3.2-87

Table 3.2-32 Mitigation Measures Specifically Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.2-88

Table 3.3-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 3.3-1

Table 3.3-2 General Conformity Thresholds..... 3.3-17

Table 3.3-3 SJVAPCD CEQA Construction and Operational Thresholds of Significance 3.3-18

Table 3.3-4 Ambient Criterial Pollutant Concentration Data at Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the Project 3.3-23

Table 3.3-5 Federal and State Attainment Status..... 3.3-25

Table 3.3-6 Federal and State Attainment Status..... 3.3-25

Table 3.3-7 Distance Between Sensitive Receptors and the F Street Station 3.3-27

Table 3.3-8 Total F-B HSR Unmitigated Construction Emissions –Total (tons)..... 3.3-29

Table 3.3-9 Programmatic Construction Emissions: F-B LGA (tons/year) 3.3-30

Table 3.3-10 F-B LGA CO₂e Unmitigated Construction Emissions (metric tons/year)..... 3.3-34

Table 3.3-11 Summary of Estimated 2035 Emission Burden Changes (Project versus No Project 2035) (tons/year) 3.3-38

Table 3.3-12 Summary of Estimated 2009 Statewide Emission Burden Changes (Existing Plus Project versus Existing Conditions – 2009) (tons/year) 3.3-39

Table 3.3-13 Summary of Regional Changes in Operational Emissions in (Project versus No Project 2035) (tons/year) 3.3-39

Table 3.3-14 Maximum Modeled CO Concentrations at Intersections near the F-B LGA Station..... 3.3-43

Table 3.3-15 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.3-47

Table 3.4-1 Federal Rail Administration Construction Noise Assessment Criteria..... 3.4-3

Table 3.4-2 Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-3

Table 3.4-3 Interim Criteria for High-Speed Rail Noise Effects on Animals 3.4-4

Table 3.4-4 FRA Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria..... 3.4-5

Table 3.4-5 FRA Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings 3.4-5

Table 3.4-6 Land Use Categories and Metrics for High-Speed Rail Noise Impact Criteria 3.4-6

Table 3.4-7 Screening Distances for Noise Assessments 3.4-8

Table 3.4-8 Vibration Impact Screening Distances..... 3.4-9

Table 3.4-9 Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels 3.4-15

Table 3.4-10 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from Construction Activities for the High-Speed Rail Corridor 3.4-17

Table 3.4-11 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from Roadway Construction Activities 3.4-19

Table 3.4-12 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from Station Construction Activities 3.4-20

Table 3.4-13 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from MOIF Construction Activities 3.4-21

Table 3.4-14 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from TPSS Construction Activities 3.4-21

Table 3.4-15 Distances to Federal Railroad Administration Noise Impact Contours from Electric Power Utility Improvements 3.4-22

Table 3.4-16 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 3.4-23

Table 3.4-17 Distances to Construction Vibration Annoyance Criteria..... 3.4-24

Table 3.4-18 Distances to Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-24

Table 3.4-19 HSR Operational and Geometric Assumptions 3.4-27

Table 3.4-20 Noise Impact Summary Without Mitigation..... 3.4-28

Table 3.4-21 Impact on Schools 3.4-31

Table 3.4-22 Screening Distances for Noise Effects on Wildlife and Domestic Animals 3.4-32

Table 3.4-23 Location of Transfer Mobility Measurement Sites 3.4-33

Table 3.4-24 Distances to Vibration Criterion Level Contours..... 3.4-35

Table 3.4-25 Vibration Impacts 3.4-35

Table 3.4-26 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.4-42

Table 3.4-27 Noise Barrier Analysis 3.4-47

Table 3.4-28 Severe Residual Impacts with Mitigation 3.4-49

Table 3.4-29 Potential Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions..... 3.4-55

Table 3.5-1 Basic EMF-EMI Comparison of the May 2014 Project with F-B LGA..... 3.5-5

Table 3.6-1 Study Area Utility and Energy Providers 3.6-6

Table 3.6-2 Wastewater Treatment Plants 3.6-8

Table 3.6-3 Landfill Facility Summary for Kern County 3.6-9

Table 3.6-4 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2011 3.6-10

Table 3.6-5 Construction Water Use Summary 3.6-21

Table 3.6-6 Existing Water Use for the F-B LGA 3.6-22

Table 3.6-7 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the F-B LGA..... 3.6-25

Table 3.6-8 Operational Water Demand Summary 3.6-32

Table 3.7-1 Federal Laws and Regulations 3.7-2

Table 3.7-2 State Laws and Regulations 3.7-6

Table 3.7-3 Special-Status Plant Species with Potential to Occur in the Special-Status Plant Study Area..... 3.7-26

Table 3.7-4 Special-Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in the Habitat Study Area 3.7-30

Table 3.7-5 Approximate Number of Trees in the Special-Status Plant Study Area 3.7-62

Table 3.7-6 Direct Impacts on Special-Status Plant Species 3.7-76

Table 3.7-7 Direct Impacts on Special-Status Wildlife Species 3.7-77

Table 3.7-8 Direct Impacts on Special-Status Plant Communities 3.7-81

Table 3.7-9 Direct Impacts on Jurisdictional Waters 3.7-82

Table 3.7-10 Impacts on Protected Trees..... 3.7-84

Table 3.7-11 Avoidance and Minimization Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA 3.7-88

Table 3.7-12 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.7-88

Table 3.7-13 Mitigation Measures Partially or Not Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.7-90

Table 3.7-14 Mitigation Measures Identified in the 2017 USFWS Biological Opinion Applicable to the F-B LGA 3.7-93

Table 3.8-1 Districts Supplying Water that Potentially Have Infrastructure Crossing the F-B LGA..... 3.8-16

Table 3.8-2 Surface Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters in the Tulare Lake Basin 3.8-20

Table 3.8-3 Groundwater Basin Crossed by the F-B LGA..... 3.8-22

Table 3.8-4 Groundwater Quality Objectives for Groundwaters in the Tulare Lake Basin 3.8-25

Table 3.8-5 FEMA Flood Hazard Zone Designations in the Study Area 3.8-27

Table 3.8-6 Acres Disturbed During Construction of the F-B LGA 3.8-30

Table 3.8-7 F-B LGA Waterbody Crossings 3.8-34

Table 3.8-8 Acres of New Impervious Surface Area..... 3.8-36

Table 3.8-9 Floodplains Crossed by the F-B LGA 3.8-39

Table 3.8-10 Avoidance and Minimization Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA 3.8-41

Table 3.8-11 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.8-44

Table 3.9-1 Summary of Mapped Surficial Geologic Units 3.9-8

Table 3.9-2 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.9-36

Table 3.10-1 Landfills within 0.25 Mile of the Construction Footprint 3.10-24

Table 3.10-2 Educational Facilities within 0.25 Mile of the Construction Footprint 3.10-30

Table 3.10-3 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.10-41

Table 3.11-1 Fire Departments and Equipment in the F-B LGA Study Area.....	3.11-6
Table 3.11-2 Airports, Airstrips, and Heliports within 2 Miles of the F-B LGA Centerline.....	3.11-11
Table 3.11-3 Educational Facilities within 0.25 Mile of the F-B LGA Construction Footprint	3.11-12
Table 3.11-4 Temporary Road Closures for the F-B LGA	3.11-19
Table 3.11-5 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA.....	3.11-33
Table 3.11-6 Mitigation Measures Solely Applicable to the F-B LGA.....	3.11-34
Table 3.12-1 Total Population in 2000 and 2010.....	3.12-12
Table 3.12-2 Total Hispanic and Latino Population in 2000 and 2010.....	3.12-13
Table 3.12-3 Minority Group Representation in the Region	3.12-14
Table 3.12-4 Age Distribution in 2000 and 2010	3.12-15
Table 3.12-5 Median Household Income in 1999 and 2012.....	3.12-16
Table 3.12-6 Total Households and Household Size	3.12-17
Table 3.12-7 Type of Households in the Region	3.12-17
Table 3.12-8 Limited English Speaking Households in 2000 and 2013	3.12-19
Table 3.12-9 Percentage of the Population with a Disability by Age Group in 2013.....	3.12-19
Table 3.12-10 Types of Housing Units in the Region in 2000 and 2013	3.12-20
Table 3.12-11 Housing Ownership in 2000 and 2010	3.12-20
Table 3.12-12 Length of Occupancy in 2013.....	3.12-21
Table 3.12-13 Unemployment Rates in 2000 and 2013.....	3.12-23
Table 3.12-14 Employed Civilian Population by Industry in 2013.....	3.12-24
Table 3.12-15 County and City Fiscal Conditions.....	3.12-28
Table 3.12-16 Residential Displacements under the May 2014 Project.....	3.12-37
Table 3.12-17 Commercial and Industrial Relocations under the May 2014 Project.....	3.12-38
Table 3.12-18 Community Facilities Affected by the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative.....	3.12-42
Table 3.12-19 Zoning within the Study Area by Location (in acres).....	3.12-50
Table 3.12-20 Residential Displacement under the F-B LGA.....	3.12-53
Table 3.12-21 Gap Analysis of Residential Displacements under the F-B LGA.....	3.12-53
Table 3.12-22 Property Valuation Comparison – F-B LGA.....	3.12-54
Table 3.12-23 Commercial and Industrial Relocations under the F-B LGA.....	3.12-56
Table 3.12-24 Number of Business Displacements and Available Vacant Business Properties in Kern County – F-B LGA	3.12-56
Table 3.12-25 Sensitive Populations in the Communities Overlain by the Study Area	3.12-59
Table 3.12-26 Property Tax Revenues Lost during Operation – F-B LGA (in 2015 dollars) .	3.12-61
Table 3.12-27 Estimated Annual Sales Tax Losses by Jurisdiction under the F-B LGA (in 2015 dollars)	3.12-61
Table 3.12-28 Annual Sales Tax Revenues during Operation	3.12-62
Table 3.12-29 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA.....	3.12-63

Table 3.12-30 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.12-64

Table 3.13-1 Planned Development in the F-B LGA Station Site Study Area..... 3.13-9

Table 3.13-2 Permanent Land Use Impacts (acres)..... 3.13-12

Table 3.13-3 General Plan Land Use Designations Permanently Affected by the F-B LGA (acres)..... 3.13-13

Table 3.13-4 Acreage of Existing Land Uses and Current Zoning Opportunities in the Bakersfield F Street Station Study Area..... 3.13-19

Table 3.14-1 Important Farmland and Grazing Land in Kern County..... 3.14-7

Table 3.14-2 Farmland Conversions in Kern County from 2008 to 2012 3.14-13

Table 3.14-3 Protected Farmland in Kern County (2015)..... 3.14-13

Table 3.14-4 Direct and Indirect Effects to Important Farmland from the May 2014 Project 3.14-20

Table 3.14-5 Important Farmland Temporarily Used for Project Construction 3.14-21

Table 3.14-6 Important Farmland Permanently Affected by the May 2014 Project..... 3.14-22

Table 3.14-7 Protected Farmland Permanently Affected by the May 2014 Project 3.14-25

Table 3.14-8 Protected Farmland Reduced to less than the Williamson Act Minimum Size. 3.14-25

Table 3.14-9 Important Farmland Temporarily Used for Project Construction 3.14-27

Table 3.14-10 Direct and Indirect Effects to Important Farmland by the F-B LGA 3.14-29

Table 3.14-11 Important Farmland Permanently Affected by the F-B LGA..... 3.14-29

Table 3.14-12 Protected Farmland Permanently Affected by the F-B LGA..... 3.14-37

Table 3.14-13 Protected Farmland Reduced to Less Than the Williamson Act Minimum Size..... 3.14-37

Table 3.14-14 Mitigation Measure Applicable to the F-B LGA and May 2014 Project 3.14-40

Table 3.15-1 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources within 1,000 feet of the F-B LGA Centerline 3.15-9

Table 3.15-2 School District Play Areas and Recreation Facilities within 1,000 feet of the Centerline for the F-B LGA 3.15-10

Table 3.15-3 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources and School District Play Areas and Recreation Facilities in the Study Area for the Shafter Maintenance of Infrastructure Facility 3.15-10

Table 3.15-4 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources and School District Play Areas and Recreation Facilities in the Study Area for the Bakersfield Station Location. 3.15-11

Table 3.15-5 Permanent Acquisition Acreage of Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources..... 3.15-14

Table 3.15-6 Mitigation Measure Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.15-26

Table 3.15-7 Mitigation Measures Specific to the F-B LGA..... 3.15-27

Table 3.16-1 Summary of Aesthetic Impacts at F-B LGA Key Viewpoints 3.16-48

Table 3.16-2 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Impacts at Key Viewpoints 3.16-51

Table 3.16-3 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 3.16-83

Table 3.16-4 Mitigation Measures Specific to the F-B LGA..... 3.16-85

Table 3.16-5 Summary of Visual Resources Impacts after Mitigation..... 3.16-86

Table 3.17-1 Built Resources Identified in the F-B LGA Built Resource Area of Potential Effect that are Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places or California Register of Historical Resources, or that Meet the Definition of a CEQA Historical Resource 3.17-10

Table 3.17-2 Local Agency Consultation and Outreach Efforts..... 3.17-20

Table 3.17-3 Historical Societies and Museums Consulted 3.17-22

Table 3.17-4 Affected Environment of May 2014 Project (Section 106 Historic Properties and CEQA Historical Resources) 3.17-24

Table 3.17-5 Mitigation Measures Applicable to Archaeological Resources in the F-B LGA..... 3.17-47

Table 3.17-6 Mitigation Measures Applicable to Built Resources in the F-B LGA..... 3.17-48

Table 3.17-7 CEQA Significant Impacts Analysis to Historical Resources and Unique Archaeological Resources of the F-B LGA..... 3.17-50

Table 3.18-1 Local Land Use Policies 3.18-2

Table 3.18-2 Population Increase in the F-B LGA Study Area, 2000–2010 3.18-7

Table 3.18-3 Population Projections in the F-B LGA Study Area, 2010–2035 3.18-7

Table 3.18-4 Kern County and Regional Employment by Industry 2000–2022..... 3.18-8

Table 3.18-5 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections, 2013 and 2035..... 3.18-9

Table 3.18-6 Regional Labor Force Characteristics 3.18-10

Table 3.18-7 Existing and Projected Housing Units 3.18-11

Table 3.18-8 May 2014 Project Employment Impacts during Construction..... 3.18-12

Table 3.18-9 Regional Projected and Induced Population and Employment Growth..... 3.18-13

Table 3.18-10 Employment Impacts during Construction 3.18-15

Table 3.18-11 Regional Projected and Induced Population and Employment Growth..... 3.18-17

Table 3.19-1 Summary of Cumulative Impacts..... 3.19-30

Table 4-1 Section 4(f) Consultation 4-9

Table 4-2 Park, Recreation, and Open Space Properties Evaluated for Section 4(f) Use 4-15

Table 4-3 Properties Listed in, or Determined or Recommended Eligible for, the National Register of Historic Places 4-20

Table 4-4 Measures to Minimize Harm 4-50

Table 5-1 Community Demographic Characteristics 5-6

Table 5-2 Minority and Low-Income Percentages in the Reference and Resource Study Area 5-13

Table 5-3 Environmental Justice Impact Comparison between the May 2014 Project and the Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative 5-48

Table 5-4 Mitigation Measures Applicable to the F-B LGA..... 5-51

Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the High-Speed Rail Alternatives 6-1

Table 6-2 Optimal Express Travel Times from Fresno to Bakersfield and Other Cities 6-4

Table 6-3 Annual Phase Full System Operating and Maintenance Costs, Year 2035 (2010 \$Millions) 6-5

Table 6-4 Annual 2035 Operating and Maintenance Costs Apportioned to the Fresno to Bakersfield Section (2010 \$millions)	6-6
Table 6-5 Annual 2035 Operating and Maintenance Costs Apportioned to the May 2014 Project and F-B LGA	6-6
Table 8-1 F-B LGA Systems Sites	8-11
Table 8-2 Natural Resources Impacts Comparison	8-12
Table 8-3 Community Resources Impacts Comparison	8-12
Table 9-1 Public and Agency Meetings	9-3

Figures

Figure S-1 California HSR System Initial Study Corridors.....	S-3
Figure S-2 Fresno to Bakersfield Section project alternatives.....	S-4
Figure S-3 Fresno to Bakersfield Section Final EIR/EIS Preferred Build Alternative	S-5
Figure S-4 May 2014 Project and F-B LGA Alignment Comparison	S-9
Figure 1-1 Statewide HSR System	1-3
Figure 1-2 Fresno to Bakersfield Section Final EIR/EIS Preferred Build Alternative	1-7
Figure 1-3 Locations of F-B Section EIR/EIS Alternatives, F-B LGA, and May 2014 Project.....	1-8
Figure 2-1 F-B LGA and May 2014 Project.....	2-7
Figure 2-2 F-B LGA and Associated Features.....	2-8
Figure 2-3 F-B LGA in Kern County and Shafter	2-11
Figure 2-4 F-B LGA in Shafter and Kern County	2-12
Figure 2-5 F-B LGA in Shafter	2-13
Figure 2-6 F-B LGA in Bakersfield and Oildale.....	2-14
Figure 2-7 F-B LGA in Oildale and Bakersfield.....	2-15
Figure 2-8 F-B LGA in Bakersfield	2-16
Figure 2-9 Straddle Bent Design.....	2-17
Figure 2-10 Cross-Section of F-B LGA within the City of Shafter.....	2-23
Figure 2-11 Cross-Section of F-B LGA within the City of Shafter North of Los Angeles Avenue.....	2-24
Figure 2-12 Cross-Section of F-B LGA within the City of Shafter at Los Angeles Avenue	2-25
Figure 2-13 Viaduct Cross-Section of the F-B LGA within the City of Bakersfield	2-29
Figure 2-14 Bakersfield F Street Station Rendering	2-30
Figure 2-15 Bakersfield F Street Station Conceptual Layout.....	2-31
Figure 2-16 Typical Tower Structure Construction	2-38
Figure 2-17 Typical Conductor Stringing Activities	2-38
Figure 3-1 Shifts of Roadways and Other Infrastructure	3.1-6
Figure 3.2-1 City of Shafter Study Intersections	3.2-11

Figure 3.2-2 City of Shafter Roadway Segments 3.2-15

Figure 3.2-3 City of Shafter Existing Intersection Levels-of-Service 3.2-16

Figure 3.2-4 Kern County Study Intersections 3.2-19

Figure 3.2-5 Kern County Roadway Segments 3.2-20

Figure 3.2-6 Kern County Existing Intersection Levels-of-Service 3.2-23

Figure 3.2-7 City of Bakersfield Study Intersections 3.2-27

Figure 3.2-8 City of Bakersfield Roadway Segments 3.2-28

Figure 3.2-9 City of Bakersfield Existing Intersection Levels-of-Service 3.2-29

Figure 3.2-10 Regionally Significant Roads in Bakersfield 3.2-33

Figure 3.2-11 Bakersfield Station Study Area Roadway Classifications Roadway
Segment Analysis 3.2-34

Figure 3.2-12 Bakersfield Station Area Roadway Segments..... 3.2-37

Figure 3.2-13 Bakersfield Station Area Roadway Segments..... 3.2-38

Figure 3.2-14 Bakersfield Station Area Study Intersections 3.2-39

Figure 3.2-15 Bakersfield Station Area Intersection Levels-of-Service 3.2-40

Figure 3.2-16 Future (2035) Plus Truxtun Avenue Station Intersection Levels-of-Service 3.2-45

Figure 3.2-17 Future (2035) plus Build Peak Hour Intersection Levels-of-Service for the
City of Shafter 3.2-55

Figure 3.2-18 Future (2035) plus Build Peak Hour Intersection Levels-of-Service for Kern
County 3.2-56

Figure 3.2-19 Future (2035) plus Build Peak Hour Intersection Levels-of-Service for the
City of Bakersfield..... 3.2-57

Figure 3.2-20 Future (2035) plus Build Peak Hour Intersection Levels-of-Service for the
Bakersfield Station Area 3.2-61

Figure 3.3-1 San Joaquin Valley Air Basin 3.3-9

Figure 3.3-2 Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to Project..... 3.3-21

Figure 3.4-1 Noise Impact Criteria for High-Speed Rail Projects 3.4-6

Figure 3.4-2 Noise and Vibration Level Measurement Locations (North End) 3.4-11

Figure 3.4-3 Noise and Vibration Level Measurement Locations (South End)..... 3.4-12

Figure 3.4-4 Noise Impacts 3.4-29

Figure 3.4-5 Noise Impacts 3.4-30

Figure 3.4-6 Ground-Borne Vibration vs. Distance (from 1/3-Octave Band Data) 3.4-34

Figure 3.4-7 Noise Barrier Locations Inset Area 1 3.4-51

Figure 3.4-8 Noise Barrier Locations Inset Area 2..... 3.4-52

Figure 3.4-9 Noise Barrier Locations Inset Area 3..... 3.4-53

Figure 3.4-10 Noise Barrier Locations Inset Area 4..... 3.4-54

Figure 3.5-1 Proximity of the San Joaquin Community Hospital to the F-B LGA 3.5-7

Figure 3.6-1 Electric Transmission Lines 3.6-13

Figure 3.6-2 Natural Gas Transmission Pipelines 3.6-14

Figure 3.6-3 Petroleum and Fuel Transmission Pipelines 3.6-15

Figure 3.6-4 Communication Facilities and Sites 3.6-16

Figure 3.7-1 Schematic of Biological Resource Study Areas 3.7-11

Figure 3.7-2 Soils and Watersheds..... 3.7-19

Figure 3.7-3 Wildlife Habitat Types 3.7-23

Figure 3.7-4 CNDDDB Special-Status Plant Communities 3.7-37

Figure 3.7-5 CNDDDB Special-Status Plant Species 3.7-38

Figure 3.7-6 CNDDDB Special-Status Wildlife Species: Amphibians, Fish, Invertebrates,
and Reptiles..... 3.7-39

Figure 3.7-7 CNDDDB Special-Status Wildlife Species: Birds..... 3.7-40

Figure 3.7-8 CNDDDB Special-Status Wildlife Species: Mammals 3.7-41

Figure 3.7-9 Special-Status Plant Species and Special-Status Plant Communities Survey
Results..... 3.7-42

Figure 3.7-10 Jurisdictional Waters Delineation Results 3.7-55

Figure 3.7-11 Protected Trees Survey Results..... 3.7-63

Figure 3.7-12 Kern River Corridor..... 3.7-71

Figure 3.8-1 Regional Hydrologic Setting 3.8-7

Figure 3.8-2 Surface Waters and Floodplain 3.8-13

Figure 3.8-3 Water Districts - Federal and State 3.8-17

Figure 3.8-4 Water Districts - Private..... 3.8-18

Figure 3.8-5 Groundwater Basins 3.8-23

Figure 3.9-1 Surficial Geology for the F-B LGA 3.9-9

Figure 3.9-2 Soil Associations in the Project Region..... 3.9-10

Figure 3.9-3 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults near the Alignment..... 3.9-13

Figure 3.9-4 Earthquake Activity in the Region 3.9-14

Figure 3.9-5 Calculated Peak Ground Acceleration Values for the F-B LGA..... 3.9-15

Figure 3.9-6 Inundation Areas 3.9-16

Figure 3.9-7 Oil and Gas Fields near the F-B LGA..... 3.9-19

Figure 3.9-8 Soil Erosion in Southeastern Bakersfield 3.9-25

Figure 3.10-1 Overview of Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Educational
Facilities in the Study Area 3.10-7

Figure 3.10-2 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Educational Facilities in the
Study Area (Sheet 1 of 14) 3.10-8

Figure 3.11-1 Fatalities (per 100 million passenger miles) in 2008 3.11-1

Figure 3.11-2 Total Passenger Fatalities in 2008 3.11-2

Figure 3.11-3 Shafter Area: Safety and Security Existing Conditions 3.11-7

Figure 3.11-4 Location of Tall Structures and High Risk Facilities along the May 2014
Project and F-B LGA Alignments..... 3.11-15

Figure 3.12-1 Study Area and Geographic Areas Considered for the F-B LGA..... 3.12-9

Figure 3.12-2 Fresno to Bakersfield Locally Generated Alternative and Alternative Alignments 3.12-10

Figure 3.12-3 Age Distribution in 2000 and 2010 (U.S. Census 2000 and 2010) 3.12-15

Figure 3.12-4 Average and Median Income in Kern County (U.S. Census Bureau 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010a, 2011, 2012, 2013a, 2014) 3.12-25

Figure 3.12-5 Unemployment and Poverty Rates in Kern County (U.S. Census Bureau 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010a, 2011, 2012, 2013a, 2014)..... 3.12-26

Figure 3.12-6 Community Facilities in the Study Area..... 3.12-31

Figure 3.12-7 Zoning Within the Study Area..... 3.12-47

Figure 3.13-1 Existing Land Use—Bakersfield F Street Station 3.13-7

Figure 3.13-2 Current Zoning—Bakersfield F Street Station 3.13-8

Figure 3.13-3 Station Connectivity—Bakersfield F Street Station 3.13-17

Figure 3.14-1 Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Vicinity..... 3.14-9

Figure 3.14-2 Distribution of Crop Cover in the Project Vicinity..... 3.14-11

Figure 3.14-3 Protected Lands in the F-B LGA Project Vicinity..... 3.14-15

Figure 3.14-4 Protected Lands in the Vicinity of the May 2014 Permanent Project Footprint..... 3.14-17

Figure 3.14-5 Williamson Act Property in the May 2014 Permanent Project Footprint 3.14-23

Figure 3.14-6 Williamson Act Property in the F-B LGA Permanent Project Footprint 3.14-33

Figure 3.15-1 Shafter Area: Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources and School District Play Areas and Recreation Facilities in the Project Study Area..... 3.15-7

Figure 3.15-2 Bakersfield Area: Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources and School District Play Areas and Recreation Facilities in the Project Study Area 3.15-8

Figure 3.16-1 Overview of Landscape Units..... 3.16-11

Figure 3.16-2 Shafter Town Landscape Unit 3.16-12

Figure 3.16-3 Shafter Town Landscape Unit: Representative Residential Views 3.16-13

Figure 3.16-4 Shafter Town Landscape Unit: Representative Commercial and Industrial Uses..... 3.16-14

Figure 3.16-5 Shafter Town Landscape Unit: Representative Agricultural Views 3.16-15

Figure 3.16-6 Rural San Joaquin Valley Landscape Unit 3.16-19

Figure 3.16-7 Rural San Joaquin Valley Landscape Unit: Representative Views 3.16-20

Figure 3.16-8 Landscape Units in Metropolitan Bakersfield 3.16-21

Figure 3.16-9 North Bakersfield Landscape Unit 3.16-25

Figure 3.16-10 North Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Industrial and Commercial Views 3.16-26

Figure 3.16-11 North Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Residential Views 3.16-27

Figure 3.16-12 Kern River Landscape Unit..... 3.16-31

Figure 3.16-13 Kern River Landscape Unit: Representative Views 3.16-32

Figure 3.16-14 Central Bakersfield Landscape Unit 3.16-35

Figure 3.16-15 Central Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Commercial Views..... 3.16-36

Figure 3.16-16 Central Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Commercial Views 3.16-37

Figure 3.16-17 Central Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Institutional Views 3.16-38

Figure 3.16-18 Central Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Residential Views 3.16-39

Figure 3.16-19 East Bakersfield Landscape Unit 3.16-43

Figure 3.16-20 East Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Commercial Views 3.16-44

Figure 3.16-21 East Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Infrastructure and Civic Views 3.16-45

Figure 3.16-22 East Bakersfield Landscape Unit: Representative Residential Views 3.16-46

Figure 3.16-23 KVP 1 Existing and Simulated Views at the Shafter Depot Museum..... 3.16-53

Figure 3.16-24 KVP 2 Existing and Simulated Views of Burbank Street from Heath Road, Looking West..... 3.16-57

Figure 3.16-25 KVP 3 Existing and Simulated Views of Verdugo Lane in Rural Shafter, Looking North 3.16-58

Figure 3.16-26 KVP 4 Existing and Simulated Views from Coffee Road Looking Northeast Toward SR 99 Interchange at 7th Standard Road 3.16-61

Figure 3.16-27 KVP 5 Existing and Simulated Views of Snow Road at Creemore Street in North Bakersfield, Looking Northeast..... 3.16-62

Figure 3.16-28 KVP 6 Existing and Simulated Views of Olive Drive and Knudsen Drive in North Bakersfield, Looking Northeast..... 3.16-63

Figure 3.16-29 KVP 7 Existing and Simulated Views of Kern River Parkway Bike Trail toward Alignment..... 3.16-67

Figure 3.16-30 KVP 8 Existing and Simulated Views from SR 204 South Frontage Road, Looking North toward Bakersfield F Street Station Site 3.16-71

Figure 3.16-31 KVP 9 Existing and Simulated Views from Garces Circle in Central Bakersfield Looking North 3.16-75

Figure 3.16-32 KVP 10 Existing and Simulated Views at the Intersection of San Dimas Street and Homaker Place 3.16-76

Figure 3.16-33 KVP 11 Existing and Simulated Views on Sumner Street at Baker Street in East Bakersfield..... 3.16-79

Figure 3.16-34 KVP 12 Existing and Simulated Views of the Mercado Latino Tianguis in East Bakersfield Looking West from Edison Highway 3.16-80

Figure 3.17-1 Historic Properties and Historical Resources Identified in the F-B LGA Area of Potential Effect 3.17-13

Figure 3.18-1 Unemployment Rates in Kern County from 2005 to 2014..... 3.18-6

Figure 4-1 F-B LGA and F Street Station..... 4-5

Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Properties within the City of Shafter..... 4-11

Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Properties within Kern County 4-12

Figure 4-4 Section 4(f) Properties within Kern County and Bakersfield 4-13

Figure 4-5 Section 4(f) Properties within Kern County 4-14

Figure 4-6 Park, Recreation, and Open Space Properties Section 4(f) Use Assessment 4-29

Figure 4-7 Cultural Resources Section 4(f) Use Assessment – MRs #00A, #00B, and #116..... 4-35

Figure 4-8 Cultural Resources Section 4(f) Use Assessment – MRs #00C and #025	4-39
Figure 4-9 Cultural Resources Section 4(f) Use Assessment – MRs #042, #055, #075, #097, and #133.....	4-43
Figure 4-10 Cultural Resources Section 4(f) Use Assessment – MRs #00D, #107, #116, and #120.....	4-47
Figure 4-11 Cultural Resources Section 4(f) Use Assessment – MR #030.....	4-48
Figure 5-1 Study Area and Geographic Areas Considered for the F-B LGA.....	5-9
Figure 5-2 Minority or Low-Income Communities by Census Block for the May 2014 Project.....	5-11
Figure 5-3 Minority or Low-Income Communities by Census Block for the F-B LGA.....	5-17
Figure 8-1 F-B LGA and May 2014 Project.....	8-3

Appendices

Appendix 1-A Flysheet: Business Plans

Appendix 1-B: Benefits

Appendix 2-A: Road Crossings

Appendix 2-B: Railroad Crossings

Appendix 2-C Flysheet: Operations and Service Plan Summaries

Appendix 2-D Flysheet: Applicable Design Standards

Appendix 2-E Flysheet: Summary of Requirements for Operations and Maintenance Facilities

Appendix 2-F Flysheet: Interim Use

Appendix 2-G: Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan (as amended)

Appendix 2-H: Functions of Impact Avoidance and Minimization Measures

Appendix 3.3-A Flysheet: Potential Impact from Induced Wind

Appendix 3.3-B Flysheet: Draft Federal General Conformity Determination

Appendix 3.4-A Flysheet: Noise and Vibration

Appendix 3.4-B: F-B LGA Noise and Vibration Measurements

Appendix 3.4-C: Noise and Vibration Mitigation Guidelines

Appendix 3.5-A Flysheet: Technical Study: Pre-Construction Electromagnetic Measurement
Survey

Appendix 3.6-A Flysheet: Existing Plus Project Conditions Energy Analysis

Appendix 3.6-B Flysheet: Water Usage Analysis Technical Memorandum

Appendix 3.6-C Flysheet: Energy Analysis Memorandum

Appendix 3.7-A Flysheet: Special-Status Species and Observed Habitats

Appendix 3.7-B: Comparison of Impacts on Biological Resources by Alternative

Appendix 3.7-C Flysheet: Watershed Evaluation Reports Parts 1 through 4

Appendix 3.8-A: Water Bodies Crossed by Project Alternatives

Appendix 3.8-B Flysheet: Summary of Hydraulic Modeling

Appendix 3.11-A Flysheet: Safety and Security Data

Appendix 3.11-B Flysheet: Airport Obstructions

Appendix 3.12-A Flysheet: Residential, Business and Mobile Home Relocation Assistance Brochures

Appendix 3.12-B: Effects on School District Funding and Transportation Bus Routes

Appendix 3.12-C: Effects on Children's Health and Safety

Appendix 3.13-A: Land Use Plans, Goals, and Policies

Appendix 3.14-A: Results and Findings of Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Pursuant to the Farmland Protection Act

Appendix 3.14-B: Remnant Parcel Analysis

Appendix 3.14-C Flysheet: High Speed Train Noise Disturbance on Grazing Land

Appendix 3.17-A Flysheet: Programmatic Agreement

Appendix 3.19-A: Planned and Potential Projects

Appendix 3.19-B: Planned Transportation Projects

Appendix 5-A Flysheet: Operating Cost Memorandum

Appendix 8-A: Analysis of the Comparable Section (May 2014 Project)

This page intentionally left blank