CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY

Finance and Audit Committee

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

SANTA CLARA COUNTY BUILDING

ISAAC NEWTON SENTER AUDITORIUM

70 W HEDDING STREET

SAN JOSE, CA 95110

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 2019
11:30 A.M.

Reported by: Susan Palmer

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Tom Richards, Committee Chair

Nancy Miller, Committee Member

Ernest Camacho, Committee Member

BOARD MEMBERS

Lenny Mendonca, Board Chair

Henry Perea, Sr., Board Member

STAFF

Brian C. Annis, Chief Financial Officer

Joseph Hedges, Chief Operating Officer

Brian Kelly, Chief Executive Officer

Pam Mizukami, Chief Deputy CEO

Daniel Horgan, Programme Director WSP

Paula Rivera, Chief Audit Executive

PUBLIC COMMENT:

INDEX PAGE Public Comment 1. Consider Approving the July 16, 2019 23 Finance and Audit Committee Meeting Minutes 2. Action Items from previous Finance and Audit N/A Committee Meeting 3. Executive Summary by Chief Financial Officer 6 o Executive Summary o Accounts Payable Aging and Disputes Report o Cash Management Report o Administrative Budget & Expenditures Summary by Program o Administrative Budget and Expenditures Report o Capital Outlay Budget and Expenditures Report o Total Project Expenditures with Forecasts o Contracts & Expenditures Report o Projects & Initiatives Report o Summary of Financial Reports 4. Year-End Close Process Audit Report for FY2017-18 52 Operations and Project Update by Chief Operating 23 Officer o Operations Report o CP 1 Monthly Status Highlight Report o CP 1 Performance Metrics o CP 2-3 Monthly Status Highlight Report o CP 2-3 Performance Metrics o Caltrans SR-99 Monthly Status Highlight Report o Caltrans SR-99 Performance Metrics o CP 4 Monthly Status Highlight Report o CP 4 Performance Metrics

INDEX (Cont.) PAGE 6. Central Valley Update by Chief Operating Officer 31 7. Current issues N/A Adjourned 53

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 11:35 a.m. 3 PROCEEDINGS BEGIN AT 11:35 A.M. 4 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 2019 5 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Good morning, everyone. And welcome to the Finance and Audit Committee 6 7 meeting for September for the California High-Speed Rail Authority. If the Secretary would note that we have a full 8 9 house on the Committee with our two other Directors who are 10 members of this Committee and also that our Chair and our 11 new member, Henry Perea, are also in the house. 12 So welcome, Henry, I'm sure you'll be welcomed 13 more, later. 14 So with the meeting called to order, we're kind 15 of separated here, where's Brian Annis? 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: He's right next -- oh, 17 there he is. 18 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: There you are. 19 Thanks, Brian. We normally are, as you know, in a smaller 20 room where we have everybody around a table. And so we're 21 searching around to make sure people haven't escaped, so 22 Brian, if you would -- yes. 23 Yeah, yeah, thank you. Yeah. And I was told a 24 few minutes ago, and I need to state it, that there's no 25 public comment cards presented today, so we have no public

comments and we're moving in to the agenda for today's meeting. And we'll start out with our Chief Financial Officer, Brian Annis, with the Executive Summary.

Good morning, Brian.

MR. ANNIS: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Members.

I'm going to read off the Executive Summary, which is Tab 3

of the agenda package.

And before I get started I want to publicly acknowledge the Accounting Branch that has successfully completed the year-end close of all the financials for '18-'19 fiscal year by the Controller's deadline. And I think we'd normally expect this to happen, but we're implementing at the state level a new statewide financial system called FI\$Cal, or the Financial Information System for California. And that's being phased in. And it's created a lot of challenges for departments, many departments, to meet the timely year-end close. So again, I just want to acknowledge the hard work of the Accounting Branch.

Moving into the Executive Summary on page 2, we start with the Accounts Payable Aging and Dispute Report.

And I'm happy to report that we now have another month of a good record of the Authority's not had an aged invoice for 23 months and has not made a penalty payment for 30 months.

And the Accounting Group continues to work very hard to keep that good record.

Our disputes have fallen to 4.4 million, which is a decrease of 239,000 from the prior month. And that's down significantly from about a year ago; it used to exceed 20 million.

In terms of cash management ending in July our cash balances for Proposition 1A were \$764 million. And that's down about \$58 million. The Treasurer will be selling bonds this fall. And we do expect the Treasurer to sell some additional Prop 1A bonds to increase our cash balances in that Prop 1A account.

Cap and Trade reflects reduction of about 2.5 million for the July month. That cash balance is \$2,220 billion. As it was reported to the Board through email recently, we did receive preliminary results from the August Air Resource Board Auction of Cap and Trade credits. And our early estimates are that that'll produce \$145 million for the Authority. And when you combine that August auction estimate to the prior three options that would total 761 million for the last four. So we're in recent times running at an annualized rate of Cap and Trade proceeds a little bit above the 750 million mark. Again, it's a 761 million.

Moving on to the next page and the administrative budget, July of course is the first month of the fiscal year. The 2019-'20 Administrative Budget that totals 56.2

million, which is an increase of 8.9 million or 19 percent above the prior year. The prior year's budget was 47 million. The budget increase is primarily due to three budget change approvals or budget change requests that were submitted by the Authority and approved by the Legislature last year.

Number one, there were five positions added to enhance the Authority's IT security practices. There were five positions in IT to begin transitioning some of the Authority core IT functions from consultants to state staff. And lastly there were 35 positions added, state staff positions added to shift contract management, administration budgeting of federal grants and accounting services from consultant contracts to state staff. So in total we added 45 state positions as we began this fiscal year.

Through the month of July, which is 8.3 percent of the fiscal year completed, we expended 2.8 million of our total administrative budget. And that's against a total of -- excuse me -- let me say that would be about 4.9 percent of fiscal year's total budget.

That under expenditure is due primarily to these new state positions that have been created. And it'll take a bit of time to fill the 45 new state positions, but we're already started and we already have a few of the positions

filled.

Moving on to the next page Capital Outlay Budget Summary, the approved budget for '19-'20 for capital outlay is 2,255,000,000. That compares to last year's fiscal year budget, which was 1.8 billion and the actual expenditures last year were \$1.0 billion.

Our year-to-date capital outlay expenditures for the month of July were \$48.8 million, which is 2.2 percent of the capital outlay budget for the fiscal year. The underutilization of budget is primarily due to low spending within the project development and construction packages. The report outlines the spending-by-design build contractor package. Construction Package 1 was 7.6 million.

Construction Package 2-3 was 10 million. And lastly,

Construction Package was 10.2 million in July of 2019.

Moving on to the next page, Contract and Expenditures Report, the Authority has 195 active contracts valued at \$6.8 billion in value. Our small business rate was 21.3 percent in July. That's relatively constant from the prior month of 21.1 percent and up a bit from the prior year, which was 20.7 percent.

On Projects and Initiatives Report, there are three changes that are highlighted in your Executive Summary. One is we have dropped the listing for the financial systems or FIMs Project. I reported that the

state is transitioning now to the statewide FI\$Cal budget and accounting system. We're implementing that well. And in addition to that statewide accounting systems, we have a project cost system called EcoSys. And that's been implemented and we're able to reconcile the two systems, the statewide system that again links to the Controller, ultimately and is the Department of Finance Accounting system of record. But because it doesn't have the same ability to drill into project detail we have the EcoSys system that works well with FI\$Cal now.

And again, we're not listing the FIM system as a third system, because we're having good implementing of our FI\$Cal and EcoSys.

Two other points are listed here for the Northern California San Francisco to Jose segment. The schedule shows a change in status from satisfactory to caution and a two-month delay is highlighted as a caution that is still being worked on.

And lastly Bakersfield to Palmdale continues to reflect a high status, because of what was reported in the last month's report that there's additional engagement going forward now, with the Cesar Chavez National Monument along that segment to address some of the concerns of that facility.

The last page of the summary is the contingency

summary. In May, the Board adopted a new Baseline Fund Plan of 15.6 billion. And that included total contingency amount of 3.6 billion. This report monthly updates the Board on the use of contingency. And through July, 391 million of that contingency has been used leaving a remaining contingency of 3.2 billion.

The report additionally reports use of contingency for items that cost of 25 million. And that's the second half of the page on the left side. Three contingency settlements or amendments were made over 25 million. The first was a \$31 million use of contingency for the CP2-3 design-build contract. And this had to do with a design for construction for the bridge design on some of the grade separations for open abutments. The second one was 126 million approved for the CP-1 design-build that had to do with time delays and the new schedule for that contractor.

And lastly, 55 million was approved for a 2.5 year time extension for the CP2-3 project construction manager Arcadis.

So that concludes the Executive Summary of the Finance Report. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Brian. And before you sit down or I ask my colleagues if they have any questions of you, I just wanted to mention to the public --

and I've had similar conversations with both members, other members of our Committee here -- Brian has noted in his new role that we've got a reasonably complex presentation here that is composed of more pages than we can generally count in a half of a day. These reports were developed from the outset of this Committee or piecemeal one-by-one as we needed additional information. And I think that all three members on the Committee share your concern about the unwieldiness of this.

And I'll look forward to meeting I think with you and our Chief Operating Officer between now and the next meeting. I'll report back to the other members of the Committee as appropriate, but we'll hopefully see a pareddown and a more understandable and perhaps clearer picture of where we are while at the same time not compromising the information that's necessary to ensure that the reports are as accurate as they can be made.

So at any rate, I thank you for that heads up, Brian, and for your leadership on that, and also with Joe. So with that do we have any members or any questions for Mr. Annis from any of the members of the Committee?

Ernie?

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: The Cap and Trade dollars that you refer to, those are Cap and Trade that we got for extending to 2030, correct?

MR. ANNIS: That's correct. So this, there's four auctions a year. And there was a bill that passed in 2017, AB 398, that extended the program from 2020 to 2030. And that changed the nature of the auction proceeds we'd been seeing. Prior to that the legislation passing we'd seen very auctions, several under 10 million of proceeds to High-Speed Rail. And since that bill passed, the auctions have averaged at a level that between 700 million and 750 million. And in fact for the last four, as I reported, the average is 761 million of annual proceeds.

So it has been more robust since that legislation passed.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: And maybe this is a question for Brian Kelly. Is there a possibility of going back to Legislature to get (indiscernible) to maybe 2040-2050?

MR. KELLY: There's always a possibility to ask.

(Laughter.) But I think more importantly here I think what Brian is reflecting is that AB 398 that passed the Legislature in 2017 had a very stabilizing effect on Cap and Trade auctions. And while we've been limited in our Business Plan, for example in the 2018 Business Plan and again in the 2019 Project Update Report, to assume no more than 500 million per annum from Cap and Trade, there has been roughly two years of auctions since 398 passed. And

we're bringing in an excess of 750 million per year.

So I do think it does speak to how we talk about what our assumptions should as we're in the 2020 Business Plan. And that's work we'll be doing with the Department of Finance as we go forward. And that then opens additional opportunities.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: Well, that's the first part of the question. The second part of the question is, if in fact we can get this -- if we could get it extended, there is nothing that prevents from us borrowing against that on our futures and it'll certainly help cash flow.

MR. KELLY: We indicated in the 2018 Business
Plan that we were interested in a floor of Cap and Trade
money available to the project and the ability to finance
against it. And those remain important elements for us
that we will continue the conversation on as we get into
2020.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: One last question that has more to do with the numbers that you gave us. The numbers that you gave us for construction of 7.6, 10 million and 2.2 million, those are all numbers for construction that is CP1, CP2-3 and 4. Those are numbers that are for construction for July, until the end of July. We continue to get -- Brian, it's difficult for us to understand where we are if we don't have something that's

more current. And this goes back to project control system that we continue to do partially manually and partially automated.

But for a program this size, it just seems to me that we should have numbers that are more current, especially since we were promised a real jump into the summer months for activity. So we don't know how dire the straits are in terms of where we are if we're looking at numbers that are 45 of 60 days old.

MR. ANNIS: Well, the Chair mentioned looking at some of our reporting to see if we can make it more efficient. One of the things we're looking at in that context is could we release the F&A documents a bit earlier? It still does take time to collect all the invoices, get the raw data in there and then put it into a format that's reportable to the Board and fully reconciled, so that will continue to take some amount of time. But again, we're looking at whether there's an opportunity to accelerate it a bit.

And I think as part of our discussion of making the reporting mature more efficient that could cut down on some of that processing time.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: No, I mean it just doesn't -- we just don't know where we are currently. And we might speculate, but we know that there's a lot of

activity starting to happen or happened. And we just don't -- you know, based on the numbers that we see here it makes it more difficult for us to tell what we really need in the field.

MR. ANNIS: Thank you.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Ernie.

Brian?

MR. KELLY: I just wanted to comment too that I think that there's two elements to getting the data more refreshed for the members.

One is as Brian mentioned, he's going through an efficiency exercise with Joe Hedges on making sure that the financial information and the on-the-ground construction information is well put together and coordinated as we present it to the F&A.

The second element might be timing of our hearings and when the information is made available. That might be something I'll have to address with the Chair about when we have our hearings. Because moving that date in the course of the month might -- and when we make the data originally available -- might shrink the number of days between when the data is available to us and when you have it, so we can shrink that 45-day window.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: We could even have a hard number for construction dollars, and have a guestimate

on the remaining or where we are, if in fact there is activity happening in 1, 2-3 or 4. So it just gives us a better sense of how much activity we have out there.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Director Miller?

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: I appreciate those comments. I just know that in my firm we don't get invoices out for the previous month until usually the 10th business day of the next month, so I understand how hard it is to know what's being spent and what's being invoiced until a few days after.

I'm actually not as concerned about timing but I am a little bit concerned about the numbers and whether or not we're seeing -- because I think Director Camacho's point is a good one. We were expecting increases in construction in the summer and here we are and we don't know whether we really -- and maybe this is for a complete Board meeting. But it's a concern, which should be addressed either at this meeting or the full Board meeting about what is going on and are we going to meet the targets that we had.

I have one other question and that has to do with the move from satisfactory to caution on one of our legs.

I believe, sorry I believe it's San Francisco, if you could just explain that? It's in the notes, it's at page 5.

MR. ANNIS: Sure.

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: It's the Northern 2 California region. 3 MR. ANNIS: Yeah, I know there's been a two-month 4 caution added to that schedule. I would have to defer to 5 Capital Outlay group to give you a little more detail on 6 that. 7 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Is that coming in full Board meeting, Joe? Or should I ask now or should we wait? 8 9 MR. HEDGES: (Indiscernible.) 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Okay. I asked it. 11 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. We'd like you 12 to address it when you come up, Joe, rather than right now. 13 We'll wait until your report, thank you. COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Thanks. That's all I 14 15 had. Thank you. 16 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. Thank you, 17 Director Miller. 18 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: I have one question. 19 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes, Henry. 20 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: On page 3 on the total 21 authorized positions I understand the reason for the 31 22 percent bump, because of the additional positions. Do you 23 have listing of what those positions are and where they're 24 allocated throughout the organization, number one? 25 And number two, even looking at previous 18

1 percent seems like high-vacancy rate considering the size 2 of the organization. So who does recruiting and what is 3 the specific plan and timeline to get all these positions 4 filled? 5 Sure. Well, a couple things. One is MR. ANNIS: 6 we have tended to have a higher-than-average vacancy rate 7 within a growing organization over time. And we were, I 8 think, fairly close to at least meeting the statewide 9 average at the end of the last fiscal year. The statewide 10 average is about 15 percent vacancy and we had 18 percent 11 at the end of the fiscal year. 12 However, we don't want to -- we want to exceed 13 that. We want to fill beyond 85 percent of our positions. 14 The 45 added, I think you asked where they're placed? 15 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: What are they, and what are 16 the positions and where are they placed within the 17 structure? 18 Right. Right, so there's about 18 in MR. ANNIS: 19 financial area, in my unit and there about 12 in project 20 delivery and about 10 in the IT area. 21 And I think Pam, who's our Chief Deputy CEO, and 22 she's going to give you a little more detail on where we 23 are in hiring to fill our vacancies. 24 MS. MIZUKAMI: Good morning. Hi, I'm Pam 25 Mizukami. So we have some great news. The report that you folks have reflects about a 31 percent which is 85 vacancies, but I'm happy to report since last Friday we actually hired 10 people. And so now we are down to -- we went from 31 percent to 27 percent, which is an improvement of 4 percent. So of those, we have 75 positions. And of the 75, we have 42 that we consider active recruitment. And when I mean active recruitment, what I mean is that they are either pending offers or we're in the interview process or we're doing backgrounds on them.

And then of the remaining 33, those are the ones that we're working with the programs to get the duty statements our or we are advertising for those positions. And so what we're looking is actively recruiting. We actually are attending job fairs, so that we can get folks in and try to find folks to apply for these positions. And I will say that it's looking good. It's not easy, but we consider we can't -- take our time and keep on going out there are advertise and try to recruit the best folks to come to our program.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. Do you do recruitments internally or is it state personnel?

MS. MIZUKAMI: Yes. We have our Human Resources
Branch that we do that with. Also, for instance in our
audits area we have some vacancies, so actually we will
have like some subject matter experts. Say maybe a person,

1 maybe our Chief in Audits might go, our HR folks, or maybe 2 Program Delivery actually attending these job fairs, so 3 they can go out there and recruit folks. 4 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. Now would you say the 5 statewide average is 15 percent vacancy? MS. MIZUKAMI: Yeah. 6 7 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Is that an acceptable rate for us or should we be lower than that? 8 9 MS. MIZUKAMI: It should be probably lower, but 10 because we just received these new positions that's 11 probably why it's increased, but we will reconsider that. 12 And we also have some that are Governor Appointee positions 13 also. So within now we have like five that are vacant, I 14 believe, that we have that are still actively recruiting 15 under exams. 16 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. If you could give us 17 at least or get me a list of what we're recruiting for and 18 where you're at, that would be great. 19 MS. MIZUKAMI: Sure. Okav. 20 BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Thank you. 21 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Director 22 Perea. Thank you, Pam. 23 MS. MIZUKAMI: Thank you. 24 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: I think on the earlier 25 comments, Brian, I think what we're going to shoot as a

goal, shoot for as a goal, is to have information at least on construction and on right-of-way that is as recent as the end of the previous month, end of the previous month prior to each F&A meeting.

And the other suggestion that CEO Kelly mentioned with regards to rescheduling meetings for next year is our Chairman's challenge with trying to get -- keep everybody who would be affected by that on board. But we really need to have the information on construction and right-of-way, not -- in terms of its timeliness not more than two or three weeks after the end of the previous month. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Okay. Thank you.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: For my colleagues, are there any questions with regards to Agenda Item No. 3 beyond what we have now discussed before Operations Report?

Ernie do you have any?

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: Nothing. No thanks.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Nancy?

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: No, I don't. Thank

21 you.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Since I jumped over approving the minutes for the July meeting we'll entertain a motion for approval of the July 16th minutes.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: Second.

```
1
               (Off mic colloquy and noise.)
 2
              COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: We have a motion and
    second and approval of the minutes (indiscernible) and one
 3
 4
    abstention.
 5
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: And I abstained from that
 6
    vote.
 7
                                          I'm sorry?
              COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS:
 8
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: This is on the minutes,
9
    correct? Yeah, I'll abstain on it.
10
              BOARD CHAIR RICHARDS: Henry, to vote the
11
    Committee has just (indiscernible) --
12
              BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Right. Okay.
              BOARD CHAIR RICHARDS: I'm sorry.
13
14
              COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Yes, I abstain.
                                                          Thank
15
    you.
16
              COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: All right.
17
              Then with that then Joe, you're up.
18
              MR. HEDGES: Good afternoon with a couple of
19
    ticks on this side of noon, now, so very rare that you can
20
    say good morning and good afternoon today in the same F&A
21
    meeting.
22
              Let me start basically walking through the F&A
23
    Report. We'll start at Tab 14, which is the Executive
24
    Summary. And then we'll just methodically just walk our
25
    way through. Let us start with basically with page 1 or
```

page 3, which is basically the Execution Summary of ROW Acquisition. We continue to make good progress in ROW, but as reported there are 468 new ROW actions. That does not equate to parcels tick. (phonetic) Right now, the staff is going through, is what I call the final answer, the final determination that was predominately generated from utilities. And this was basically on the strategy that I briefed three few months ago of pushing on the designs.

If you pushed on the designs then that basically would establish the final footprints for the whole alignment. And that's what's been done. Now, with that I say 468, we're currently going through each parcel, mapping it to see if it falls within our existing land or if it's outside on requiring an additional take. We're also at established teams, with each of the CPs to allow us then in the extreme critical issues as in to see if we can find technical solutions as in to move these utilities completely within the land that we own.

So thus the issue only becomes not an acquisition, but just merely a land right conveyance to each of the utilities. That process is ongoing. We're working again hand-in-glove with of the DBs. This is part of the reset of the RBS schedules, right? When we put in basically this new acquisition, then that will tell us than what's on critical path. We're going through each CP in a

cyclic process right now to figure out what is the critical path that's partial to look at strategies as in to minimize these impacts. That's ongoing.

Next month I'll come back to you with a new acquisition plan that will tie basically back to a strategy of what we have to do to achieve the final take with regards to real property.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: I appreciate your last comment, because that going to be request of this Committee for next month. So thank you, Joe.

MR. HEDGES: So we'll come back, give you a detailed brief, and I'll reset content basically of what's in currently right now. And it's also going to reset the content as into the definition of what is a critical parcel, a parcel that is on critical path.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: And that will include forecast performance dates, correct?

MR. HEDGES: Absolutely, sir. And I'll tell you right now, we have currently -- we have gone thru all of ROW processes, using Lean Six Sigma. We have streamlined them and compressed them with the staff. Based off those requirements, we have added some additional staff, in the process of adding additional staff, and we've moved some -- part of the additional staff has established some very tight production control that we're using with the rest of

the CPs.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Did I read also -- I mean the critical path parcels are requested to be placed as critical path by the contractors; is that correct?

05:56

MR. HEDGES: Yeah. We're looking for -- every time we're looking for solutions for these critical paths. One of the solutions that we have is the use of administrative settlements. That if it makes a good business decision as in to try to accelerate this acquisition through this, with maybe with a little bit of added cost on our part as to avoid impacts with the way, then it might make good business sense to do so. We're allowed to do that with regards to our ability to that -- with (indecipherable). We want to document that we want to have proof of necessity.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: But is it -- is it did

I read in here somewhere also that at this point in time

none of the DB contractors, design-build contractors, have
requested any parcels to be on critical path?

MR. HEDGES: Right now they have told us that there are parcels right now with the current logic. What we have done in each of the CPs we have started the genesis of the rebase line schedules. In doing so, what we've done is we've linked our logic. And in doing that we went back

and looked for opportunity for what I call non-heroic acceleration. Non-heroic acceleration is the ability to use early start packages as to move up start of foundations, the start of guideway right, at the earliest possible date.

Right now we have new found cooperation with each of the design builders. Their executives from all the way down to the project level have been very supportive of this concept. At each product we have implemented what I call a site walk-down, which is a joint production meeting with both design-build contractors and the state as to work through issues to resolve all of our issues one at a time as to get to that inflection point that allows us then to come to full wrap of construction. Each issue is being dealt with methodically, one at a time. And we're getting remarkable success.

I will articulate as remarkable success is that of what's contained in this document currently right now.

CP2-3 has exceeded this month its financial expectations.

We have just invoiced for 18 million as kind of a brief over summery. CP4 for two months in a row has achieved 8 million invoice, which is approximately 2 percent of the contract value, which is right at industry standard.

That's kind of the overview.

COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: Is the -- all right,

I'm a little confused about this. Are these 460 particles 1 2 within the 119 miles? 3 MR. HEDGES: They're not 468 parcels. 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER CAMACHO: Okay. Whatever they 5 are. They're the 468 actions, okay? 6 MR. HEDGES: 7 They're not -- when we use term "parcel" in our definition 8 to require acquisition, there are 468 actions. Are they 9 within our right-of-way, the existing what we own? 10 this the answer some of the article that was written by the 11 L.A. Times why have we've not gotten rid of our non-12 operational property. I've kept that intentionally for 13 this, for emergencies. 14 So we'll use that non -- when we can we'll use 15 that non-operational property and we'll try to solve some 16 of these utility problems. 17 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Nancy? 18 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: So of the 416 we're not 19 sure whether or not --20 MR. HEDGES: 468. 21 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Sorry, 468, we're not 22 sure whether or not we already have the parcels? 23 MR. HEDGES: No, we're not sure. And it's a very 24 -- each parcel, you have to go through and meticulously map 25 the utility footprint to the land that we own to see to

verify is it in, is it out. The next question is can we come up with a technical engineering solution to slide that utility back on completely to our property.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Understood. So is this the end of the right-of-way analysis for utilities?

MR. HEDGES: This should be, I would say is the 99 percent solution, right? We again have pushed on design as in to get these final footprints. There might be anomalies of one and two that we get out as we do basically advance our construction to find that we have something that's hidden, right?

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Right, understood.

HEDGES: Like (indiscernible) -- but I would say it's about a 99 percent solution.

COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Say Joe, what are the implications to the program and to the state if the contractors haven't designated or requested a designation of any of the parcels as being critical?

MR. HEDGES: Again, is it's a question -- this is very, very, complex. Each of the CPs is a little bit different. Each of them is -- you have to go through each piece of these 468s, to include the existing right-of-way inventory that we have right now as in to figure out what is critical, noncritical. I only want to declare parcels

that are critical that are actually on critical path.

When we are -- the exercise that we're going through right now is what I call schedule dense, making the schedule as dense as can, which is done by creating these working groups that keep cycling through the schedules, looking for opportunities to improve the logic for the state; if we can move up our ROW, our acquisition, as in to slide the critical path as to advance the acceleration.

Remember the key element in project management is that of the schedule. The schedule tells you the plan. And that's as of date what we've been lacking right now is that joint plan that allows us then to be able to make the determination as in to what the impact the delay is. Is it a contractor-caused delay? Is it state-caused delay? But mostly important, it allows us to see what are the actions that need to occur both in state and contractor, both sides, that advance these projects and allow us to get to 100 percent construction without impacts.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: So are you saying then if they haven't asked for the designation of the critical path parcel and they don't meet the schedule that's on them?

MR. HEDGES: No, I'm not saying that, sir. Right now I want to make the absolute determination as in to what is critical path. I want to take a very methodical,

rigorous approach as to take these schedules and to make them as dense as we can. What's on critical path is not a declaration, basically, by the contractor. It's by going through the schedule, making a determination jointly as we work through these schedules as to figure out exactly what is on critical path. I'm taking no one's word what is on critical path, what is not on critical path.

make sure you address that in the ROW Report next month, because I think the documents suggests that it's the requirement of the contractor to request that it be placed on the critical path. And I was surprised to see that there have been no requests. So if you would just address that clearly and what that means to us, means to the project, and what the obligations are either for the Authority or the exposure to the contractor by not doing so.

MR. HEDGES: I will, sir.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HEDGES: No. But sir I apologize, ma'am, that -- let me introduce my counterpart. This is Daniel Horgan. Daniel is the new Executive Program Director for WSP, for the REP. Daniel has been with us for about a year, okay? He's been working in diligently in the Valley

as a part of this get to work, push the Valley forward.

Daniel and I both agree that his optimum place is 1 2 in the Valley with a focus of to achieve ARRA. So Daniel has basically been down in the Valley, driving the train, 3 4 literally, from the front seat. His help has just been 5 immense. So like I said, he's not new. He's been here for a year. He knows the status. He's well versed, willing to 6 7 step into the WSP. He's made a huge impact as of date 8 already. 9 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: All right. Thank you, 10 Dan. 11 MR. HEDGES: Dan, do you want to say anything? 12 MR. HORGAN: Well, this is my first Board 13 meeting, so it's all a bit sort of amazing and exciting. 14 And working in the Central Valley is amazing. We are doing 15 some great things. We have some challenges, but in the 16 past year we've made significant ground. I'm very 17 confident going forward we'll make significant ground. 18 have challenges. We're identifying them. We're overcoming 19 them. We're being methodical. And we are making progress. 20 But you know I'm very confident we will meet 21 ARRA, but we do have some challenges we will meet head on 22 and we will resolve them. So thank you and I'm going to do 23 my best. 24 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Dan. 25 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Thank you so much.

MR. HEDGES: He's always doing his best.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Just please put dates on as many of the things that you can possibly put performance dates, so that we can clearly understand in F&A, and the Board what we can expect in the future.

MR. HEDGES: Absolutely, sir. And that's basically what the Office of Program Delivery is all about. And when we come up with this new kind of condensed -- which I've already said -- F&A Report you'll be able to see that very soon. Very self-explanatory to see what we're at with regards to the schedules of ROW, what we're hitting and what we're missing. We have -- our desire is to be absolutely transparent. Just to tell the story as the story is occurring.

So let me kind of go here to page 5. Page 5 is a good summary of the second piece of the fulfillment of the ARRA commitment as part of the Office of Program Delivery. And that is basically the ROD and NOD fulfillment. Right now, the good news as we all know and you're getting briefed today, is we have NEPA assignment. Okay, woohoo.

Now what's that allowed us to do -- remember we broke as regards to the FRA engagement we broke -- we did a CEQA First Strategy. Now we're merging these back, but as a result of that CEQA First Strategy we reported when we did it there was going to be a day-for-day delay until we

either NEPA assignment or FRA engaged again. So we've lost some months, a couple of months, on each of these and there's been a little bit of slide.

We'll publish those schedules next month. And we'll go through the schedules one-by-one. But what's impressive is, out of this is, is we're applying our lessons learned. And that is the guys who are out in the field, and the gals who are out in the field, they're some of the hard, hard problems that we're currently struggling with in execution. Let me point out some of those for you right now.

Caesar Chavez, working hand-in-glove on Caesar Chavez as to figure out what is the optimum route, the guideway around through Caesar Chavez, so that that does not become an encumbrance to construction. The same thing at Una Lake, okay? So the process is working very well. Very proud of what -- the work that the teams are doing and that's kind of the reason we're here today. Two preferred alignments as a result of this process, as the result of strategic development and environmental working together.

It's also important, you'll hear today, is with regards to the firewall of the NEPA off that we want to make sure that we want to be sure that we protect our NEPA assignment. And that we're fulfilling all of our CFR responsibilities.

So underneath Brian's leadership, we're establishing those policies, those procedures as to ensure that when the FRA does come back and audit us, that we're bullet proof.

Now if you can kind of go with me to page 7, where it talks about the Executive Summary of contract management. Right now each of the CPs are heavily engaged, each of the CPs, all the way up to the Executive level.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Do you have anything to say about the third-party agreements, because Joe that was the page before, 6.

MR. HEDGES: Sir, the -- oh, third-party, excuse me, third parties. Third parties, it's -- I kind of put this is, it's a thousand issues that we're solving 25 at a time concurrently.

Now, our third-party agreements are coming into focus. As we speak right now, last month as an example, with SoCal Gas we resolved the issues. PG&E keeps pushing forward. We made tremendous leaps and gains with regards to UPRR and you're seeing that basically strengthen our relationships throughout.

So we just, as of yesterday, are addressing the independent irrigation districts. Remember where you encumber these utilities. When we're on the guideway predominantly the utility conflicts are that of ID, for the

majority of them, irrigation districts. These are associated with agriculture water lines are cutting across. So these are a matter of negotiating each individual master agreement with each of the IDs. We're doing that. We're finalizing that right now.

This predominantly is as simple as putting casing, as to be able to allow us to put pipes underneath our alignment.

Now at road crossings, typically these are where the nonagricultural utilities are, you know, the high-density type stuff. Because in these existing road easements is where you have the PG&E utilities, the gas utilities in these common easements. They conflict into these major structures.

So that again is great strides, CP1, a good example of this is with AT&T. AT&T right now is working double shifts, pushing up as in to clear our trenches. The trenches are extremely important to CP1, because CP1 is not only the clear alignment as we can dig the trenches but as to make availability occur that we can construct the guideways with.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: So do you have a schedule of third-party agreements that are in the process of being prepared and/or (indiscernible) --

MR. HEDGES: We can, sir. What's interesting is

that some of these third-party agreements are just not third-party agreements, but we are also having to negotiate master agreements. We have to go back. It's a multiple step process where you have to go back and negotiate encroachment, templates, JUA templates. It's usually a series of like four or five actions. All of them are typically litigious. And we'll just keep gnawing through them one at a time. But I can give you our strategy, our approach, which is tied exactly to the priority in our getto-work per CP, what are the critical things, these easements -- these agreements that we need to knock out as in to be able to get them to advance.

So again, is your definition of critical parcel, critical agreements also, sir.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. I think that's what of concern to us, and certainly of interest, is to understand when you're expecting that the third-party agreements will be done. So that we can share the enthusiasm that you may have with regards to schedule, so that's what is important for us.

So when we hear the narratives that's certainly helpful, but we need to know what you know or what you're thinking in terms of the forecast for completion and execution of those agreements. So that we know that the project continues moving.

MR. HEDGES: Absolutely, sir, and we'll be happy 1 2 to --3 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: I'm not trying to give 4 you a whole lot more work to do. I assume you've got some 5 sort of a working document that you use. I don't care if you provide whatever you can provide, but just so you can 6 7 give us the comfort so we understand what you and it's easier for us to (indiscernible) --8 9 (Overlapping colloquy.) 10 MR. HEDGES: We have those, sir, already. We'll 11 be happy to share them with you. 12 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. Great. 13 MR. HEDGES: It isn't a big issue for us. 14 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: All right. Thank you, 15 Joe. 16 MR. HEDGES: It just isn't reflected in F&A right 17 now. 18 Contract management, shifting to page 7 now, is 19 that what you're seeing is an overall strategy as part of 20 this pushing these construction projects up. It's right 21 now dealing with a lot of tough commercial issues that have 22 not been dealt with. 23 Example, CP1 is in a hardened trench, which is an 24 issue that dates back to 2014. Recently, we just finished 25 those negotiations. And we'll present up through the

Executive Committee to the Board, okay? But those things are ticking off one at a time. And by doing this we've reassured our commitment basically to partnership with regards to the design builders as in to make sure that we are resolving these issues, these impacts that are predominantly the result of additional scope.

As we determine this additional scope as to make sure that it gets addressed and into the contracts, because what's important here is to account holistically to the end of the schedule. And I want to make sure as we go through and we get these re-RBSs (phonetic) that all the additional scope is accounted for in this time. So that we have this contract completion, that we know what it is and we're pushing towards it.

We haven't been able to do that as of date, as we go through and push these issues through. I'm pretty confident right now that all that scope is known to include staircases, the conduits, to get all this known, get it in place so that we can get out of the way and get us to complete construction. That's why you're seeing some of these large schedules.

And then two, we've been able to negotiate time impact analysis, as in to bring these contracts current as to resolve all the disputes with regards to impacts and to keep them fresh. And the schedule, this exercise with the

RBS and schedules, allows us then to monitor who is responsible for the ongoing delay if there is a delay. Or where there are opportunities that we can push up and achieve that magical 2, 3 percent of construction value. What you're currently saying right now on CP4. It is working.

Now, if you might give me the grace here to step into page 11, we've already talked about the right-of-way. What you are going to see in these next 11, 13, 15, it's refocusing these slides to that what is the critical ROW. What is an execution for right-of-way. Remember that there's two pieces of right-of-way that we have to be focused on. That is we're only focused right now on one piece and that is right now in parcel acquisition.

But the other piece that's just as critical to that is the land conveyance rights. Making sure that we're conveying land rights, because as we convey the land rights that ensures that it's usually a prerequisite element in most of our master agreements to be able to start the moving of these utilities. You want to focus on both elements.

The predecessor activity to the land conveyance rights, land conveyance, is that of owning land or having those master agreements that allows us to convey that land, i.e. with the railroads.

Okay. So as we're kind of marching through the report, we can all the way basically through page 33, talk to basically 34. The expenditures, this is probably best summarized with regards to the CPs, with regards to the expenditures. If you go back to Tab 22, 22 and I'll talk about this in each of the CPs, where we're at with regards to expenditures, the planned expenditures.

Remember, construction is an S curve, right? And that's what we're pushing through right now is that the expectation is that we would take a stair jump. That doesn't happen in construction. Construction is building ramp, building momentum to get to this inflection point, right? At that inflection point we are predominantly in design-build. We're predominantly in construction. And all the issues of state impact have been resolved and that's what we're pushing to right now, that inflection point. When we get to that inflection point 95 percent of the state impact will be resolved.

Now pushing up again is we spoke already on basically the project as I move to page 38, 39, as we move to the ROD and NODs. As I articulated already we'll provide you an updated schedule that brings this current and allows you then basically to move forward.

Brian already reported on the cost element, so I'll try to avoid those. What you'll see on page 42 is

that schedule for the ROD and NODs revised and it'll allow you to track. But right now overall, well within the bounds of ARRA. There are no issues with regards to ROD and NODs, being able to achieve ROD and NODs. And more importantly, we will have achieved the ROD and NODs on the two critical elements of to the north on the Wye and then the LGA within a year's time frame. Allowing basically, if you so desire, for construction to begin with regards to eliminating a lesson learned as to allow us our buy-in right-of-way immediately, which is an emphasis for us. Get prepared for the future.

Again, you can see these schedules with regards to each of the various sections. All of them are advancing well. There is really no issues with regards to the ROD and NODs other than like I said, is we're working through some of these what I call rub points, but they're important to work through in this process. That's what this process is meant to do, is to find where have these rub points with regards to Cesar Chavez, let's work through them. Let's be amicable. Let's be good partners. Let's resolve these issues once and for all and take our alignment into a configurative alignment.

And that's the goal here, but the achievement of the preferred alignment is the first step of having a configured alignment. And any changes to that configured alignment then forces us to go through a governance process as to make those changes, as to elevate them up through the (indiscernible) Executive Committee back up to the Board, so that you are notified of any major changes with regards to the changes to the preferred alignment.

It's governance. It's giving us structure. It's keeping us focused. It's making sure that we have other decisions documented and once and for all we do it right, close our issues. Very, very happy with the work that the staff is doing.

Moving back through this report --

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: What page are you on, Joe?

MR. HEDGES: I'm on basically right now about page 56, 57. This is talking here about these agreements in place. But more importantly, and we'll talk about this later in each of the CPs, it's not just where these master agreements are, is it's how we're executing these master agreements. And that's the focus that I'll talk about in the provisional sums of PG&E. Are we moving, are we taking allocating money to each of these task orders and allocating and executing that money as a progress that allows you to see the progress that we're making on that first order of work. Because remember, in that inflection, at that inflection point is that first order of work.

We've got to get the utilities out of our way, so that we can then start this construction. It just isn't merely the agreements. The agreements are the first step to move those utilities.

I want to highlight significant gains we made with the railroads, with UPRR and BNSF, with regards to refreshing those relationships. I have a lot of new cooperation with them. A lot of issues that have been stymied for years now are starting to break free and we're starting to make some significant progress on some of the designs, some of the design variance especially with UPRR.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. And you'll deal with just the dates and all that sort of stuff in the report you give us on third-party next year or next week or next month, sorry.

MR. HEDGES: Yes, sir.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay.

MR. HEDGES: Contract management, the highlight of this, I don't want to overstep what Brian just briefed on. But what's important here is to say is that the governance, the baseline is working. Every change order that we've executed has been with in the P70. We've been able to account for it within the P70 line item by line item. It's gone through the process of governance of the (indiscernible) the Executive up to the Chairman as

delegation of authority.

So you're saying a very controlled, very methodical opportunity of the use of contingency, right? So we're right now basically applying known-unknown contingencies, stuff that we've identified in solving the problems. In doing so what you're going to see at the end of this, at the end of each year, the ability to come in to refresh our P70 curves. Possibly, hopefully, is to create opportunity as risks are resolved that give us available funding as to move forward in the program.

Again, I won't step over Brian with regards to the contingency management that is already briefed on. And this kind of takes us back to -- the best way to talk about really expenditure of contingency is to step back into basically tab 15. 15 then, is the genesis of these tabs talks specifically about each of the CPs. now I'll talk about each of the CPs and what we'll do is we're going to talk, it's kind of a little bit confusing here, we're going to talk about the CPs kind of overall here. Then I'm going to ask that we direct you back to Tab 22, 22 has the best pictures to graphically show you where we're at in the progress that's being made.

So CP1, what you see at CP1 is that we have proved basically for the month of July about \$14.4 million worth of invoices. That's slightly below the expectation,

all right?

Now, that was predominantly linked to thirdparty agreements with regards to the counties. Being able
to access some of the roads to start hauling an outside
fill material as to offset the impact that I already spoke
to you about, AT&T. It's all been resolved. Work is
marching right now. Those issues have been resolved and if
you go back to Tab 22 -- if you will kind of bear with me
here, go to page 2.

Now, what 2 says is that top row here is what I reported to the Board in March of this year on milestones, what we would achieve, okay? The bottom row is basically the actual structures and the actual guideways that we have cleared. What you're seeing is stop right now we are predominantly right on target and you'll see this trend throughout all of the CPs. We are clearing sites, we are making headway addressing issue after issue advancing.

Now, is it as fast as I want it to be? Absolutely not right, but it's on our projections.

So the issue you can see here, we've made great progress on structures clearing. We just put in a series of basically drainage structures that have started within the last month. They're Type 2 structures, but they are critical structures because they are in the community. And what ends up happening with these structures is this, is

that they are on county roads. You're not allowed to close two bridges that are adjacent, close two roads, because it creates too long a detour.

So the good news is this, we're able basically to turn over one bridge to the county, give that away, no longer our responsibility. And then open up a new site for a second bridge to begin work. Now this is a critical issue, especially in CP1 where we have a history of closing roads that looks like for no purpose. if you go down to the City of Fresno you will numerous roads that have been closed for years with no construction on them. No more.

We close roads when we're ready to start construction. We do not want to alienate the community. We want to make sure that when we're ready to go we are ready to go. We are using a check-off list now to go through one of the time checking off into making sure that roads open as a road closed. And when we open a road, we're turning that road over, that real property over to the authority that's going to manage it.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: So where in this report would we find information related to those specific road closures, where they're at?

MR. HEDGES: We can, I can show you -- we have it detailed. Remember, this is just a very overview summary of the metrics. I have this much (indicating) on each of

these projects when it comes to KPIs. I have site maps that show the environmental conflicts, road conflicts, we measure everything. We have very tight control of what's happening and what is not happening.

We, Daniel and I are, personally involved weekly. I'm down in the Valley two to three days a week to oversee this meetings, these short meetings myself. And to ask the questions, the three Ws: what, when and who's responsible, let's get this ball rolling.

It happens every other week at each site, not just -- it's both state and DBs standing side by side.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah, I'd like to meet with you when you're down in the Valley.

MR. HEDGES: Sir, I would love to show you.

BOARD MEMBER PEREA: Yeah.

MR. HEDGES: I've had executives with me with regards to both sides, actually HNT's presence coming in to see the stuff. You know, the highest compliment that I've got so far is I brought Lon Tudor (phonetic) to CP1. And he thumped me on the back of the head and he goes, "This is what we need, Joe. You're pushing this stuff forward." He gave a very positive report out to Brian.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Joe, in the interest of time if you could wrap up on CP1, and let's get to 2-3.

MR. HEDGES: Yes, sir. So again is what you are

seeing here at CP1 is its opening, progress is being made.

Now CP2-3, that'll jump you to Tab 17. What you're seeing in CP2-3 is that we approved the invoice of just under \$17.7 million, which is one of the highest invoices as to date. The site is opening right now. But what's very impressive, you go back to Tab 22 -- go back to Tab 22 --

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: I tell you what I would add, Joe, for next month --

MR. HEDGES: Yeah.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: -- if you're going to want us to flip back and forth, let's just put them right - let's put your metrics right next to these reports, so we don't keep flipping them.

MR. HEDGES: In the new format, Sir, it all is as clear.

COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay.

MR. HEDGES: What's important on CP2-3, is what you see is this okay, is that there are 45 miles -- 45 miles -- of alignment that's under construction right now. That's very impressive, 45 miles. It's hard to contemplate how far -- I can't get through it in one site visit, okay? So there's substantial work and right now more importantly, they are basically right now in full production making the precast beams in advance of starting

construction. We are thinking ahead. So again, that kind of summarizes up 2 ,3.

CP4, again that's CP4 is into Tab 20. What you see there is the approved July invoice was at \$10.2 million. Now what's impressive about this is we are holding at these numbers. CP2-3 right now has substantial work basically ongoing throughout. And what's more important is that the ongoing issues with CDFW have been resolved. We've been able to amend our current permits that has allowed some of these top issues -- remember there is a CP1 permit and a CP2-3/CP4 environmental permit.

So all -- we're just basically working hand-inglove and I'm appreciative to Chuck, the Director at CDFW for his cooperation and support. We've resolved all those issues and CP2-3 we are starting what is the critical path of the program, which is these long viaduct structures. Predominantly Canao, Deer Creek, Cross Creek, we resolved all the issues that has allowed the design to go in full swing. And we're trying to accelerate right now the foundation packages, so that we can we can work as soon as possible.

CP4, you're saying that, the Wasco Viaduct which is the major structure there is underway. And what's impressive as you go down into -- it's a new strategy that we've employed down there, is that you'll see what I call

1 speed bumps in the alignment. Where we hit basically 2 utilities, which is nearly protective in place and 3 (indiscernible). 4 As we are resolving those conflicts, we are going 5 to come back and close that guideway, all right? Is it a 6 little bit out of sequence in construction? Yes, right but 7 it's keeping and maintaining our off-tempo. We've accounted for this in all of the RPS schedules. 8 9 And that's basically the report, sir. 10 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Any questions from 11 members of the Committee ? 12 (No audible response.) 13 All right, Joe, thank you very much. I only 14 would suggest one thing, I know that (indiscernible) 15 according to Brian Annis last year was about 83.3 a month 16 at a billion a year; is that right, Brian? 17 MR. ANNIS: (Nodding.) 18 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Okay. And so this 19 year our budget is 188 million a month. 20 MR. HEDGES: Understood, sir, but again as --21 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: In our first month we 22 hit 2.2 percent of what should have been about 8.3. 23 MR. HEDGES: Understood, sir. 24 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: All right, Thank you. 25 If we can now ask our Internal Audit Manager

1 Paula Rivera to come forward, and this is on Item Number 4, 2 which is our audit on the year-end close. 3 By the way, this is Tab No. 13. Hi, Paula. 4 MS. RIVERA: Good afternoon. 5 So I wanted to let you know that we completed an 6 audit of year-end close process for the Financial 7 Office. The purpose of our audit was to review the yearend close process and assess the Financial Office's FI\$Cal 8 9 compliance with that process. Our audit objectives were to 10 determine if the year-end process is adequate to ensure no 11 material misstatements in the fiscal year-end statements 12 and if accruals adhere to the Government Accounting 13 Standards Board and state's year-end close requirements. So what we did was a process audit and we found 14 15 that the Financial Office has an adequate process in place 16 that should ensure no material misstatements and accruals 17 are accounted for in accordance with the requirements. 18 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you. 19 Ouestions for Paula? 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER MILLER: Thank you. 21 appreciate it. 22 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you very much, 23 Paula. 24 MS. RIVERA: Thank you. 25 COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: Other than current

issues, which I don't think we have any other than everything is a current issue, at any rate that concludes the business of the Finance and Audit Committee, unless either of my colleagues have anything you want to add? Or Mr. Chairman, Brian, do you have anything? (No audible response.) COMMITTEE CHAIR RICHARDS: All right, the meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much. We'll reconvene with the Board meeting at 1:00 o'clock. Thank you. (The California High-Speed Rail Authority Finance and Audit Committee Meeting was adjourned at 12:44 p.m.)

1

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE TESTIMONY IN THE FOREGOING HEARING WAS TAKEN AT THE TIME AND

PLACE THEREIN STATED; THAT THE TESTIMONY OF SAID WITNESSES WERE REPORTED BY ME, A CERTIFIED ELECTRONIC COURT REPORTER AND A DISINTERESTED PERSON, AND WAS UNDER MY SUPERVISION THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING.

AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT OF

COUNSEL OR ATTORNEY FOR EITHER OR ANY OF THE

PARTIES TO SAID HEARING NOR IN ANY WAY INTERESTED

IN THE OUTCOME OF THE CAUSE NAMED IN SAID

CAPTION.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND THIS 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2019.

SUSAN PALMER CERTIFIED

REPORTER

CERT 00124

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 30th day of October, 2019.



Myra Severtson Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET**D-852

