


California High-Speed Rail Authority

San Francisco to San Jose Project Section

Final Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan

August 2022



The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by the State of California pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated July 23, 2019, and executed by the Federal Railroad Administration and the State of California.

This page intentionally left blank

**California High-Speed Rail Project
San Francisco to San Jose Project Section**



**FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND
ENFORCEMENT PLAN**

This page intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION..... | 1-1 |
| 2 | MITIGATION MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PLAN | 2-1 |
| 3 | ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT SYSTEM | 3-1 |
| 4 | REFERENCES..... | 4-1 |

Tables

| | | |
|---------|---|------|
| Table 1 | San Francisco to San Jose Project Section: Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan..... | 3-3 |
| Table 2 | San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features | 3-51 |

This page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

In June 2022, the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), as the state lead agency and as the federal lead agency pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Assignment Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (July 23, 2019), issued a Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR)/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section (Project Section, or project) of the California High-Speed Rail (HSR) System (Authority 2022). The Final EIR/EIS satisfies the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and NEPA and is the basis for the Authority's decision. In its decision, the Authority selected the Preferred Alternative (Alternative A with modified Caltrain stations for HSR at the 4th and King Street and Millbrae Stations, the East Brisbane light maintenance facility, and associated project elements) for the portion of the Project Section between the 4th and King Street Station in San Francisco and Scott Boulevard in Santa Clara. This Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan (MMEP)¹ has been prepared for the Preferred Alternative. The portion of the Project Section from Scott Boulevard in Santa Clara to West Alma Avenue in San Jose (including the San Jose Diridon Station) was approved by the Authority Board of Directors as part of the San Jose to Merced Project Section in April 2022. Refer to the MMEP for the San Jose to Merced Project Section for the mitigation measures and impact avoidance and minimization features (IAMF) relevant to the HSR alignment between Scott Boulevard in Santa Clara and West Alma Avenue in San Jose.

Table 1 describes mitigation measures from the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Final EIR/EIS that will mitigate the adverse impacts of the Preferred Alternative. These measures were developed by the Authority in consultation with appropriate agencies, as well as with input from the public, to meet the requirements of CEQA and NEPA. The mitigation measures in Table 1 are conditions of approval that the Authority is required to comply with as it implements the Preferred Alternative.

The Preferred Alternative incorporates IAMFs including best management practices (BMPs), which are described in detail in the Final EIR/EIS Volume 2, Technical Appendices, Appendix 2-E, Project Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features, and in the technical reports that support the Final EIR/EIS. As a result of applying these IAMFs, the Preferred Alternative will avoid potential adverse environmental impacts in several resource areas including electromagnetic fields and electromagnetic interference; public utilities and energy; geology, soils, seismicity, and paleontological resources; socioeconomics and communities; parks, recreation, and open space; and aesthetics and visual quality. In addition, the regulatory requirements, including permitting and coordination with regulatory agencies, for many project-related activities provide additional assurance that potential adverse environmental impacts would not occur. Two cooperating agencies are part of the NEPA review process: the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and Surface Transportation Board. As part of the CEQA process, the responsible agencies include the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), California Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (PCJPB) (Caltrain), and California State Lands Commission. Like the mitigation measures listed in Table 1, the project IAMFs and compliance with regulatory requirements are a condition of project approval and must be implemented by the Authority during design, construction, and operation of the project. The IAMFs that are part of the Preferred Alternative are described in Volume 2, Appendix 2-E of the Final EIR/EIS and listed in Table 2 of this document.

Key legal requirements the Preferred Alternative is subject to are described for the following resource areas in more detail in the corresponding sections of Chapter 3, Affected Environment,

¹ The MMEP is consistent with CEQA requirements for mitigation monitoring as set forth in Section 15097 and 15091, subdivision (d) of the CEQA Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations, Division 6, Chapter 3). Where mitigation is for NEPA purposes only or CEQA purposes only, it is identified accordingly.

Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures, of Volume 1, Report, of the Final EIR/EIS:

- Transportation—Section 3.2.2
- Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases—Section 3.3.2
- Noise and Vibration—Section 3.4.2
- Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference—Section 3.5.2
- Public Utilities and Energy—Section 3.6.2
- Biological and Aquatic Resources—Section 3.7.2
- Hydrology and Water Resources—Section 3.8.2
- Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources—Section 3.9.2
- Hazardous Materials and Wastes—Section 3.10.2
- Safety and Security—Section 3.11.2
- Socioeconomics and Communities—Section 3.12.2
- Station Planning, Land Use, and Development—Section 3.13.2
- Parks, Recreation, and Open Space—Section 3.14.2
- Aesthetics and Visual Quality—Section 3.15.2
- Cultural Resources—Section 3.16.2
- Regional Growth—Section 3.17.2
- Cumulative Impacts—Section 3.18.2

The MMEP adheres to the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations [C.F.R.] Part 1505)² and Federal Railroad Administration *Procedures for Considering Environmental Impacts* (64 *Federal Register* [Fed. Reg.] 28545, May 26, 1999) and was prepared based on the CEQ finalized guidance entitled *Appropriate Use of Mitigation and Monitoring and Clarifying the Appropriate Use of Mitigated Findings of No Significant Impact* (CEQ January 14, 2011). The CEQ guidance assists NEPA lead agencies to develop mitigation programs that provide effective documentation, implementation, and monitoring of mitigation commitments.

² The CEQ issued new regulations on July 14, 2020, effective September 14, 2020, updating the NEPA implementing procedures at 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500–1508. However, this project initiated the NEPA process before the effective date and is not subject to the new regulations, relying on the 1978 regulations as they existed prior to September 14, 2020. All subsequent citations to CEQ regulations in this environmental document refer to the 1978 regulations, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Section 1506.13 (2020) and the preamble at 85 Fed. Reg. 43340.

2 MITIGATION MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PLAN

The environmental effects of the Preferred Alternative would result in impacts considered significant under CEQA and in effects considered adverse under NEPA. Mitigation measures that will reduce or eliminate potential adverse environmental impacts are described in Chapter 3 of the Final EIR/EIS. The specific provisions contained in this MMEP are presented in a table and include mitigation measures identified in the Final EIR/EIS, organized by environmental issue and topical areas addressed in the Final EIR/EIS. In collaboration with the appropriate agencies, the Authority may refine the means by which it will implement a mitigation measure, as long as the alternative means will be equally or more effective. This MMEP describes implementation and monitoring procedural guidance, responsibilities, and timing for each mitigation measure identified in the Final EIR/EIS. Components include:

- **Impact Number and Impact Text:** Provides the impact number and description of the impact requiring mitigation as identified in the Final EIR/EIS.
- **Mitigation Measures:** Provides the number, title, and text of the mitigation measures as identified in the Final EIR/EIS.
- **Phase:** Provides the phase during which the mitigation measure will be implemented (pre-construction, during construction, post-construction, or during operation).
- **Implementation Action/Text/Mechanism:** Identifies the actions required to implement the measures, including any required agreements and conditions.
- **Reporting Schedule:** Identifies the stage of the project and the frequency that reporting is to occur, if reporting is required.
- **Implementing Party/Reporting Party:** Except as noted, identifies the entity that will be responsible for directly implementing the mitigation measures, monitoring, and reporting. Implementation can be the responsibility of the Authority or its contractor. Monitoring will generally be the responsibility of the contractor, with oversight provided by the Authority during construction. Long-term mitigation monitoring responsibilities will be the responsibility of the Authority.

Roles and Responsibilities

As the lead agency and proponent of this project, the Authority will implement the mitigation measures through its own actions, those of its contractor, and actions taken in cooperation with other agencies and entities. The Authority is ultimately accountable for the overall administration of the MMEP and for assisting relevant individuals and parties in their oversight and reporting responsibilities. The responsibilities of mitigation implementation, monitoring, and reporting extend to several entities as discussed above; however, the Authority will bear the primary responsibility for verifying that the mitigation measures are implemented. The Authority defines the mitigation measures required for the project. When project work is undertaken by the Authority's contractor, the contractor will implement the mitigation measures that are pertinent to its scope of work. The contractor will monitor construction activities to ensure that the mitigation measures are being properly implemented and accurately report their activity and results to the Authority. The Authority will periodically check the contractor's activity, reports, and effectiveness of mitigation activities.

- **Authority:** While the Authority retains responsibility for the implementation of and reporting on mitigation measures and IAMFs as specified in this MMEP, activities may be carried out by an Authority representative or an Authority-approved contractor. Authority responsibilities may also include certain measures outside of the scope of the contractor such as future studies or operations-phase implementation. In addition, oversight of implementation and reporting may be provided by the Authority's contractor or representatives as lead agency representatives to facilitate regulatory oversight agency coordination and compliance during implementation and reporting.

- **Contractor:** The contractor(s) (or the environmental team provided by the contractor) will be responsible for implementing or monitoring mitigation measures and IAMFs as specified in this MMEP.
- **Mitigation Manager:** The contractor's representative responsible for overseeing its environmental team's implementation and reporting of environmental commitments will be responsible for reporting the status of each mitigation measure to the Authority in accordance with this MMEP.
- **Biological Monitor(s):** The contractor-provided biological monitor(s) will be approved by and report directly to the contractor's biologist. The biological monitor(s) will be present on-site within a reasonable monitoring distance during all ground-disturbing activities that have the potential to affect biological resources as directed by the project biologist and will be the principal agent(s) in the direct implementation of the MMEP and compliance assurance.
- **Cultural Resources Compliance Manager/Principal Investigator:** This position must be an archaeologist who meets relevant Secretary of the Interior's qualifications for an archaeologist. The cultural resources compliance manager/principal investigator is responsible for implementing mitigation measures in compliance with the terms and conditions outlined in the MMEP and treatment plans and coordinating the status of archaeological mitigation with the Authority in accordance with this MMEP, the Authority's Section 106 Programmatic Agreement, and the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Memorandum of Agreement.
- **Cultural Resources Monitor(s):** The contractor-provided cultural resources monitor(s) will be approved by and report directly to the cultural resources compliance manager/principal investigator. This/these monitor(s) will be present on-site within a reasonable monitoring distance during ground-disturbing activities in areas indicated as culturally sensitive and will be the principal agent(s) in the direct implementation of the MMEP and compliance assurance as directed by the cultural resources compliance manager/principal investigator.
- **Paleontological Resources Specialist:** The contractor-provided paleontological resources specialist is responsible for implementing mitigation measures in compliance with the terms and conditions outlined in the MMEP, including preparation of the paleontological resources management plan and approval and direction of the paleontological resource monitor(s).
- **Paleontological Resources Monitor(s):** The contractor-provided paleontological resources monitor(s) will be approved by and report directly to the paleontological resources specialist. The paleontological resources monitor(s) will be present on-site within a reasonable monitoring distance during ground-disturbing activities in areas indicated as resource sensitive and will be the principal agent(s) in the direct implementation of the MMEP and compliance assurance as directed by the paleontological resources specialist.

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT SYSTEM

The Authority will implement an Environmental Mitigation Management and Assessment (EMMA) system consisting of strategic planning, policies, and procedures, organizational structure, staffing and responsibilities, milestones, schedule, and resources devoted to achieving the Authority's environmental commitments. The EMMA will also include a component that tracks the implementation of mitigation measures (as well as environmental commitments, BMPs, and IAMFs) and can produce reports on compliance. The Authority staff will receive periodic reports on compliance and may request additional reports as necessary to ensure that the MMEP is fully implemented. This system will rely on data provided by the contractor, its consultants, and others to produce status reports regarding construction status, permitting activities, monitoring, inspections, and other compliance activities.

This page intentionally left blank

Table 1 San Francisco to San Jose Project Section: Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Plan

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Transportation | | | | | | | | | | |
| TR-MM#1a | Scott Street/San Mateo Avenue, North Lane/California Drive, North Lane/Carolan Avenue, Peninsula Avenue/Arundel Road, Brewster Avenue/Perry Street, Main Street/Beech Street—Install Traffic Signals | <p>Prior to project operations, the contractor will install traffic signals at the following locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ TR-MM#1a.1: Scott Street/San Mateo Avenue ▪ TR-MM#1a.2: North Lane/California Drive ▪ TR-MM#1a.3: North Lane/Carolan Avenue ▪ TR-MM#1a.4: Peninsula Avenue/Arundel Road ▪ TR-MM#1a.5: Brewster Avenue/Perry Street ▪ TR-MM#1a.6: Main Street/Beech Street <p>The following equipment and features are assumed as part of the traffic signal improvements to limit the potential for secondary effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Accessible pedestrian push buttons ▪ Pedestrian signal heads with countdown timers ▪ Directional curb ramps: one per crosswalk ▪ Marked crosswalks on all street approaches ▪ Where new traffic signals are installed at intersections near at-grade railroad crossings, additional signal equipment, interconnects, and/or special signal timing plans as required to minimize conflicts between trains and cross-street vehicle queues <p>The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and seek the approval of the City of San Bruno, the City of Burlingame, and the City of Redwood City for these improvements.</p> | Design/Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | <p>Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations</p> <p>Impact S&S#6: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Emergency Access and Response Times due to Station Traffic and Increased Gate-Down Time</p> |
| TR-MM#1b | Second Street/Townsend Street—Optimize Signal Timing (NEPA Effect Only) | <p>Prior to project operations, the contractor will furnish and install signal equipment at the Second Street/Townsend Street intersection to optimize timing to serve demand. The contractor will prepare all necessary materials and obtain approval from the City and County of San Francisco for the modification.</p> | Design/Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations |
| TR-MM#1c | Harney Way/Thomas Mellon Circle—Near-Term Harney Way Improvements (NEPA Effect Only) | <p>Prior to project operations, the contractor will construct the Near-Term SFMTA Harney Way-101 Transit Crossing Project Improvements if the City and County of San Francisco or other entities have not yet implemented this project. This project will involve realignment of Thomas Mellon Circle to intersect Harney Way at a new intersection approximately 100 feet northeast of Alana Way, installation of a traffic signal at the newly configured Harney Way/Thomas Mellon Circle intersection, and widening of Harney Way to provide four travel lanes. The contractor will prepare all necessary materials and obtain approval from the City and County of San Francisco for the modification.</p> | Design/Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|----------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| TR-MM#1d | Scott Street/Herman Street—Install Traffic Signal, Extend Sidewalk, and Add Northbound and Southbound Right Turn Lanes (NEPA Effect Only) | Prior to project operations, the contractor will furnish and install traffic signal equipment at the Scott Street/Herman Street intersection; reconfigure lanes to provide exclusive northbound and southbound right turn lanes on Herman Street; and install approximately 120 feet of sidewalk, curb, and gutter on the north side of Scott Street to provide continuous pedestrian facilities on the north side of Scott Street between Montgomery Avenue and Herman Street including pedestrian safety features at the at-grade rail crossing as required by Caltrain. The contractor will prepare all necessary materials and obtain approval from the City of San Bruno for the modification. | Design/ Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations |
| TR-MM#1e | El Camino Real (SR 82)/Murchison Drive—Reconfigure Westbound Approach to Add Left and Right Turn Lanes; Add Overlap Signal Phase; Install New Traffic Signal at California Drive/ Murchison Drive (NEPA Effect Only) | Prior to project operations, the contractor will reconfigure the westbound Murchison Drive approach to the El Camino Real (SR 82)/Murchison Drive intersection to add exclusive left and right turn lanes with an overlap signal phase for the westbound right turn and southbound left turn. This improvement will require modifying the northernmost of two eastbound lanes on Murchison Drive to provide left turn pockets of approximately 150 feet in each direction between El Camino Real and California Drive, removing parking on the south side of Murchison Drive between El Camino Real and California Drive, and replacing the parking with a protected eastbound bike facility as designated in the Burlingame Pedestrian and Bicycle Plan, and modifying the traffic signal. In conjunction with this improvement, the contractor will install a new traffic signal at the California Drive/Murchison Drive intersection to minimize eastbound queue spillback along eastbound Murchison Drive into El Camino Real. This improvement will include traffic signal interconnect equipment with the El Camino Real/Murchison Drive intersection to the extent necessary for coordinating signal phases and vehicle movements between both the El Camino Real/Murchison Drive and California Drive/ Murchison Drive intersection controllers. The contractor will prepare all necessary materials and seek approval from Caltrans, the City of Millbrae, and the City of Burlingame for the modification. | Design/ Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations |
| TR-MM#1f | Millbrae Avenue/Rollins Road—Optimize Signal Timing and Coordination (NEPA Effect Only) | Prior to project operations, the contractor will furnish and install signal equipment at the Millbrae Avenue/Rollins Road intersection to optimize timing to serve demand at the intersection and coordinate signal timing along the Millbrae Avenue corridor between El Camino Real and the US 101 northbound ramps. Along the Millbrae Avenue corridor, the City of Millbrae plans to convert the northernmost westbound lane on Millbrae Avenue at El Camino Real from a westbound through lane to a westbound through/right turn lane for improved operations. The contractor will prepare all necessary materials and seek approval from the City of Millbrae for the modification. | Design/ Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| TR-MM#1g | Millbrae Avenue/US 101 Northbound Ramps—Widen Off-Ramp to Extend Northbound Left Turn Lane Storage (NEPA Effect Only) | Prior to project operations, the contractor will widen the northbound US 101 off-ramp to Millbrae Avenue to extend the left turn pocket to a length of approximately 600 feet. This improvement will require modifications to ramp lighting, barriers, signing, drainage, and landscaping. The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and seek approval from Caltrans for the modification. | Design/ Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations |
| TR-MM#1h | Whipple Avenue/El Camino Real—Add Overlap Signal Phase and Optimize Signal Timing | Prior to project operations, the contractor will add an overlap signal phase to the northbound right turn and westbound left turn movements, optimize signal timing at the Whipple Avenue/El Camino Real intersection, and coordinate timing changes with adjacent coordinated signals on Whipple Avenue. This improvement will require traffic signal modifications. The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and seek approval from the City of Redwood City and Caltrans for the modification. | Design/ Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations Impact S&S#6: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Emergency Access and Response Times due to Station Traffic and Increased Gate-Down Time |
| TR-MM#1i | Whipple Avenue/Arguello Street—Optimize Signal Timing | Prior to project operations, the contractor will optimize signal timing, including optimizing cycle length and splits at the Whipple Avenue/Arguello Street intersection and signal timing at adjacent intersections that are interconnected along Whipple Avenue. This improvement will require traffic signal modifications. The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and seek approval from the City of Redwood City for the modification. | Design/ Construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#5: Continuous Permanent Congestion/Delay Consequences on Intersection Operations Impact S&S#6: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Emergency Access and Response Times due to Station Traffic and Increased Gate-Down Time |
| TR-MM#2 | Install Transit Priority Treatments | <p>Prior to operations, the Authority's contractor will install bus transit priority treatments on the following roads to reduce the impact of permanent delays to MUNI Routes 30 and 45 due to added HSR station traffic, to SamTrans Route ECR along El Camino Real due to added HSR station traffic, and to SamTrans Route 296 at the Ravenswood at-grade crossing caused by increased gate-down time from added HSR trains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fifth Street and Townsend Street along MUNI Routes 30 and 45 (City and County of San Francisco) ▪ El Camino Real along SamTrans Route ECR between Hillcrest Boulevard and Trousdale Drive (City of Millbrae) ▪ Ravenswood Avenue along SamTrans Route 296 between El Camino Real and Middlefield Road (City of Menlo Park) ▪ Middlefield Road along SamTrans Route 296 between Marsh Road and Willow Road (City of Menlo Park) <p>The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and seek the approval of the City and County of San Francisco, SamTrans, the City of Millbrae, the City of Menlo Park, and Town of Atherton for these improvements.</p> | Prior to operations | Design | Prior to commencement of operation | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Improvements to traffic signals to address delays to bus transit. | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#8: Temporary Impacts on Bus Transit Impact TR#11: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Bus Services |
| TR-MM#3 | Implement Railway Disruption Control Plan | Prior to construction, the Authority will require the construction contractor to prepare a railway disruption control plan for Authority approval and will implement the | Pre-construction | Design | Prior to commencement of construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Develop and implement railway | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#10: Temporary Impacts on Passenger Rail Operations Impact TR#18: Temporary Impacts on |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>plan during construction. The goal of the plan will be to minimize the duration of disruption of passenger and freight operations and maintain reasonable LOS while allowing for an expeditious completion of construction. The Authority will require the construction contractor to coordinate with Caltrain and UPRR in advance and during any potential disruption to passenger or freight operations or Caltrain or UPRR facilities. The construction contractor will maintain emergency access to and from Caltrain and UPRR throughout construction.</p> <p>The Authority will require the construction contractor, in cooperation with Caltrain, to implement the following coordination and consultation requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The contractor will establish a freight stakeholder committee to provide an information and feedback forum prior to and during construction with a minimum of quarterly coordination meetings during construction, which will include representatives from the Authority, Caltrain, UPRR, and freight operators and shippers. ▪ The contractor will consult with Caltrain, UPRR, and freight operators and shippers during preparation of the railway disruption control plan, including provision of a draft plan for comment prior to completion. Where the plan concerns the Caltrain right-of-way and facilities, Caltrain will approve the plan. The Authority will review and approve the final plan only after Caltrain approval relative to Caltrain right-of-way and facilities. ▪ As part of the railway disruption control plan, the contractor will prepare a track closure contingency plan for every proposed track closure describing the duration of closure and the alternative arrangements to facilitate freight operations, including approval of freight operations during daytime during weekdays (if feasible and approved by Caltrain). ▪ The contractor will notify Caltrain, UPRR, and freight operators and users of any planned mainline track closures or limitations of access to other rail facilities (spur tracks, rail yards, and maintenance facilities) at least 3 months prior to the closure or limitation of access. <p>The Authority will make efforts to contain and minimize disruption to freight and tenant passenger services during project construction, while allowing for expeditious completion of construction. Measures that will be implemented throughout the course of project construction will include, but would not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Limit number of simultaneous track closures within each subsection, with closure timeframe limited as much as feasible for each closure, unless bypass tracks or alternative routes are available ▪ Provide safety measures for freight and passenger rail operation through construction zones | | | | | | disruption control plan | | Freight Rail Operations |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|---|---|--|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Require contractors to coordinate with rail dispatch to minimize disruption of rail service in the corridor Where feasible, limit closure of any tracks for construction activities to periods when train service is less frequent (e.g., weekends, or midday and late evening periods on weekdays) Where one open track cannot be maintained for passenger or freight use, limit multitrack closures to one location at a time, as much as feasible Where multitrack closures result in temporary suspension of passenger rail service, work with local and regional transit providers to provide alternative transit service around the closure area (e.g., increased bus and shuttle service) Where multitrack closures result in temporary suspension of freight rail service, work with UPRR and freight operators and users to schedule alternative freight service timing to minimize disruption to freight customers Provide advance notice to transit riders of any temporary disruption in passenger rail service | | | | | | | | |
| TR-MM#5 | Contribute to 4th and King Street Station Pedestrian Improvements | Prior to construction, the Authority's contractor will work with Caltrain and the City and County of San Francisco to develop an improvement plan to increase sidewalk capacity on Fourth Street along the station frontage between Townsend Street and King Street. These improvements will build off of the ongoing construction of the Townsend Corridor Improvement Project by the City and County of San Francisco that will provide a protected bikeway between Fourth and Eighth Streets, an upgraded pedestrian walkway between Fourth Street and Seventh Street where no sidewalk exists, a raised islands between Fourth and Fifth Streets for passenger boarding, relocated and expanded commercial and passenger loading zones, high-visibility crosswalks and curb zones at intersections, and a modified bus routes (MUNI 47 Van Ness) and bus stop changes for various bus routes throughout the corridor. The PCEP EIR identified a pedestrian impact at the 4th and King Street Station. The contractor will construct pedestrian improvements based on the approved pedestrian improvement plan. The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and seek the approval of the City and County of San Francisco for this improvement. | Pre-construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | Prior to commencement of construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Develop and implement improvement plan to increase sidewalk capacity | Condition of construction contract | Impact TR#17: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Pedestrian and Bicycle Access |
| Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases | | | | | | | | | | |
| AQ-MM#1 | Construction Emissions Reductions— Requirements for Use of Zero Emission and/or Near Zero Emission Vehicles and | This mitigation measure will reduce the impact of construction emissions from project-related on-road vehicles and off-road equipment. The Authority and all project construction contractors will require that a minimum of 25 percent, with a goal of 100 percent, of all light-duty on-road vehicles (e.g., passenger | Pre-construction | Contract requirements; Compliance reporting | Monthly and annually | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Daily record keeping and monthly/annual reporting | A copy of each unit's certified tier specification and any required CARB or air pollution control district | Impact AQ#1: Temporary Direct and Indirect Impacts on Air Quality in the SFBAAB Impact AQ#4: Temporary Direct Impacts on Implementation of an Applicable Air Quality Plan |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | Off-Road Equipment | <p>cars, light-duty trucks) associated with the project (e.g., on-site vehicles, contractor vehicles) use ZE or NZE technology.</p> <p>The Authority and all project construction contractors will have the goal that a minimum of 25 percent of all heavy-duty on-road vehicles (e.g., for hauling, material delivery and soil import/export) associated with the project use ZE or NZE technology.</p> <p>The Authority and all project construction contractors will have the goal that a minimum of 10 percent of off-road construction equipment use ZE or NZE vehicles.</p> <p>If local or state regulations mandate a faster transition to using ZE and/or NZE vehicles at the time of construction, the more stringent regulations will be applied. For example, EO N-79-20, issued by California Governor Newsom September 23, 2020, currently states the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Light-duty and passenger car sales be 100 percent ZE vehicles by 2035 ▪ Full transition to ZE short haul/drayage trucks by 2035 ▪ Full transition to ZE heavy-duty long-haul trucks, where feasible, by 2045 ▪ Full transition to ZE off-road equipment by 2035, where feasible. <p>The project will have a goal of surpassing the requirements of these or other future regulations as a mitigation measure.</p> | | | | | | | operating permit will be made available by the Authority at the time of mobilization of each piece of equipment | Impact AQ#5: Temporary Direct Impacts on Localized Air Quality in the SFBAAB — Criteria Pollutants |
| AQ-MM#2 | Offset Project Construction Emissions in the SFBAAB | <p>Prior to issuance of construction contracts, the Authority will be required to enter into an agreement with BAAQMD to reduce ROG/VOC and NO_x emissions to the required levels. The required levels in the SFBAAB are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For emissions in excess of the General Conformity <i>de minimis</i> thresholds (NO_x): net zero. ▪ For emissions not in excess of General Conformity <i>de minimis</i> thresholds but above the BAAQMD's daily emission thresholds (ROG/VOC and NO_x): below the appropriate CEQA threshold levels. <p>The mitigation offset fee amount will be determined at the time of mitigation to fund one or more emissions reduction projects within the SFBAAB. The offset fee will be determined by the Authority and BAAQMD based on the type of projects that present appropriate emission reduction opportunities. These funds may be spent to reduce either VOC or NO_x emissions (O₃ precursors). Documentation of payment will be provided to the Authority or its designated representative.</p> <p>The agreement will include details regarding the annual calculation of required offsets the Authority must achieve, funds to be paid, administrative fee, and the timing of the emissions reductions projects. Acceptance of this fee by BAAQMD will serve as an acknowledgment and</p> | Pre-construction | Reporting; Funding | Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Offset project construction criteria air pollutant emissions through funding | Authority to coordinate offset fees with BAAQMD per contractor reports | <p>Impact AQ#1: Temporary Direct and Indirect Impacts on Air Quality in the SFBAAB</p> <p>Impact AQ#4: Temporary Direct Impacts on Implementation of an Applicable Air Quality Plan</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | | commitment by BAAQMD to undertake the following steps: (1) implement an emissions reduction project(s) within a timeframe to be determined based on the type of project(s) selected after receipt of the mitigation fee designed to achieve the emissions reduction objectives; and (2) provide documentation to the Authority or its designated representative describing the project(s) funded by the mitigation fee, including the amount of emissions reduced (tons per year) in the SFBAAB from the emissions reduction project(s). To qualify under this mitigation measure, the specific emissions reduction project(s) must result in emissions reductions in the SFBAAB that are real, surplus, quantifiable, enforceable, and would not otherwise be achieved through compliance with existing regulatory requirements or any other legal requirement. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Section 93.163(a), the necessary reductions must be achieved (contracted and delivered) by the applicable year in question. Funding will need to be received prior to contracting with participants and should allow enough time to receive and process applications to fund and implement off-site reduction projects prior to commencement of project activities being reduced. This would equate roughly to 1 year prior to the required mitigation; additional lead time may be necessary depending on the level of off-site emissions reductions required for a specific year. | | | | | | | | |
| Noise and Vibration | | | | | | | | | | |
| NV-MM#1 | Construction Noise Mitigation Measures | <p>Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities), the contractor will prepare a noise monitoring program for Authority approval. The noise monitoring program will describe how during construction the contractor will monitor construction noise to reduce noise levels to the noise limits (an 8-hour L_{eq}, dBA of 80 during the day and 70 at night for residential land use, 85 for both day and night for commercial land use, and 90 for both day and night for industrial land use) where a noise-sensitive receptor is present and wherever feasible. The contractor will be given the flexibility to reduce noise in the most efficient and cost-effective manner. This can be done by prohibiting certain noise-generating activities during nighttime hours or providing additional noise control measures to meet the noise limits. In addition, the noise monitoring program will describe the actions required of the contractor to meet required noise limits. These actions will include the following nighttime and daytime noise control mitigation measures, as necessary, and as feasible within the constraints of working in an active rail corridor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Install a temporary construction site noise barrier near a noise source. ▪ Avoid nighttime construction in residential neighborhoods. ▪ Locate stationary construction equipment as far as | Pre-construction/ Construction | Design/ Reporting | Prior to construction/ Weekly monitoring | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Placement of temporary noise barriers and construction equipment to mitigate construction noise; weekly monitoring construction noise | Contract requirements and specifications | Impact NV#1: Temporary Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Construction Noise |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>possible from noise-sensitive sites.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reroute construction truck traffic along roadways that would cause the least disturbance to residents. ▪ During nighttime work, use smart back-up alarms, which automatically adjust the alarm level based on the background noise level, use broadband alarms, or switch off back-up alarms and replace with spotters. ▪ Use low-noise emission equipment. ▪ Implement noise-deadening measures for truck loading and operations. ▪ Monitor and maintain equipment to meet noise limits. ▪ Line or cover storage bins, conveyors, and chutes with sound-deadening material. ▪ Use acoustic enclosures, shields, or shrouds for equipment and facilities. ▪ Use high-grade engine exhaust silencers and engine-casing sound insulation. ▪ Prohibit aboveground jackhammering and impact pile driving during nighttime hours. ▪ Minimize the use of generators to power equipment. ▪ Limit use of public address systems. ▪ Grade surface irregularities on construction sites. ▪ Use moveable noise barriers at the source of the construction activity. ▪ Limit or avoid certain noisy activities during nighttime hours. ▪ To mitigate noise related to pile driving, use an auger to install the piles instead of an impact or vibratory pile driver, which will reduce noise levels substantially. If pile driving is necessary, limit the time of day that the activity can occur. ▪ The Authority will establish and maintain in operation until completion of construction a toll-free "hotline" regarding the project construction activities. The Authority will arrange for all incoming messages to be logged (with summaries of the contents of each message) and for a designated representative of the Authority to respond to hotline messages within 24 hours (excluding weekends and holidays). The Authority will make a reasonable good-faith effort to address all concerns and answer all questions, and will include on the log its responses to all callers. The Authority will make a log of the incoming messages and the Authority's responsive actions publicly available via request on its website. <p>The contractor will provide the Authority with an annual report by January 31st of the following year documenting how it implemented the noise-monitoring program.</p> | | | | | | | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| NV-MM#2 | Construction Vibration Mitigation Measures | Prior to construction involving impact pile driving within 50 feet of any building the contractor will provide the Authority with a vibration technical memorandum documenting how project pile driving criteria will be met. Upon approval of the technical memorandum by the Authority, and where a vibration-sensitive receptor is present, the contractor will comply with the vibration reduction methods described in that memorandum. Potential construction vibration building damage is only anticipated from impact pile driving at very close distances to buildings. If pile driving occurs more than 25 to 50 feet from buildings, or if alternative methods such as push piling or auger piling are used, damage from construction vibration is not expected to occur. When a construction scenario has been established, the contractor will conduct pre-construction surveys at locations within 50 feet of pile driving to document the existing condition of buildings in case damage is reported during or after construction. The contractor will arrange for the repair of damaged buildings or will pay compensation to the property owner. | Pre-construction/ Construction/ post-construction | Reporting (technical memorandum) | Pre-construction surveys to establish baseline/weekly monitoring during construction/ post-construction repairs, as needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Pre-construction surveys to establish baseline/weekly monitoring during construction/ post-construction repairs, as needed | Contract requirements and specifications | Impact NV#8: Temporary Exposure of Sensitive Receptors and Buildings to Construction Vibration |
| NV-MM#3 | Implement Proposed California High-Speed Rail Project Noise Mitigation Guidelines | <p>Various options exist to address the potentially severe noise effects from HSR operations. The Authority has developed Noise and Vibration Mitigation Guidelines for the statewide HSR system that sets forth three categories of mitigation measures to reduce or offset severe noise impacts from HSR operations: noise barriers, sound insulation, and noise easements. The guidelines also set forth an implementation approach that considers multiple factors for determining the reasonableness of noise barriers as mitigation for severe noise impacts, including structural and seismic safety, cost, number of affected receptors, and effectiveness. Noise barrier mitigation will be designed to reduce the exterior noise level from HSR operations from severe to moderate, according to the provisions of the FRA noise and vibration manual (FRA 2012). The Noise and Vibration Mitigation Guidelines, included as Volume 2, Appendix 3.4-B, Noise and Vibration Mitigation Guidelines, describe the mitigation measures and approach in further detail. Noise barriers, sound insulation, and noise easement measures are described below.</p> <p>Noise Barriers</p> <p>Prior to operation of the HSR, the Authority will install noise barriers where they can achieve between 5 and 15 dB of exterior noise reduction, depending on their height and location relative to the tracks. The primary requirements for an effective noise barrier are that the barrier must (1) be high enough and long enough to break the line-of-sight between the sound source and the receptor, (2) be of an impervious material with a minimum surface density of 4 pounds per square foot, and (3) not have any gaps or holes between the panels or at the bottom. Because many materials meet these</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design | Prior to final design/prior to operation/ monthly reporting during operation | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Implement noise barriers as needed or acquire easements on properties severely affected by noise | Contract requirements and specifications; California HSR System noise and vibration mitigation guidelines | <p>Impact NV#2: Intermittent Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Noise from Operations</p> <p>Impact NV#6: Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Vehicular Traffic Noise Increases</p> <p>Impact NV#7: Traction Power Facility Noise</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>requirements, aesthetics, durability, cost, and maintenance considerations usually determine the selection of materials for noise barriers.</p> <p>Depending on the situation, noise barriers can become visually intrusive. Typically, the noise barrier style will be selected with input from the local jurisdiction to reduce the visual effect of barriers on adjacent lands uses, refer to <i>Aesthetic Options for Non-Station Structures</i> (Authority 2017). For example, noise barriers could be solid or transparent, and made of various colors, materials, and surface treatments.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Authority's Noise and Vibration Mitigation Guidelines, recommended noise barriers must meet the following criteria to be considered a reasonable and feasible mitigation measure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Achieve a minimum of 5 dB of noise reduction; which is then defined as a benefited receptor ▪ The minimum number of receptors should be at least 10 ▪ The length should be at least 800 feet ▪ Must be cost-effective; defined as mitigation not exceeding \$95,000 per benefited receptor <p>The maximum noise barrier height will be 14 feet for at-grade sections. Berm and berm/wall combinations are the preferred types of noise barriers where space and other environmental constraints permit. On aerial structures, the maximum noise barrier height will also be 14 feet, but barrier material will be limited by engineering weight restrictions for barriers on the structure. All noise barriers will be designed to be as low as possible to achieve a substantial noise reduction.</p> <p>Noise barriers on both aerial structures and at-grade structures could consist of solid, semitransparent, or transparent materials as defined in <i>Aesthetic Options for Non-Station Structures</i> (Authority 2017). Volume 2, Appendix 3.4-B provides more details.</p> <p>Install Building Sound Insulation</p> <p>If noise barriers are not proposed for receptors with severe impacts, or if proposed noise barriers would not reduce exterior sound levels to below a severe impact level, the Authority will consider providing sound insulation as a potential additional mitigation measure on a case-by-case basis. Sound insulation of residences and institutional buildings to improve outdoor-to-indoor noise reduction is a mitigation measure that can be considered when the use of noise barriers is not feasible in providing a reasonable level (5 to 7 dBA) of noise reduction. Although this approach has no effect on noise in exterior areas, it may be the best choice for sites where noise barriers are not feasible or desirable and for buildings where indoor sensitivity is of most concern. Substantial improvements in building sound insulation (on the order of 5 to 10 dBA) can</p> | | | | | | | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| | | <p>often be achieved by adding an extra layer of glazing to windows, by sealing holes in exterior surfaces that act as sound leaks, and by providing forced ventilation and air conditioning so that windows do not need to be opened.</p> <p>Noise Easements</p> <p>If a substantial noise reduction cannot be completed through installation of noise barriers or installing sound insulation, the Authority will consider acquiring a noise easement on properties with a severe impact on a case-by-case basis. An agreement between the Authority and the property owner can be established wherein the property owner releases the right to petition the Authority regarding the noise level and subsequent disruptions. This will take the form of an easement that will encompass the property boundaries to the right-of-way of the rail line. The Authority will consider this mitigation measure only in isolated cases where other mitigation is ineffective or infeasible.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| NV-MM#4 | Support Potential Implementation of Quiet Zones by Local Jurisdictions | Trains sound the warning horns approaching at-grade crossings because it is required by the FRA as a safety precaution (49 C.F.R. Parts 222 and 229). FRA does allow for the possibility of establishing horn-free quiet zones, which would eliminate the requirement for all trains to routinely sound their warning horns when approaching at-grade highway/rail crossings. Establishing quiet zones can only be legally undertaken by local jurisdictions; the Authority cannot legally establish or require a quiet zone. However, the Authority will assist local communities with this process through the installation of four-quadrant gates and channelization at all at-grade crossings without them presently on the Project Section, which will help cities to implement quiet zones, should they choose to do so. The Authority will assist with the preparation of technical analysis and provide input for the Quiet Zone application, which the local communities could then use as part of their application to the FRA. Establishing quiet zones will eliminate train warning horns for all trains approaching at-grade highway/rail crossings under normal, non-emergency situations. | Post-construction | Design | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Ongoing management of horn use within quiet zones | Contract requirements and specifications | Impact NV#2: Intermittent Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Noise from Operations |
| NV-MM#5 | Vehicle Noise Specification | During HSR vehicle technology procurement, the Authority will require bidders to meet the federal regulations (40 C.F.R. § 201.12/13) at the time of procurement for locomotives (currently a 90-dB-level standard) operating at speeds faster than 45 mph. | Post-construction | HSR vehicle purchasing | HSR operation | Authority | Authority | HSR vehicle noise specification | Contract requirements and specifications | Impact NV#2: Intermittent Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Noise from Operations |
| NV-MM#6 | Special Trackwork at Crossovers, Turnouts, and Insulated Joints | Prior to construction, the contractor will provide the Authority with an HSR operation noise technical report for review and approval. The report will address the minimization/elimination of rail gaps at crossovers and turnouts. Because the impacts of HSR wheels over rail gaps at turnouts increase HSR noise by approximately 6 dB over typical operations, turnouts can be a major source of noise impact. If the turnouts cannot be moved from | Pre-construction | Design | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Provide operation noise technical report to determine if special trackwork is required | Submit assessment and if required, supplemental environmental documentation | Impact NV#2: Intermittent Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Noise from Operations |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | sensitive areas, the noise technical report will recommend the use of special types of trackwork that eliminate the gap. The Authority will require the project design to follow the recommendations in the approved noise technical report. | | | | | | | | |
| NV-MM#7 | Additional Noise Analysis during Final Design | Prior to construction, the contractor will provide the Authority with an HSR operation noise technical report for review and approval. If final design or final vehicle specifications result in changes to the assumptions underlying the noise technical report, the Authority will prepare necessary environmental documentation, as required by CEQA and NEPA, to reassess noise impacts and mitigation. | Pre-construction | Design | Prior to Construction/ Final vehicle specification | Authority/ Vehicle Contractor | Authority/ Vehicle Contractor | Reassessment of noise and vibration impacts and recommended mitigation following final design | Submit assessment and if required, supplemental environmental documentation | Impact NV#2: Intermittent Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Noise from Operations Impact NV#6: Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Vehicular Traffic Noise Increases Impact NV#7: Traction Power Facility Noise |
| NV-MM#8 | Project Vibration Mitigation Measures | Mitigation for operations vibration impacts can take place at the source, at the sensitive receptor, or along the propagation path from the source to the sensitive receptor. As detailed in Chapter 9, Detailed Vibration Assessment, of the 2012 FRA guidance manual, additional vibration propagation tests will occur and analyses will be performed to assess site-specific conditions during final design. This will then inform the specific design and implementation of vibration mitigation measures. These additional tests will be conducted in areas where the general vibration assessment identifies potential vibration impacts. The tests will consist of vibration propagation testing specific to the locations of potential vibration impacts. The tests will identify a range of potential vibration mitigation measures that will reduce the vibration levels to below the FRA vibration impact thresholds. The range of measures that will be considered for implementation include those listed in Table 3.4-20 in the Final EIR/EIS. | Pre-construction/ Post-construction | Design | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor and Vehicle Contractor | Design/ Construction/ Ongoing management to address vibration impacts. | Contract requirements and specifications; Noise and vibration mitigation guidelines | Impact NV#9: Intermittent Permanent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Vibration from Operations |

Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference

No mitigation measures are required.

Public Utilities and Energy

No mitigation measures are required.

Biological and Aquatic Resources

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| BIO-MM#1 | Prepare and Implement a Restoration and Revegetation Plan | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will prepare an RRP to address temporary impacts resulting from ground-disturbing activities within areas that potentially support special-status species, wetlands, or other aquatic resources. Restoration activities may include, but not be limited to: grading landform contours to approximate pre-disturbance conditions, revegetating disturbed areas with native plant species (including host and nectar plants for butterflies), and using certified weed-free straw and mulch. The Authority will implement the RRP in all temporarily disturbed areas outside of the permanent right-of-way that potentially support special- | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | In accordance with agency permit requirements | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Botanist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Botanist | Prepare and implement RRP/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species Impact BIO#2b: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Mortality of Monarch Butterfly Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or |
|----------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | <p>status species, wetlands, or other aquatic resources. Consistent with Section 1415 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act restoration activities will provide habitat for native pollinators through plantings of native forbs and grasses. The project biologist will obtain a locally sourced native seed mix. The restoration success criteria will include limits on invasive species, as defined by the California Invasive Plant Council, to an increase no greater than 10 percent compared to the pre-disturbance condition, or to a level determined through a comparison with an appropriate reference site consisting of similar natural communities and management regimes. The RRP will outline at a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Procedures for documenting pre-construction conditions for restoration purposes Sources of plant materials and methods of propagation Specification of parameters for maintenance and monitoring of re-established habitats, including weed control measures, frequency of field checks, and monitoring reports for temporary disturbance areas Specification of success criteria for re-established plant communities Specification of the remedial measures to be taken if success criteria are not met Methods and requirements for monitoring restoration/replacement efforts, which may involve a combination of qualitative and quantitative data gathering Maintenance, monitoring, and reporting schedules, including an annual report due to the Authority by January 31st of the following year <p>The RRP will be submitted to the Authority and regulatory agencies, as defined in the conditions of regulatory authorizations, for review and approval.</p> | | | | | | | | <p>Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat</p> <p>Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl</p> <p>Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird</p> <p>Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail</p> <p>Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities</p> <p>Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act</p> <p>Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq.</p> <p>Impact HYD#4: Temporary Impacts on Surface Water Quality during Construction</p> |
| BIO-MM#2 | Prepare and Implement a Weed Control Plan | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity during the construction phase, the project biologist will develop a WCP, subject to review and approval by the Authority. The purpose of the WCP is to establish approaches to minimize and avoid the spread of invasive weeds during ground-disturbing activities during construction and O&M. The WCP will include, at a minimum, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A requirement to delineate ESAs in the field prior to weed control activities A schedule for weed surveys to be conducted in coordination with the BRMP Success criteria for invasive weed control. The success criteria will be linked to the BRMP standards for on-site work during ground-disturbing activities. In particular, the criteria will establish limits on the introduction and spread of invasive species, as defined by the California | Design/ Pre-construction | Prepare plan/ Reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species</p> <p>Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl</p> <p>Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird</p> <p>Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail</p> <p>Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | | <p>Invasive Plant Council, to less than or equal to the pre-disturbance conditions in the area temporarily affected by ground-disturbing activities. If invasive species cover is found to exceed pre-disturbance conditions by greater than 10 percent or is 10 percent greater than levels at a similar, nearby reference site, a control effort will be implemented. If the target, or other success criteria identified in the WCP, has not been met by the end of the WCP monitoring and implementation period, the Authority will continue the monitoring and control efforts, and remedial actions will be identified and implemented until the success criteria are met.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provisions for consistency between the WCP and the RRP, including verification that the RRP includes measures to minimize the risk of the spread or establishment of invasive species and reflects the same revegetation performance standards as the WCP Identification of weed control treatments, including permitted herbicides and manual and mechanical removal methods Timeframes for weed control treatment for each plant species Identification of fire prevention measures | | | | | | | | <p>Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities</p> <p>Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act</p> <p>Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq.</p> |
| BIO-MM#3 | Establish Environmentally Sensitive Areas and Nondisturbance Zones | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity in a work area, the project biologist will use flagging to mark ESAs that support special-status species or aquatic resources and are subject to seasonal restrictions or other avoidance and minimization measures. The project biologist will also direct the installation of WEF to prevent special-status wildlife species from entering work areas. The WEF will have exit doors to allow animals that may be inside an enclosed area to leave the area. The project biologist will also direct the installation of construction exclusionary fencing at the boundary of the work area, as appropriate, to avoid and minimize impacts on special-status species or aquatic resources outside of the work area during the construction period. The ESAs, WEF, and exclusionary fencing will be delineated by the project biologist based on the results of habitat mapping or modeling and any pre-construction surveys, and in coordination with the Authority. The ESA, WEF, and exclusionary fencing will be regularly inspected and maintained by the project biologist.</p> <p>The ESA, WEF, and exclusionary fencing locations will be identified and depicted on an exclusion fencing exhibit. The purpose of the ESAs and WEF will be explained at WEAP training and the locations of the ESA and WEF areas will be noted during worker tailgate sessions.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Identify and establish ESAs, WEF, and construction exclusionary fencing | In accordance with reporting schedule established by agency permit requirements | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | In accordance with reporting schedule established by agency permit requirements | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species</p> <p>Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat</p> <p>Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle</p> <p>Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake</p> <p>Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl</p> <p>Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird</p> <p>Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | <p>Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities</p> <p>Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act</p> <p>Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq.</p> <p>Impact HYD#4: Temporary Impacts on Surface Water Quality during Construction</p> |
| BIO-MM#4 | Conduct Monitoring of Construction Activities | During any initial ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will be present in the work area to verify compliance with avoidance and minimization measures, to establish ESAs, and install WEF and construction exclusion fencing. | Construction | Compliance Report | Monthly or at other appropriate interval | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | In accordance with reporting schedule established by agency permit requirements | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species</p> <p>Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat</p> <p>Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle</p> <p>Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake</p> <p>Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl</p> <p>Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird</p> <p>Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail</p> <p>Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities</p> <p>Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. Impact HYD#4: Temporary Impacts on Surface Water Quality during Construction |
| BIO-MM#5 | Establish and Implement a Compliance Reporting Program | <p>The project biologist will prepare monthly and annual reports documenting compliance with all IAMFs, mitigation measures, and requirements set forth in regulatory agency authorizations. The Authority will review and approve all compliance reports prior to submittal to the regulatory agencies. Reports will be prepared in compliance with the content requirements outlined in the regulatory agency authorizations.</p> <p>Pre-activity survey reports will be submitted within 15 days of completing the surveys and will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Location(s) of where pre-activity surveys were completed, including latitude and longitude, and Assessor Parcel Number ▪ Written description of the surveyed area. A figure of each surveyed location will be provided that depicts the surveyed area and survey buffers over an aerial image ▪ Date, time, and weather conditions observed at each location ▪ Personnel who conducted the pre-activity surveys ▪ Verification of the accuracy of the Authority's habitat mapping at each location, provided in writing and on a figure ▪ Observations made during the survey, including the type and locations (written and GIS) of any sensitive resources detected ▪ Identification of relevant measures from the BRMP to be implemented as a result of the survey observations <p>Daily compliance reports will be submitted to the Authority via EMMA within 24 hours of each monitoring day. Noncompliance events will be reported to the Authority the day of the occurrence. Daily compliance reports will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Date, time, and weather conditions observed at each location where monitoring occurred ▪ Personnel who conducted compliance monitoring ▪ Project activities monitored, including construction equipment in use ▪ Compliance conditions implemented successfully ▪ Noncompliance events observed <p>Daily compliance reports will also be included in the</p> | Construction | Compliance Report | Monthly and annual or at other appropriate intervals | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | In accordance with reporting schedule established by agency permit requirements | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species</p> <p>Impact BIO#2b: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Mortality of Monarch Butterfly</p> <p>Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat</p> <p>Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle</p> <p>Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake</p> <p>Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl</p> <p>Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird</p> <p>Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail</p> <p>Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities</p> <p>Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act</p> <p>Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources,</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|--|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | <p>monthly compliance reports, which will be submitted to the Authority by the 10th of each month and will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of construction activities and locations during the reporting month, including any noncompliance events and their resolution, work stoppages, and take of threatened or endangered species Summary of anticipated project activities and work areas for the upcoming month Tracking of impacts on suitable habitats for each threatened and endangered species identified in USFWS and CDFW authorizations, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An accounting of the number of acres of habitats for which we provide compensatory mitigation that has been disturbed during the reporting month An accounting of the cumulative total number of acres of threatened and endangered species habitat that has been disturbed during the project period Up-to-date GIS layers, associated metadata, and photodocumentation used to track acreages disturbed Copies of all pre-activity survey reports, daily compliance reports, and noncompliance/work stoppage reports for the reporting month <p>Annual reports will be submitted to the Authority by the 20th of January and will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of all monthly compliance reports for the reporting year A general description of the status of the project, including projected completion dates All available information about project-related incidental take of threatened and endangered species Information about other project impacts on the threatened and endangered species A summary of findings from pre-construction surveys (e.g., number of times a threatened or endangered species or a den, burrow, or nest was encountered, location, if avoidance was achieved, if not, what other measures were implemented) Written description of disturbances to threatened and endangered species habitat within work areas, both for the preceding 12 months and in total since issuance of regulatory authorizations by USFWS, NMFS, and CDFW, and updated maps of all land disturbances and updated maps of identified habitat features suitable for threatened and endangered species within the project area. <p>In addition to the compliance reporting requirements, the following items will be provided for compliance documentation purposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If agency personnel visit the construction footprint in accordance with BIO-IAMF#2, the project biologist will | | | | | | | | including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | <p>prepare a memorandum within 1 day of the visit that memorializes the issues raised during the field meeting. This memorandum will be submitted to the Authority via EMMA. Any issues regarding regulatory compliance raised by agency personnel will be reported to the Authority and the contractor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Compliance reporting will be submitted to the Authority via EMMA in accordance with the report schedule. The project biologist will prepare and submit compliance reports that document the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Implementation and performance of the RRP described in BIO-MM#1 – Summary of progress made regarding implementation of the WCP described in BIO-MM#2 – Compliance with BIO-MM#3 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#6 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#7 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#8 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#9 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#10 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#11 – Compliance with BIO-IAMF#12 – BMP field manual implementation and any recommended changes to construction site housekeeping practices outlined in BIO-IAMF#11 ▪ Work stoppages and measures taken under BIO-MM#12, will be documented in a memorandum prepared by the project biologist and submitted to the Authority within 2 business days of the work stoppage. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#6 | Conduct Presence/Absence Pre-Construction Surveys for Special-Status Plant Species and Special-Status Plant Communities | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will conduct presence/absence botanical surveys for special-status plant species and special-status plant communities in all potentially suitable habitats. The surveys will be consistent with <i>Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities</i> (CDFW 2018) and <i>Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed and Candidate Plants</i> (USFWS 2000). The project biologist will flag and record in GIS the locations of any observed special-status plant species and special-status plant communities. | Pre-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Report findings at least 30 days prior to ground disturbance | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist/ Mitigation Manager | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist/ Mitigation Manager | Conduct protocol-level surveys for special-status Report findings at least 30 days prior to ground disturbance | Condition of construction contract following requirements established by regulatory compliance permits | Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities |
| BIO-MM#7 | Prepare and Implement Plan for Salvage, Relocation, or Propagation of Special-Status Plant Species | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will collect seeds and plant materials and stockpile and segregate the top 4 inches of topsoil from locations within the work area where species listed as threatened or endangered under the FESA, threatened, endangered, or candidate for listing under CESA, state-designated "Rare" species, and CRPR 1B and 2 species were observed during surveys for use on off-site locations. Suitable sites to receive salvaged material include Authority mitigation | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | In accordance with agency permit requirements | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Botanist/ Mitigation Manager | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Botanist/ Mitigation Manager | Prepare and implement monitoring, salvage, relocation, and propagation of special-status plant species/ report findings | Condition of construction contract | Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | <p>sites, refuges, reserves, federal or state lands, and public/private mitigation banks.</p> <p>If relocation or propagation is required by authorizations issued under the FESA, CESA, or both, the project biologist will prepare a plant species salvage plan to address monitoring, salvage, relocation, or seed banking of federal or state-listed plant species. The plan will include provisions that address the techniques, locations, and procedures required for the collection, storage, and relocation of seed or plant material; collection, stockpiling, and redistribution of topsoil and associated seed. The plan will also include requirements related to outcomes such as percent absolute cover of highly invasive species, as defined by the California Invasive Plant Council (less than documented baseline conditions), maintenance, monitoring, implementation, and the annual reporting. The plan will reflect conditions required under regulatory authorizations issued for federal or state-listed species. The project biologist will submit the plan to the Authority for review and approval.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#8 | Prepare a Compensatory Mitigation Plan for Species and Species Habitat | <p>The Authority will prepare a CMP that sets out the compensatory mitigation that would be provided to offset permanent and temporary impacts on federal and state-listed species and their habitat, fish and wildlife resources regulated under Section 1600 et seq. of the Cal. Fish and Game Code, and certain other special-status species. The CMP will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A description of the species and habitat types for which compensatory mitigation is being provided ▪ A description of the methods used to identify and evaluate mitigation options. Mitigation options will include one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Purchase of mitigation credits from an agency-approved mitigation bank – Protection of habitat through acquisition of fee-title or conservation easement and funding for long-term management of the habitat. Title to lands acquired in fee will be transferred to CDFW and conservation easements will be held by an entity approved in writing by the applicable regulatory agency. In circumstances where the Authority protects habitat through a conservation easement, the terms of the conservation easement will be subject to approval of the applicable regulatory agencies, and the conservation easement will identify applicable regulatory agencies as third-party beneficiaries with a right of access to the easement areas. – Payment to an existing in-lieu fee program ▪ A summary of the estimated direct permanent and temporary impacts on species and species habitat ▪ A description of the process that will be used to confirm impacts. Actual impacts on species and habitat could | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design/ final design/ surveying/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist/ Mitigation Manager | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist/ Mitigation Manager | Compensatory mitigation based on amount of habitat loss and methods described in the CMP. | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species</p> <p>Impact BIO#2b: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Mortality of Monarch Butterfly</p> <p>Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat</p> <p>Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle</p> <p>Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake</p> <p>Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl</p> <p>Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | <p>differ from estimates. Should this occur, adjustments will be made to the compensatory mitigation that will be provided. Adjustments to impact estimates and compensatory mitigation would occur in the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Impacts on species (typically measured as habitat loss) are reduced or increased as a result of changes in project design – Pre-construction site assessments indicate that habitat features are absent (e.g., because of errors in land cover mapping or land cover conversion) – The habitat is determined to be unoccupied based on negative species surveys – Impacts initially categorized as permanent qualify as temporary impacts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An overview of the strategy for mitigating impacts on species. The overview will include the ratios to be applied to determine mitigation levels and the resulting mitigation totals. ▪ A description of habitat restoration or enhancement projects, if any, that will contribute to compensatory mitigation commitments. ▪ A description of the success criteria that will be used to evaluate the performance of habitat restoration or enhancement projects, and a description of the types of monitoring that will be used to verify that such criteria have been met. ▪ A description of the management actions that will be used to maintain the habitat on the mitigation sites, and the funding mechanisms for long-term management. ▪ A description of adaptive management approaches, if applicable, that will be used in the management of species habitat. ▪ A description of financial assurances that will be provided to demonstrate that the funding to implement mitigation is assured. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#9 | Implement Measures to Minimize Impacts during Off-Site Habitat Restoration, or Enhancement, or Creation on Mitigation Sites | <p>Prior to ground-disturbing activities associated with habitat restoration, enhancement, or creation actions at a mitigation site, the Authority will conduct a site assessment of the work area to identify biological and aquatic resources, including plant communities, land cover types, and the distribution of special-status plants and wildlife.</p> <p>Based on the results of the site assessment, the Authority will obtain any necessary regulatory authorizations prior to conducting habitat restoration, enhancement, or creation activities, including authorization under FESA or CESA, Cal. Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq., the CWA, and the Porter-Cologne Act.</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement, or creation of aquatic resources may result in the permanent conversion of</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design/ final design/ surveying/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Yearly or as established by regulatory compliance permits | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Implement measure to avoid and minimize impacts during off-site habitat restoration, enhancement, and creation/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species</p> <p>Impact BIO#2b: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Mortality of Monarch Butterfly</p> <p>Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat</p> <p>Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog</p> |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | grassland to wetland or riparian habitat. While such activities would be beneficial for riparian, and aquatic-breeding species, they would result in a small but measurable loss of upland habitat for other species (e.g., foraging habitat for tricolored blackbird, nonbreeding habitat for California red-legged frog). Permanent impacts on grassland habitat from aquatic resource restoration, enhancement, and creation would be mitigated at a minimum ratio of 1:1 (acres preserved, enhanced, or restored: acres affected). | | | | | | | | and Western Pond Turtle Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird |
| BIO-MM#10 | Compensate for Impacts on Listed Plant Species | The Authority will provide compensatory mitigation for direct impacts on federally and state-listed plant species based on the number of acres of plant habitat directly affected. Such mitigation will include the following measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compensatory mitigation will be provided at a 1:1 ratio to offset direct impacts on federally listed plant species habitat, unless a higher ratio is required pursuant to regulatory authorizations issued under FESA. Compensatory mitigation will be provided at a 1:1 ratio to offset direct impacts on state-listed plant species habitat, unless a higher ratio is required pursuant to regulatory authorizations issued under CESA. Compensatory mitigation will be provided using one or more of the methods described in BIO-MM#8. | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design/ final design/ mitigation | Yearly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Prepare and implement CMP for temporary and permanent impacts on special-status species and their habitat | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#1: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for Special-Status Plant Species |
| BIO-MM#12 | Work Stoppage | In the event that any special-status wildlife species is found in a work area, the project biologist will have the authority to halt work to prevent the death or injury to the species. Any such work stoppage will be limited to the area necessary to protect the species and work may be resumed once the project biologist determines that the individuals of the species have moved out of harm's way or the project biologist has relocated them out of the work area. Relocation areas for listed reptiles or amphibians will be a minimum of 500 feet from the work area boundary and will not include staging areas or roads. Relocation of fully protected species is prohibited; rather, the individual will be allowed to move out of the work area of its own volition before construction resumes. Any such work stoppages and the measures taken to facilitate the removal of the species, if any, will be documented in a memorandum prepared by the project biologist and submitted to the Authority within 2 business days of the work stoppage. | Construction | Monitoring/ Reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Halt work to relocate special-status wildlife species (if possible)/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl Impact BIO#7: Removal or Disturbance of Active Alameda Song Sparrow and Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Nests Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Blackbird Impact BIO#9: Removal or Disturbance of Active White-Tailed Kite Nests Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail |
| BIO-MM#13 | Restore Temporary Riparian Habitat Impacts | Within 90 days of completing construction in a work area, the project biologist will direct the revegetation of any riparian areas temporarily disturbed as a result of the construction activities, using appropriate native plants and seed mixes (including host and nectar plants for butterflies). Native plants and seed mixes will be obtained from stock originating from areas within the local watershed, to the extent feasible. The project biologist will monitor restoration activities consistent with provisions in the RRP (BIO-MM#1). | Construction/ Post-construction | Restoration/ Monitoring/ Reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Revegetate disturbed riparian areas/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird Impact BIO#10: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Ringtail Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. Impact HYD#4: Temporary Impacts on Surface Water Quality during Construction |
| BIO-MM#14 | Prepare Plan for Dewatering and Water Diversions | Prior to initiating any construction activity that occurs within open or flowing water, or streamside activities, the Authority will prepare a dewatering plan, which will be subject to review and approval by the applicable regulatory agencies. The plan will incorporate measures to minimize turbidity and siltation. The project biologist will monitor the dewatering or water diversion sites, including collection of water quality data, as applicable. Prior to the dewatering or diverting of water from a site, the project biologist will conduct pre-activity surveys to determine the presence or absence of special-status species within the affected waterbody. In the event that special-status species are detected during pre-activity surveys, the | Pre-construction/ Construction | Design/ final design/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Prepare and implement dewatering and waste diversion plan/report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat Impact HYD#4: Temporary Impacts on Surface Water Quality during Construction |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|---------------------|--|---|
| | | project biologist will relocate the species (unless the species is fully protected under state law), consistent with any regulatory authorizations applicable to the species. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#15 | Prepare and Implement a Cofferdam Fish Rescue Plan | <p>If cofferdam construction or stream dewatering is required, the Authority will develop a fish rescue plan. The fish rescue plan will outline the methods for removing and relocating fish to adjacent waterways and will be implemented by a qualified fisheries biologist. The plan will also include methods for minimizing the risk of stress and mortality from capture and handling and adverse impacts on listed fish species (if present) associated with fish stranding. NMFS and CDFW will be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of fish rescue efforts, and a report of the species, number, and size of fish collected will be submitted to CDFW and NMFS within 30 days of the fish rescue. The area to be dewatered will first be seined and then electrofished to remove remaining fish. The agency-approved biologist must have appropriate training and experience in electrofishing techniques and all electrofishing must be conducted according to the NMFS Guidelines for Electrofishing Waters Containing Salmonids Listed under the Endangered Species Act (NMFS 2000). A fisheries biologist will be on-site during initial dewatering to confirm compliance with the fish rescue plan. In streams bearing anadromous fish, in-water construction will avoid migration periods, and dewatering (installation of cofferdams) will begin no earlier than June 1 and will be completed (i.e., cofferdams removed) by October 15.</p> <p>If a cofferdam is required, the Authority will implement the following measures, unless other methods are approved by NMFS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Build cofferdams 30 to 50 feet upstream and downstream of the construction location ▪ Minimize the cofferdam footprint to the minimum extent possible ▪ Pump water from the upstream location to the downstream location through a flexible corrugated pipe ▪ Match pumping volumes and velocities to upstream flows and maintain pumping volumes and velocities to match changes in upstream flows ▪ Install a T-pipe and riprap apron at the discharge location to disperse outflow and minimize erosion ▪ Build cofferdams and riprap aprons over visqueen or similar material to facilitate cleanup and removal of materials ▪ Remove all construction materials, including sandbags and rock, and restore the area to pre-construction contours <p>The agency-approved biologist will continuously monitor the placement of cofferdams and dewatering of isolated areas for the purpose of removing and relocating any</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Implement fish rescue plan including minimization measures and monitoring, if required | During construction | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | During construction | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | listed species that were not detected or could not be removed and relocated prior to construction. The agency-approved biologist will be present at the work site until all listed species have been removed and relocated. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#16 | Prepare and Implement an Underwater Sound Control Plan | <p>The Authority will develop an underwater sound control plan to avoid and minimize potential adverse impacts from in-water pile-driving activities on federally-listed special-status fish species. The underwater sound control plan will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Measures to minimize underwater sound pressure levels to below the following thresholds for peak pressure and accumulated sound exposure levels: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Peak pressure = 206 dB – Accumulated sound exposure levels = 183 dB – Cumulative sound limit = 187 dB for fish over 2 grams – Cumulative sound limit = 183 dB for fish under 2 grams ▪ Underwater sound monitoring during pile-driving activities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Hydroacoustic monitoring and construction oversight will be conducted by a hydroacoustic monitoring specialist. ▪ Oversight of all monitoring and construction activities by an agency-approved biological monitor to enforce full compliance with the underwater sound control plan ▪ Use of vibratory or non-impact methods (i.e., hydraulic) to drive sheet piling that results in sound pressures below threshold levels to the extent feasible ▪ Restrictions on pile driving to daytime hours ▪ Initial drives will be low energy with reduced impact frequency, gradually increasing in energy and frequency until necessary full force and frequency are achieved. <p>The underwater sound control plan will be provided to CDFW for review and approval a minimum of 30 days prior to starting work. The underwater sound control plan will also be submitted to NMFS for approval for federally listed species. The underwater sound control plan will include work location and timing, summary of engineering plans, and pile driving methods. The plan will also include a sound attenuation system for impact-driven piles. Sound attenuation systems may include, but are not limited to, a confined bubble curtain, an unconfined bubble curtain, isolation casings, and wooden pile cushions.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Implement underwater sound control plan measures and monitoring, if required | During construction | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | During construction | Contract requirements and specifications following requirements established by regulatory compliance permits | Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat |
| BIO-MM#17 | Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Permanent Impacts on Steelhead Habitat, Green Sturgeon | <p>The Authority will provide compensatory mitigation for permanent impacts on habitat for CCC steelhead, green sturgeon, and EFH that is commensurate with the type (rearing, migratory, or critical habitat) and amount of habitat lost as follows:</p> | Post-construction/ Construction/ Post-Construction | Design/ Final design/ Compensatory mitigation/ Reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority will provide compensatory mitigation for Steelhead | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#3: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Central California Coast Steelhead, Pacific Lamprey, and Green Sturgeon, and Permanent Conversion or |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Habitat, and Essential Fish Habitat | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All rearing and migratory aquatic and riparian habitat within critical habitat will be protected and restored or protected and enhanced at a minimum of 2:1 (protected:affected) or as specified in authorizations issued under FESA All other rearing and migratory aquatic and riparian habitat will be protected and restored or protected and enhanced at a minimum of 1:1 (protected:affected) or as specified in authorizations issued under FESA <p>The Authority will purchase riparian and aquatic habitat credits at an NMFS-approved anadromous fish conservation bank, or another NMFS-approved conservation option, for the areal extent of riparian and suitable aquatic habitat affected by the project. In the event the Authority chooses not to utilize existing mitigation banks, it will propose other approaches to the applicable regulatory agencies for consideration. Any such approaches will take into account the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Riparian habitat conditions that are consistent with the existing flow regime and maintain and improve habitat characteristics (e.g., shade, formation and maintenance of refugia) Local and regional conservation goals Long-term access for monitoring and maintenance Upstream and downstream conditions <p>Conservation options developed to offset impacts to steelhead and green sturgeon habitat and EFH will be considered in the development of the CMP (BIO-MM#8), RRP (BIO-MM#1) and flood protection plan (HYD-IAMF#2).</p> | | | | | | Habitat, Green Sturgeon Habitat, and Essential Fish Habitat impacts | | Degradation of Essential Fish Habitat |
| BIO-MM#18 | Conduct Pre-Construction Surveys for Special-Status Reptile and Amphibian Species | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activities in suitable habitat for special-status reptile and amphibian species, the project biologist will conduct a pre-construction survey of the work area no more than 30 days before the start of ground-disturbing activities in the work area. The results of the pre-construction survey will be used to guide the placement of ESAs or conduct species relocation. The following species are subject to this measure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> California red-legged frog San Francisco garter snake Western pond turtle <p>The soils containing seeds and cysts may later be returned to the affected pool after work has been completed or incorporated into other vernal pools, as provided by regulatory authorizations under FESA.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Conduct pre-construction surveys; establish ESAs and WEFs; compliance reporting Surveys conducted 30 days prior to ground-disturbance; submit monthly reports during construction | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake |
| BIO-MM#19 | Implement Avoidance and Minimization Measures for Special-Status Reptile and Amphibian Species | <p>The project biologist will monitor all initial ground-disturbing activities that occur within suitable habitat for special-status reptiles and amphibians, and will conduct clearance surveys of suitable habitat in the work area on a daily basis. If a special-status reptile or amphibian is</p> | Construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Clearance surveys as needed for special-status reptiles and | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | | observed, the project biologist will identify actions, to the extent feasible, sufficient to avoid impacts on the species and to allow it to leave the area of its own volition. Such actions may include establishing a temporary ESA in the area where a special-status reptile or amphibian has been observed and delineating a 50-foot no-work buffer around the ESA. In circumstances where a no-work buffer is not feasible the project biologist will relocate any of the species observed from the work area. For federal or state-listed species, relocations will be undertaken in accordance with regulatory authorizations issued under the FESA, CESA, or both. Fully protected species will not be relocated and will instead be allowed to leave the work area of their own volition. | | | | | | amphibians/ avoidance or relocation of such species/ report findings | | Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake |
| BIO-MM#20 | Install San Francisco Garter Snake and California Red-Legged Frog Exclusion Fencing at SFO West-of-Bayshore Property | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity adjacent to or within San Francisco garter snake and California red-legged frog habitat at the SFO West-of-Bayshore property (between MP 11.4 and 13.4), the contractor, under the direction of the project biologist, will install temporary WEF along the boundary of the work area or will implement similar measures as otherwise required pursuant to regulatory authorizations issued under FESA. WEF must be installed for a 2-week period prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activity and trenched into the soil at least 6 inches deep, with the soil compacted against both sides of the fence for its entire length to prevent San Francisco garter snakes and California red-legged frogs from passing under the fence. The WEF must have intermittent exit points. The project biologist will monitor construction activities inside the WEF on a full-time basis during the peak activity period for San Francisco garter snakes and California red-legged frogs (March to July [SFO 2014]) and will conduct daily inspections of the WEF prior to and during any construction activities inside the WEF from August to February. Vehicle speeds inside WEF work areas will be limited to 5 mph. Any needed repairs to the WEF will be made within 24 hours. During monitoring and daily inspections, the project biologist will check for San Francisco garter snakes and California red-legged frogs under vehicles and equipment that have been inactive for periods of 8 hours or more. Temporary WEF will be removed after all ground disturbance and equipment use (including vehicles) for the activity is completed. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Construct exclusionary fencing; Monitoring; Compliance reporting | Daily monitoring; Monthly reporting | Authority/ Contractor | Authority | In accordance with reporting schedule established by agency permit requirements | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| BIO-MM#21 | Compensate for Impacts on San Francisco Garter Snake and California Red-Legged Frog Habitat | <p>The Authority will provide compensatory mitigation to offset the loss of modeled San Francisco garter snake and California red-legged frog habitat. Compensatory mitigation will be provided in the following ratios, unless higher ratios are required through regulatory authorizations issued under the FESA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 2:1 for permanent impacts on aquatic habitat ▪ 1:1 for permanent impacts on refugia habitat <p>Compensatory mitigation will be provided using one or more of the methods described in BIO-MM#8.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design/ final design/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority to provide compensation based on amount suitable habitat affected by the project | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | <p>Impact BIO#4: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of California Red-Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle</p> <p>Impact BIO#5: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of San Francisco Garter Snake</p> |
| BIO-MM#22 | Conduct Surveys for Burrowing Owls | No more than 30 days but no less than 14 days prior to any ground-disturbing activity in burrowing owl habitat, the project biologist will conduct pre-construction surveys for burrowing owl within suitable habitat in the work area and extending 250 feet from the boundary of the work area, where access is available. Surveys will be conducted in accordance with the SCVHP's condition of approval for covered activities in burrowing owl habitat (County of Santa Clara et al. 2012: page 6-62). This methodology is consistent with the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG 2012), but it may be updated based on future changes by the SCVHA. | Pre-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Conduct protocol-level surveys; compliance reporting; monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl |
| BIO-MM#23 | Implement Avoidance and Minimization Measures for Burrowing Owls | <p>Occupied burrowing owl burrows found during pre-construction surveys will be avoided in accordance with the SCVHP's condition of approval for covered activities in burrowing owl habitat (County of Santa Clara et al. 2012: page 6-62). To the extent feasible, the project biologist will establish 250-foot no-work buffers around occupied burrowing owl burrows in the work area. An occupied burrow is defined as any burrow at which (1) an adult owl is observed on two or more pre-construction surveys, or (2) a pair of adult owls is observed on one or more pre-construction surveys. Construction may proceed outside the 250-foot nondisturbance zone. Construction may proceed inside the 250-foot nondisturbance no-work buffer zone during the breeding season (February 1 to August 31) if the following criteria described in the SCVHP are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The nest is not disturbed ▪ The Authority develops an avoidance and minimization and monitoring plan that will be sent to CDFW for technical review prior to construction in the work area based on the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – A qualified biologist monitors the owls for at least 3 days prior to construction to determine baseline nesting and foraging behavior (i.e., behavior without construction). – The same qualified biologist monitors the owls during construction and finds no change in owl nesting and foraging behavior in response to construction activities. | Pre-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Establish no-work buffers around occupied burrowing owl burrows/ relocation as needed/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If there is any change in owl nesting and foraging behavior as a result of construction activities, these activities will cease within the 250-foot buffer. Construction cannot resume within the 250-foot buffer until the adults and juveniles from the occupied burrows have moved out of the project site. - If monitoring indicates that the nest is abandoned prior to the end of nesting season and the burrow is no longer in use by owls, the nondisturbance buffer zone may be removed. The biologist will excavate the burrow to prevent reoccupation. <p>Construction may proceed inside the 250-foot nondisturbance no-work buffer zone during the non-breeding season (September 1 to January 31) if the following criteria described in the SCVHP are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A qualified biologist monitors the owls for at least 3 days prior to construction to determine baseline foraging behavior (i.e., behavior without construction). ▪ The same qualified biologist monitors the owls during construction and finds no change in owl foraging behavior in response to construction activities. ▪ If there is any change in owl foraging behavior as a result of construction activities, these activities will cease within the 250-foot buffer. Construction cannot resume within the 250-foot buffer until the adults and juveniles from the occupied burrows have moved out of the project site. ▪ If the owls are gone for at least 1 week, a qualified biologist will excavate usable burrows to prevent owls from re-occupying the site. After all usable burrows are excavated, the buffer zone will be removed and construction may continue. ▪ Passive relocation may be employed in work areas during the non-breeding season if other measures described in this condition do not allow work to continue. Passive relocation would only be considered if the burrow needed to be removed, or had the potential of collapsing (e.g. from construction activities). Passive relocation would occur as described in the SCVHP (County of Santa Clara et al. 2012: page 6-66) in consultation with CDFW. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#24 | Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Loss of Active Burrowing Owl Burrows and Habitat | <p>To compensate for permanent impacts on occupied burrowing owl breeding and foraging habitat, the Authority will provide compensatory mitigation at a minimum 1:1 ratio for occupied breeding and foraging habitat or other actions (e.g., habitat enhancement, provide funding to SCVHA burrowing owl program) of equivalent value for the species.</p> <p>Compensatory mitigation lands proposed as compensatory mitigation will meet the following criteria:</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design/ final design/ surveying/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority to provide compensation for number of burrowing owl burrows affected by the project; prior to operation | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#6: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Burrowing Owl |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Support at least two breeding adult owls for every breeding adult owl displaced by construction of the project or support at least 1 acre of burrowing owl breeding habitat for every acre of habitat affected (i.e., 1:1 mitigation ratio). For the purposes of this measure, burrowing owl breeding habitat is defined as any land cover type with all of the following attributes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Open terrain with well-drained soils – Short, sparse vegetation with few shrubs and no trees – Underground burrows or burrow surrogates (e.g., debris piles, culverts, pipes) for nesting and shelter from predators or weather. Burrows in earthen levees, berms, or canal banks within or along the margins of agricultural fields can be counted as compensatory breeding habitat as long as adjacent fields or pastures are suitable for foraging. – Abundant and accessible prey (e.g., arthropods, small rodents, amphibians, lizards) ▪ Located as close to the impact location and existing western burrowing occupied habitat as feasible | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#25 | Conduct Pre-Construction Surveys and Delineate Active Nest Buffers Exclusion Areas for Breeding Birds | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, including vegetation removal, scheduled to occur during the bird breeding season (February 1 to September 1), the project biologist will conduct visual pre-construction surveys within 0.5 mile of the work area for nesting birds and active nests (nests with eggs or young) of native bird species listed under the MBTA, the Cal. Fish and Game Code, or both.</p> <p>In the event that active bird nests are observed during the pre-construction survey, the project biologist will delineate no-work buffers. No-work buffers will be set at a distance of 0.5 mile for white-tailed kite, 500 feet for other raptor species, and 250 feet for other birds protected by the MBTA or Cal. Fish and Game Code. No-work buffers will be maintained until nestlings have fledged and are no longer reliant on the nest or parental care for survival or the project biologist determines that the nest has been abandoned. In circumstances where it is not feasible to maintain the standard no-work buffer, the no-work buffer may be reduced, provided that the project biologist determines that the smaller size will be sufficient to avoid impacts, and the project biologist monitors the active nest during the construction activity to determine whether or not the nesting birds become agitated. If the biologist observes signs of agitation, work within the buffer will halt until the nestlings have fledged or the nest is abandoned.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Conduct pre-construction surveys; identify no-work buffers Surveys conducted prior to ground disturbance | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#7: Removal or Disturbance of Active Alameda Song Sparrow and Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Nests Impact BIO#8: Permanent Conversion and Degradation of Habitat for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Least Bell's Vireo, Yellow Warbler, and Tricolored Blackbird Impact BIO#9: Removal or Disturbance of Active White-Tailed Kite Nests |
| BIO-MM#30 | Conduct Pre-Construction Surveys for Special-Status Bat Species | <p>Prior to replacement or modification of any bridges modeled as bat habitat, the project biologist will conduct pre-construction bridge surveys as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The project biologist will conduct a survey of the bridge looking for evidence of roosting bats no less than 2 months prior to construction. If bat sign is detected, | Pre-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Conduct visual and acoustic pre-construction survey for roosting bats/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#12: Removal of Roost Sites for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Special-Status Bats |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>biologists will conduct an evening visual emergence survey of the bridge, from a half hour before sunset to 1 to 2 hours after sunset for a minimum of 2 nights within the season that construction would be taking place. Night-vision goggles, full-spectrum acoustic detectors, or both will be used during emergence surveys to assist in species identification. All emergence surveys will be conducted during favorable weather conditions (calm nights with temperatures conducive to bat activity and no precipitation predicted).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ If a potentially active bat roost is in the bridge, passive monitoring with full-spectrum bat detectors will be used to assist in determining species present. A minimum of 4 nights of acoustic monitoring surveys will be conducted within the season that construction would be taking place. If site security allows, detectors will be set to record bat calls for the duration of each night. To the extent possible, all monitoring will be conducted during favorable weather conditions (calm nights with temperatures conducive to bat activity and no precipitation predicted). The biologists will analyze the bat call data using appropriate software and will prepare a report to be submitted to the Authority. <p>Prior to the removal of large (i.e., greater than 24 inches diameter-at-breast-height) trees, the project biologist will conduct pre-construction tree removal surveys as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Within 2 weeks prior to tree removal, the project biologist will examine trees to be removed for suitable bat roosting habitat. High-quality habitat features (e.g., large tree cavities, basal hollows, loose or peeling bark, larger snags) will be identified, and the area around these features searched for bats and bat sign (e.g., guano, culled insect parts, staining). ▪ If bat sign is detected, biologists will conduct an evening visual emergence survey of the source habitat feature, from a half hour before sunset to 1 to 2 hours after sunset for a minimum of 2 nights within the season that construction would be taking place. Night-vision goggles, full-spectrum acoustic detectors, or both will be used during emergence surveys to assist in species identification. All emergence surveys will be conducted during favorable weather conditions (calm nights with temperatures conducive to bat activity and no precipitation predicted). ▪ If a potentially active bat roost is identified within a tree proposed for removal, passive monitoring with full-spectrum bat detectors will be used to assist in determining species present. A minimum of 4 nights of acoustic monitoring surveys will be conducted within the season that construction would be taking place. If site security allows, detectors should be set to record bat calls for the duration of each night. To the extent possible, all monitoring will be conducted during | | | | | | | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | favorable weather conditions (calm nights with temperatures conducive to bat activity and no precipitation predicted). The biologists will analyze the bat call data using appropriate software and prepare a report to be submitted to the Authority. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#31 | Implement Bat Avoidance and Relocation Measures | <p>If active hibernacula or maternity roosts are found in the work area during pre-construction surveys, avoidance will be the preferred approach to minimize impacts. If avoidance of the roost is not feasible, the project biologist will prepare a relocation plan and provide for an alternative bat roost outside the project footprint.</p> <p>The project biologist will implement the relocation plan before the commencement of any ground-disturbing activities in the work area and within 75 feet of the roost. Removal of roosts will only occur between August 1 and October 31 and will be guided by accepted exclusion and deterrent techniques. If delay of construction activities until the period between August 1 and October 31 for removal of a roost is not feasible, then construction may proceed.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Avoid active or hibernation roosts, if feasible/ if necessary, prepare and implement relocation plan for bat roosts/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#12: Removal of Roost Sites for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Special-Status Bats |
| BIO-MM#32 | Implement Bat Exclusion and Deterrence Measures | <p>If nonbreeding or nonhibernating individuals or groups of bats are found roosting within the work area, the project biologist will facilitate the eviction of the bats by either opening the roosting area to change the lighting and airflow conditions, or installing one-way doors or other appropriate methods.</p> <p>To the extent feasible, the Authority will leave the roost undisturbed by project activities for a minimum of 1 week after implementing exclusion or eviction activities. Steps will not be taken to evict bats from active maternity or hibernacula; instead such features may be relocated pursuant to a relocation plan.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Safely evict bats from roosts except for established maternity roosts and occupied hibernation roosts/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#12: Removal of Roost Sites for and Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Special-Status Bats |
| BIO-MM#33 | Install Aprons or Barriers within Security Fencing | <p>Prior to final construction design the project biologist will review the fencing plans along any portion of the permanent right-of-way that is adjacent to natural habitats and confirm that the permanent security fencing will be enhanced with a barrier (e.g., fine mesh fencing) that extends at least 12 inches below ground and 12 inches above ground to prevent special-status reptiles, amphibians, and mammals from moving through or underneath the fencing and gaining access to areas within the right-of-way. At the 12-inch depth of the below-grade portion of the apron, it will extend or be bent at an approximately 90-degree angle and oriented outward from the right-of-way a minimum of 12 inches, to prevent fossorial mammals, reptiles, and amphibians from digging or tunneling below the security fence and gaining access to the right-of-way. A climber barrier (e.g., rigid curved or bent overhang) will be installed at the top of the apron to prevent reptiles, amphibians and mammals from climbing over the apron.</p> <p>The project biologist will make sure that the selected apron material and climber barrier does not cause harm,</p> | Design/ Pre-construction/ Construction | Design and installation of apron or fencing | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Design of wildlife movement plans | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#14: Intermittent Disturbance of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Special-Status Wildlife during Operations |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | injury, entanglement, or entrapment to wildlife species. The Authority will provide for quarterly inspection and repair of the fencing. The specific design and method for installation of an apron or barrier may vary as required by regulatory authorizations issued under FESA, CESA, or both. Prior to operation the project biologist will field inspect the fencing along any portion of the permanent right-of-way that is adjacent to natural habitats and confirm that the fencing has been appropriately installed. Fencing plan review and field inspection will be documented in a memorandum from the project biologist and provided to the Authority. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#34 | Minimize Permanent Intermittent Impacts on Aerial Species Movement | To address the permanent intermittent impact of operations on aerial wildlife movement from train strike and entrapment, the Authority will implement an array of deterrent and diversion features for avian species. These features include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Install pigeon wire or other features to discourage birds from perching on OCS throughout the project In selected areas near SJC, place flight barriers such as fencing, pole barriers or a tubular screen (Life Impacto Cero 2015) to the height of OCS to avoid birds (especially burrowing owls) flying into the rail alignment and being struck by the train: Alternative B between Stations B2270 and 2390 (near SJC); Alternative A between Stations B2872 and 2930 (near SJC). Modify OCS poles to preclude bird entrapment in hollow poles (e.g., avoid the use of tubular poles or cap openings in all poles) Design aerial structures and tunnel portals to discourage bats from roosting in expansion joints or other crevices; light tunnel entrances | Design/ Pre-construction/ Construction | Design of OCS and other wildlife movement plans | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Design of wildlife movement plans | Condition of construction contract | Impact BIO#14: Intermittent Disturbance of Habitat for and Direct Mortality of Special-Status Wildlife during Operations |
| BIO-MM#35 | Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Permanent Impacts on Riparian Habitat | The Authority will compensate for permanent impacts on riparian habitats at a ratio of 2:1, unless a higher ratio is required by agencies with regulatory jurisdiction over the resource. Compensatory mitigation may occur through habitat restoration, the acquisition of credits from an approved mitigation bank, or participation in an in-lieu fee program. | Pre-construction/ construction/ post-construction | Design/ final design/ surveying/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Yearly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Mitigate permanent riparian habitat impacts through compensation/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. Impact HYD#5: Permanent Impacts on Surface Water Quality |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| BIO-MM#36 | Restore Aquatic Resources Subject to Temporary Impacts | Within 90 days of the completion of construction activities in a work area, the Authority will begin to restore aquatic resources that were temporarily affected by the construction. Aquatic resources are those resources considered waters of the U.S. under the federal CWA or waters of the state under the Porter-Cologne Act. As set out in the RRP (BIO-MM#1), such areas will be, to the extent feasible, restored to their natural topography. In areas where gravel or geotextile fabrics have been installed to protect substrate and to otherwise minimize impacts, the material will be removed and the affected features would be restored. The Authority will revegetate affected aquatic resources using appropriate native plants and seed mixes (from local vendors where available). The Authority will conduct maintenance monitoring consistent with the provisions of the RRP. | Construction/ Post-construction | Restoration/ monitoring/ reporting | Weekly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Restore disturbed aquatic resources/ conduct revegetation/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq. |
| BIO-MM#37 | Prepare and Implement a Compensatory Mitigation Plan for Impacts on Aquatic Resources | <p>The Authority will prepare and implement a CMP that identifies mitigation to address temporary and permanent loss, including functions and values, of aquatic resources as defined as waters of the U.S. under the federal CWA and waters of the state under the Porter-Cologne Act. Compensatory mitigation will prevent net loss of functions and values and may involve the restoration, establishment, enhancement, and/or preservation of aquatic resources through one or more of the following methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Purchase of credits from an agency-approved mitigation bank ▪ Preservation of aquatic resources through acquisition of property ▪ Establishment, restoration, or enhancement of aquatic resources ▪ In-lieu fee contribution determined through consultation with the applicable regulatory agencies <p>The following ratios will be used for compensatory mitigation for aquatic resources unless a higher ratio is required pursuant to regulatory authorizations issued under Section 404 of the CWA, the Porter-Cologne Act, or Section 10 of the RHA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Seasonal wetlands: between 1.1:1 and 1.5:1 based on impact type, function and values lost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – 1:1 off-site for permanent impacts – 1:1 on-site and 0.1:1 to 0.5:1 off-site for temporary impacts ▪ All other wetland types: 1:1 ▪ All nonwetland types: mitigated on-site at 1:1 or off-site 1:1 if on-site mitigation is not practicable. <p>For mitigation involving establishment, restoration, enhancement, or preservation of aquatic resources by the Authority, the CMP will contain, but will not be limited to,</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Design/ final design/ surveying/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Yearly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Prepare and implement CMP for temporary and permanent impact on aquatic resources/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#18: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Special-Status Plant Communities Impact BIO#20: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources Considered Jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and the State Porter-Cologne Act, or under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act Impact BIO#21: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Aquatic Resources, including Riparian Communities, Subject to Notification under California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq.3 Impact HYD#4: Temporary Impacts on Surface Water Quality during Construction Impact HYD#5: Permanent Impacts on Surface Water Quality |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | <p>the following primary information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objectives—A description of the resource types and amounts that will be provided, the type of compensation (i.e., restoration, establishment, enhancement, and/or preservation), and the manner in which the resource functions of the compensatory mitigation project will address the needs of the watershed or ecoregion. Site selection—A description of the factors considered during the term sustainability of the resource. Adaptive management plan—A management strategy to address changes in site conditions or other components of the compensatory mitigation project. Financial assurances—A description of financial assurances that will be provided for the success of compensatory mitigation. <p>Additional information required in a CMP as outlined in 33 C.F.R. Section 332.4(c), as deemed appropriate and necessary by USACE will also be addressed in the CMP. In circumstances where the Authority intends to fulfill compensatory mitigation obligations by securing credits from approved mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs, the CMP need only include the name of the specific mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program to be used, the number of credits proposed to be purchased, and a rationale for why this number of credits was determined appropriate.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#38 | Prepare and Implement an Annual Vegetation Control Plan | <p>Prior to O&M of the HSR, the Authority will prepare an annual VCP to address vegetation removal for the purpose of maintaining clear areas around facilities, reducing the risk of fire, and controlling invasive weeds during the operational phase. The Authority will generally follow the procedures established in Chapter C2 of the Caltrans Maintenance Manual to manage vegetation on Authority property (Caltrans 2017). Vegetation will be controlled by chemical, thermal, biological, cultural, mechanical, structural, and manual methods. The VCP will be updated each winter and completed in time to be implemented no later than April 1 of each year. The annual update to the VCP will include a section addressing issues encountered during the prior year and changes to be incorporated into the VCP. The plan will describe site-specific vegetation control methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemical vegetation control methods Mowing program consistent with Section 1415 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act Other nonchemical vegetation control Other chemical pest control methods (e.g., insects, snail, rodent) <p>Only Caltrans-approved herbicides may be used in the vegetation control program. Pesticide application will be</p> | Pre-construction/ construction/ post-construction | Design/ final design/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Yearly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Prepare and implement VCP for vegetation removal for the purpose of maintaining clear areas/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#22: Intermittent Disturbance or Degradation of Aquatic Resources during Operations |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | conducted by certified pesticide applicators in accordance with all requirements of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation and County Agricultural Commissioners. Noxious/invasive weeds will be treated where requested by County Agricultural Commissioners. The Authority will cooperate in area-wide efforts to control noxious/invasive weeds if such programs have been established by local agencies. | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-MM#39 | Implement Transplantation and Compensatory Mitigation Measures for Protected Trees | <p>Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project biologist will conduct surveys in the work area to identify protected trees.</p> <p>The project biologist will establish ESAs around protected trees with the potential to be affected by construction activities, but do not require removal. The ESAs will extend outward 5 feet from the drip lines of such protected trees. For protected trees greater than 50 feet in height, the ESAs will extend outward 10 feet from the drip line.</p> <p>The Authority will provide compensatory mitigation for impacts on protected trees, including impacts associated with removing or trimming a protected tree. Compensation will be based on requirements set out in applicable local government ordinances, policies and regulations. Compensatory mitigation may include, but is not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Transplantation of protected trees to areas outside of the work area. ▪ Replacement of protected trees at an off-site location, based on the number of protected trees affected, at a ratio not to exceed 3:1 for native trees or 1:1 for ornamental trees, unless higher ratios are required by local government ordinances or regulations. ▪ Contribution to a tree-planting fund. | Pre-construction/ Construction/ Post-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ restoration/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Conduct protected trees surveys/ compensate for impacts and effects on protected tree resources/ prepare and implement a monitoring and maintenance program to monitor transplanted trees/ report findings | Condition of construction contract | Impact BIO#23: Removal of Trees Protected under Municipal Tree Ordinances |
| BIO-MM#40 | Avoid Direct Impacts on Listed Butterfly Host Plants | <p>Prior to construction, the project biologist will survey for monarch butterfly larval host plants within suitable habitat. If host plants are found, the project biologist will conduct surveys for adult monarch butterflies during the peak of the flight period to determine presence/absence, or presence may be assumed. Where adult monarch butterflies are present, or assumed to be present, construction personnel will avoid host plants in temporary impact areas during the flight season.</p> | Pre-construction | Surveying/ monitoring/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Pre-construction surveys of listed butterfly host plants and maintain no-work buffer/report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#2b: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Mortality of Monarch Butterfly |
| BIO-MM#41 | Provide Compensatory Mitigation for Impacts on Monarch Butterfly Habitat | <p>To compensate for permanent impacts on monarch butterfly habitat (breeding and foraging habitat), the Authority will provide compensatory mitigation at a 1:1 ratio for occupied breeding and foraging habitat, unless a higher ratio is required by FESA. Compensatory mitigation could include one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Purchase of credits from an agency-approved conservation bank ▪ Acquisition in fee title of USFWS-approved property ▪ Purchase or establish a conservation easement with an | Pre-construction/ construction/ post-construction | Design/ final design/ compensatory mitigation/ reporting | Monthly or as established by regulatory compliance agencies | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Authority/ Contractor/ Project Biologist | Compensate for impacts on habitat for monarch butterfly/ report findings | Condition of construction contract/condition of regulatory permits | Impact BIO#2b: Permanent Conversion or Degradation of Habitat for and Mortality of Monarch Butterfly |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>endowment for long-term management of the property-specific conservation values</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An in-lieu fee contribution determined through negotiation and consultation with the USFWS <p>Mitigation for monarch butterfly will prioritize areas with any future designated critical habitat (if the monarch is listed, and critical habitat is designated) and with existing monarch butterfly populations and suitable milkweed populations to support breeding. The secondary priority will be to create suitable habitat in other areas, if feasible (i.e., establish self-sustaining milkweed populations). The compensatory mitigation areas and methods selected will include appropriate measures to guide management of habitats (e.g., grazing, weed control), monitor populations, and identify methods to establish or reestablish populations, if necessary.</p> <p>As described under BIO-MM#8, the Authority will prepare and implement a compensatory mitigation plan that will include considerations listed in this measure.</p> | | | | | | | | |

Hydrology and Water Resources

No mitigation measures are required.

Hazardous Materials and Waste

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| HMW-MM#1 | Limit Use of Extremely Hazardous Materials near Schools during Construction | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a memorandum regarding hazardous materials BMPs related to construction activity for approval by the Authority. The memorandum will confirm that the contractor will not handle or store an extremely hazardous substance (as defined in California Public Resources Code § 21151.4) or a mixture containing extremely hazardous substances in a quantity equal to or greater than the state threshold quantity specified pursuant to subdivision (j) of Section 25532 of the Health and Safety Code within 0.25 mile of a school, unless within the designated staging area with appropriate procedures and protocols in place. The memorandum will acknowledge that prior to construction activities, signage will be installed to delimit all work areas within 0.25 mile of a school, informing the contractor not to bring extremely hazardous substances into the area. The contractor will be required to monitor all use of extremely hazardous substances. The memorandum will be submitted to the Authority prior to any construction involving an extremely hazardous substance.</p> | Pre-Construction/ Construction | Reporting; Monitoring | Memorandum approved 30 days prior to start of construction; during construction, submit weekly reports or reporting requirements as established by the approved memorandum | Authority/ Contractor/ Hazardous Material Monitor | Contractor | Hazardous materials memorandum/ weekly reporting | Hazardous materials memorandum | Impact HMW#13: Intermittent Direct Impacts from Hazardous Material and Waste Activities near Schools during Construction |
|----------|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------|--|--------------------------------|--|

Safety and Security

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| SS-MM#3 | Install Emergency Vehicle Priority Treatments near HSR Stations | <p>Prior to construction, to mitigate fire station emergency access and response time impacts related to the 4th and King Street Station, the Authority's contractor will develop an emergency vehicle priority plan and install emergency vehicle priority treatments and new traffic control devices as needed for San Francisco Fire Station 8. It is</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Install emergency vehicle priority treatments and monitor | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Install treatments | Condition of construction contract | Impact S&S#6: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Emergency Access and Response Times due to Station Traffic and Increased Gate-Down Time |
|---------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>anticipated that this may include installation of a new traffic signal for fire station access at the intersection of either Fourth Street/Bluxome Street or Fifth Street/Bluxome Street, as well as emergency vehicle priority treatments where they do not exist along Fifth Street between Townsend Street and Bryant Street and along Fourth Street between Channel Street and Bryant Street. The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and obtain the approval of the City and County of San Francisco for the implementation of these emergency vehicle priority treatments. This mitigation measure will be effective in minimizing impacts on emergency response time.</p> <p>Prior to construction and to mitigate fire station/first responder response time impacts related to added traffic from the Millbrae Station, the Authority's contractor will develop an emergency vehicle priority plan and install emergency vehicle priority treatments as needed for Millbrae Fire Station 37. It is anticipated that this will include installation of emergency vehicle priority treatments where they do not exist along El Camino Real between Millwood Drive in Millbrae and Broadway in Burlingame. The contractor will prepare all materials necessary for and obtain the approval of the City of Millbrae and City of Burlingame for the implementation of these emergency vehicle priority treatments. This mitigation measure will be effective in minimizing impacts on emergency response time.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| SS-MM#4 | Install Emergency Vehicle Priority Treatments Related to Increased Gate-Down Time Impacts | <p>Prior to operations that are expected to result in an exceedance of the 30-second delay threshold, to mitigate fire station/first responder emergency access impacts related to added travel time from increased gate-down time at the at-grade crossings, the Authority will conduct monitoring and implement phased emergency vehicle priority treatment strategies. Where impacts are identified based on monitoring or predicted to occur due to planned HSR service increases, the Authority will develop an emergency vehicle priority treatment plan in conjunction with local agencies. The Authority will make a fair share contribution towards emergency vehicle priority treatments, including local cities, local fire departments, and local first responders. The Authority's fair share contribution will take the form of providing capital funds for project implementation to local agencies, who will be responsible for implementation of capital improvements as well as ongoing O&M of any facilities constructed.</p> <p>Monitoring will involve collecting travel time data for a 1-mile section (i.e., 0.5 mile on either side of the at-grade crossing) of the at-grade crossing street. The data will be collected during weekday peak periods (7 a.m. to 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 6 p.m.). The data will be collected on 12 days during each monitoring year from Tuesday to Thursday over a 2-week period in early May and early</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Install emergency vehicle priority treatments and monitor | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Install treatments | Condition of construction contract | Impact S&S#6: Continuous Permanent Impacts on Emergency Access and Response Times due to Station Traffic and Increased Gate-Down Time |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|--|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>October.</p> <p>Travel time data will be collected at the following intervals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1 year prior to and after addition of Caltrain service with the Caltrain Electrification project (i.e., planned additional one peak-hour round trip), to determine if the addition of initial HSR train service (i.e., planned two peak-hour round trips) is likely to require development and implementation of emergency response priority treatments at any of the eight at-grade crossing locations prior to initiation of initial HSR service ▪ 1 year prior to initiation of new HSR service to establish baseline emergency response travel times for each corridor ▪ Monthly for the first 6 months of initial operations³ and annually thereafter for 3 years ▪ Starting approximately 6 months after initiation of any subsequent increase in new HSR service, and annually thereafter for 3 years <p>Travel time data will be collected at the following at-grade crossing locations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Oak Grove Avenue (Burlingame) 2. North Lane (Burlingame) 3. Howard Avenue (Burlingame) 4. Whipple Avenue (Redwood City) 5. Brewster Avenue (Redwood City) 6. Broadway (Redwood City) 7. Ravenswood Avenue (Menlo Park) 8. Rengstorff Avenue (Mountain View) <p>An emergency vehicle priority treatment plan will be developed for at-grade crossing locations where an increase in emergency response times of 30 seconds or more above baseline travel time due to HSR service occurs after initiation of HSR service. The performance standard for the plan is to reduce the response time increases resulting from HSR train operation effects on gate-down time to less than 30 seconds. If initial operations do not result in exceedance of the 30-second threshold, then, using monitoring data for initial operations, the Authority will evaluate whether future planned HSR service increases are likely to result in new or additional delays above the 30-second threshold. If such effects are predicted for planned HSR service increases, then the Authority will develop the emergency vehicle priority treatment plan to account for those effects and will coordinate with local cities, fire departments, and first responders to implement the appropriate treatments prior to the planned HSR service increases that would result in</p> | | | | | | | | |

³ Initial HSR operations would be more limited in scope than full operations expected by 2040. Chapter 2, Alternatives, of the Final EIR/EIS identifies that initial operations would include a maximum of two trains per peak hour per direction, which corresponds to up to four one-way trains per hour or every 15 minutes on average, which would have much less effect on emergency vehicle response times than full Phase I operations. With full Phase I operations, the project would have up to four trains per peak hour per direction, which corresponds to up to eight one-way trains per hour on average at full service by 2040. The intent of monitoring initial operations is to identify the potential need for emergency vehicle response time improvements early enough to be in place prior to full operations.

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>exceedance of the 30-second threshold.</p> <p>Emergency vehicle priority treatment strategies may include building improvements to streets parallel to the HSR corridor in order to speed travel to adjacent grade-separated crossings of the rail line or to provide new emergency service facilities (i.e., new fire stations or ambulance/paramedic staging facilities) on the opposite side of the corridor where there are no adjacent grade-separated crossings. The strategies may include, but are not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Emergency vehicle preemption equipment at traffic signals ▪ Route-based traffic signal priority control systems ▪ Emergency vehicle and transit queue bypass lanes ▪ Roadway capacity and operational improvements to facilities paralleling the rail line to improve access to adjacent grade-separated rail crossings ▪ Construction of new fire stations to reduce fire station response times in affected areas ▪ Provision of additional equipment for existing fire stations to expand the capacity of existing fire stations to respond to multiple emergency calls in affected areas ▪ Increase the contracted first responder ambulance services to reduce first responder ambulance response times in affected areas <p>As an alternative to the listed strategies, the Authority and a local agency may reach a mutual agreement to have the Authority make an in-lieu payment towards other infrastructure projects including nearby grade-separation projects. The in-lieu payment will be the capital contribution that the Authority would have otherwise made to one or more of the above emergency vehicle priority treatment strategies.</p> <p>Planned grade-separation projects at Ravenswood Avenue in Menlo Park and Rengstorff Avenue in Mountain View would mitigate impacts on emergency access and response time at these at grade crossings. These two grade-separation projects are, however, being planned by local agencies, and therefore their implementation is beyond the control of the Authority. Mitigation measures in Menlo Park would not be required if the planned Ravenswood Avenue rail grade-separation project is built prior to implementation of full HSR service. Similarly, mitigation measures would not be required in Mountain View if the planned Rengstorff Avenue rail grade-separation project is built prior to implementation of full HSR service.</p> <p>If cities choose not to implement and operate emergency vehicle priority treatments using construction funds provided by the Authority, impacts would be considered</p> | | | | | | | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>significant and unavoidable. In that case, some of the site-specific traffic mitigation measures identified in Section 3.2.7 would be required to help reduce traffic congestion and delays at intersections adjacent or near at-grade crossings during peak hours at certain intersections where the project would affect emergency vehicle response times due to increased gate-down time. The following traffic mitigation measures will help to reduce peak-hour traffic delays at intersections adjacent to or near at-grade crossings with significant emergency vehicle response time delays:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ TR-MM#1a.2: North Lane/California Drive—Install Traffic Signal ▪ TR-MM#1a.3: North Lane/Carolan Avenue—Install Traffic Signal ▪ TR-MM#1a.5: Brewster Avenue/Perry Street—Install Traffic Signal ▪ TR-MM#1h: Whipple Avenue/El Camino Real—Add Overlap Signal Phase and Optimize Signal Timing ▪ TR MM#1i: Whipple Avenue/Arguello Street—Optimize Signal Timing | | | | | | | | |

Socioeconomics and Communities

No mitigation measures are required.

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Station Planning, Land Use, and Development | | | | | | | | | | |
| LU-MM#1 | Implement Noise Mitigation in Conjunction with Land Use Development in Brisbane | <p>Several options exist to address the noise impacts on planned land uses without resulting in changes in land use patterns in Brisbane. These include noise barriers, building insulation, and building location.</p> <p>The performance standards for noise mitigation are those established by the City of Brisbane General Plan as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Residential/Hotel: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Exterior areas: normally acceptable noise levels up to 65 dBA (without building insulation); conditionally acceptable noise levels of 70 dBA (may require building insulation) – Interior area: noise levels of 45 dBA ▪ Commercial/office exterior areas: normally acceptable noise levels up to 70 dBA (without building insulation); conditionally acceptable noise levels up to 77.5 dBA (may require building insulation) <p>The specific mitigation will be developed in consultation with the City of Brisbane and the site developer, since the specific designs for adjacent development are still in progress. This mitigation is only required to address noise resultant from HSR operations, and not other existing or future noise sources.</p> <p>Noise Barriers</p> <p>Prior to HSR operations adjacent to residential or commercial development in Brisbane, the Authority will install noise barriers where noise levels would not meet the performance standards for mitigation. The primary requirements for an effective noise barrier are that the barrier must (1) be high enough and long enough to break the line-of-sight between the sound source and the receiver, (2) be of an impervious material with a minimum surface density of 4 pounds per square foot, and (3) not have any gaps or holes between the panels or at the bottom. Because many materials meet these requirements, aesthetics, durability, cost, and maintenance considerations usually determine the selection of materials for noise barriers.</p> <p>Modelling of noise barriers (up to 16 feet in height) in planned land use areas at Brisbane indicate that noise barriers could reduce noise in mixed-use areas (residential allowed) within 40 feet of the mainline tracks to 66 dBA and 68 dBA for first and second floors and in areas designated as planned development (residential prohibited) within 40 feet of the mainline tracks to 65 dBA and 67 dBA for first and second floors. These levels will be conditionally acceptable (with insulation) for residential development and normally acceptable for commercial uses. Noise barriers (up to 16 feet in height) will only reduce noise 1 to 3 dBA for third floors, which may result</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Design and install noise mitigation in conjunction with land use development in Brisbane | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Install treatments | Condition of construction contract | Impact LU#6: Permanent Alteration of Land Use Patterns from Increased Noise, Light, and Glare |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>in unacceptable noise levels for residential uses without additional measures.</p> <p>Depending on the situation, noise barriers can become visually intrusive. Typically, the noise barrier style will be selected with input from the local jurisdiction to reduce the visual effect of barriers on adjacent lands uses, refer to Aesthetic Options for Non-Station Structures (Authority 2017). For example, noise barriers could be solid or transparent, and made of various colors, materials, and surface treatments.</p> <p>Berm and berm/wall combinations are the preferred types of noise barriers where space and other environmental constraints permit. On aerial structures, barrier material will be limited by engineering weight restrictions for barriers on the structure. All noise barriers will be designed to be as low as possible to achieve a substantial noise reduction.</p> <p>Noise barriers on both aerial structures and at-grade structures could consist of solid, semitransparent, or transparent materials as defined in Aesthetic Options for Non-Station Structures (Authority 2017). Volume 2, Appendix 3.4-B, Noise and Vibration Mitigation Guidelines, provides more details.</p> <p>Install Building Sound Insulation</p> <p>The Authority will provide sound insulation as an additional mitigation measure where necessary to meet the interior noise performance standard. Substantial improvements in building sound insulation (on the order of 5 to 10 dBA) can often be achieved by adding an extra layer of glazing to windows, by sealing holes in exterior surfaces that act as sound leaks, and by providing forced ventilation and air conditioning so that windows do not need to be opened. With noise barriers and building sound insulation, residential uses within 40 feet of the tracks can be conditionally acceptable for first and second floors but may not be for third flows. With noise barriers and building sound insulation, commercial uses can be conditionally acceptable.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| LU-MM#2 | Shoreline Access Improvements in Brisbane | <p>The Authority will provide for additional and enhanced public access consistent with the Bay Plan's requirements to increase public access to the Bay to the maximum extent feasible, by building and providing for maintenance of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A new bike/pedestrian path approximately where Lagoon Road currently exists along the northern edge of Brisbane Lagoon and south of the proposed East Brisbane LMF between Sierra Point Parkway and Tunnel Avenue. ▪ An extension of the Bay Trail from Candlestick State Recreation Area at the intersection of Alanna Way and Thomas Mellon Circle west along Alanna Way under US 101 then southward to cross Beatty Avenue and | Pre-construction/ Construction | Design and install shoreline access improvements in Brisbane | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Install treatments | Condition of construction contract | Impact LU#7: Conflict with BCDC Shoreline Band Policies |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|--|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | <p>then southward west of US 101 to just north of Brisbane Lagoon where it would connect with the new Lagoon Road bike/pedestrian path.</p> <p>These proposed shoreline access improvements may continue to be refined in coordination with BCDC throughout the environmental process.</p> <p>The new bike/pedestrian path will be in previously developed areas consisting of the following, from north to south: (1) Alanna Way; (2) landscaped areas along Alanna Way; (3) Beatty Avenue; (4) access roads on the west side of the landfill; (5) ruderal grassland areas of the prior landfill along the east and south sides of the landfill and along Lagoon Road. There is one waterway crossing (Visitacion Creek) where the Bay Trail extension will cross on an existing culvert, thus avoiding fill within the creek. Near Visitacion Creek there are some drainage ditches with associated wetland vegetation, but these ditches could be avoided by placing the trail in the upland areas along the existing roads. The ruderal grassland areas do not contain sensitive habitat for special-status species.</p> | | | | | | | | |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| LU-MM#3 | Collaborative Final Station Design Process with the City of Millbrae | The Authority will work jointly with the City of Millbrae to refine the Preliminary Station Design into a Final Station Design. Joint design means Authority will consult with the City at intermediate milestones in the design development process and make good faith efforts to incorporate City input into a Final Station Design that both maximizes property interests available for the City's TOD and meets Authority operational requirements. | Design/ Pre-construction | Prepare final station design jointly with the City of Millbrae | Prior to final design | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact LU#4: Permanent Alteration of Land Use Patterns from Land Use Conversion and Introduction of Incompatible Uses at Stations |
| LU-MM#4 | Collaborative Final Design with the City of Brisbane to Maximize Development at the Brisbane Baylands Adjacent to the Light Maintenance Facility | <p>While the operational viability of the state's HSR system as a whole relies on the proposed LMF in the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section, the Authority recognizes that housing and TOD is also an important statewide priority and is critical to the City. The Authority further acknowledges that the design of the LMF was based on a Preliminary Design and a conservative estimate of the footprint of the LMF required within the San Francisco to San Jose Project Section.</p> <p>In an effort to resolve differences with the City of Brisbane and to jointly advance these two important statewide priorities (HSR and TOD), the Authority commits to working jointly with the City of Brisbane to refine the Preliminary Design into a Final Design. Joint design means Authority will consult with the City at intermediate milestones in the design development process and make good faith efforts to incorporate City input into a Final Design that both maximizes property interests available for the Brisbane Baylands adjacent to the LMF and meets Authority operational requirements.</p> | Design/ Pre-construction | Prepare final design for the LMF jointly with the City of Brisbane | Prior to final design | Authority/ Contractor | Authority/ Contractor | Final design and prior to construction | Condition of construction contract | Impact LU#5: Permanent Alteration of Land Use Patterns from Land Use Conversion at the Brisbane Light Maintenance Facility |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Parks, Recreation, and Open Space | | | | | | | | | | |
| No mitigation measures are required. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aesthetics and Visual Quality | | | | | | | | | | |
| AVQ-MM#3 | Incorporate Design Aesthetic Preferences into Final Design and Construction of Non-Station Structures | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activity) the contractor will work with the Authority and local jurisdictions to incorporate the Authority-approved aesthetic preferences for non-station structures into final design and construction (refer to Authority 2014). A technical memorandum will be submitted to the Authority to document compliance. | Pre-construction/ construction | Compliance report | Prior to construction | Contractor | Contractor | Prior to construction | Contract requirements/ specifications | Potential impact of noise barriers |
| AVQ-MM#5 | Replant Unused Portions of Lands Acquired for the HSR | Prior to operations and maintenance, the contractor will plant vegetation within land acquired for the project (e.g., shifting roadways) that are not used for the HSR or related supporting infrastructure, or other higher or better use. Plantings will allow adequate space between the vegetation and the HSR alignment and catenary lines. All street trees and other visually important vegetation removed in these areas during construction will be replaced with similar vegetation that, upon maturity, will be similar in size and character to the removed vegetation. Replaced shrubs will be minimum 5 gallon and trees will be minimum 24-inch box and 8 feet in height. The Authority will provide for continuous maintenance with appropriate irrigation systems. The contractor will install the irrigation system within the planting areas. No species listed on the Invasive Species Council of California's list of invasive species will be planted. | Post-construction/ operations | Plant vegetation; reporting | Prior to operation and maintenance planting trees; monthly reporting | Authority | Authority | Prior to operation and maintenance planting trees; monthly reporting | Authority to implement appropriate landscape and maintenance plan | Potential impact of noise barriers |
| AVQ-MM#6 | Provide Noise Barrier Treatment | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activity), the contractor will design a range of noise barrier treatments for visually sensitive areas, such as those areas where residential views of open landscaped areas would change or in urban areas where noise barriers would adversely affect the existing character and setting. The contractor will develop the treatments during the final design process and integrate them into the final project design. The treatments will include, but are not limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise barriers along elevated guideways that may incorporate transparent materials where sensitive views would be adversely affected by opaque noise barriers Noise barriers made with nonreflective materials and of a neutral color Surface design enhancements and vegetation appropriate to the visual context of the area will be installed with the noise barriers. Vegetation will be installed consistent with the provisions of AVQ-MM#5. Surface enhancements will be consistent with the design features developed for AVQ-MM#3 and will include architectural elements (e.g., stamped pattern, surface articulation, decorative texture treatment), as determined acceptable to the local jurisdiction. Surface | Pre-construction/ construction | Reporting | Monthly | Contractor | Contractor | Construction/ monthly | Contract requirements/ specifications | Potential impact of noise barriers |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|---------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| | | coatings will be used on wood and concrete noise barriers to facilitate cleaning and the removal of graffiti. The contractor will prepare a technical memorandum documenting implementation and submit it to the Authority to demonstrate compliance. | | | | | | | | |
| Cultural Resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| CUL-MM#1 | Mitigate Adverse Effects on Archaeological and Built Resources Identified during Phased Identification and Comply with the Stipulations Regarding the Treatment of Archaeological and Historic Built Resources in the PA and MOA | <p>No properties in the APE have been identified as containing buildings built in or prior to 1966, that could not be adequately recorded from public right-of-way. Therefore, no known properties in the current APE will be surveyed and formally evaluated under NRHP and CRHR criteria during the post ROD design phase and prior to construction. However, while the degree of design development completed as of ROD does not require additional survey and evaluation, additional design development could precipitate changes to the APE, and may result in the need to survey and evaluate additional properties. Once parcels are accessible and surveys have been completed, including consultation as stipulated in the MOA, additional archaeological and built resources may be identified. For newly identified eligible properties that would be adversely affected, the following process will be followed, which is presented in detail in the BETP and ATP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Authority will consult with the MOA signatories and concurring parties to determine the preferred treatment of the properties/resources and appropriate mitigation measures. ▪ For CRHR-eligible archaeological resources, the Authority will determine if these resources could feasibly be preserved in place, or if data recovery is necessary. The methods of preservation in place will be considered in the order of priority provided in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3). If data recovery is the only feasible treatment the Authority will adopt a data recovery plan as required under CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3)(C). ▪ Should data recovery be necessary, the PI, in consultation with the MOA signatories and consulting parties, will prepare a data recovery plan for approval from the Authority and in consultation with the MOA signatories. Upon approval, the PI will implement the plan. ▪ For archaeological resources, the Authority will also determine if the resource is a unique archaeological resource under CEQA. If the resource is not a historical resource but is an archaeological resource, the resource will be treated as required in Cal. Public Res. Code Section 21083.2 by following protection, data recovery, and other appropriate steps outlined in the ATP. The ATP outlines the review and approval requirements for these documents. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Reporting | Weekly | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | Pre-construction surveys and construction/ weekly reporting or as dictated by the ATP, BETP, and the MOA | PA/MOA/ATP/BETP | Impact CUL#1: Permanent Disturbance of Unknown Archaeological Resources Impact CUL#2: Permanent Disturbance of a Known Archaeological Resource |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For historic built resources, the PI will amend the BETP to include the treatment and mitigation measures identified by the Authority in consultation with the MOA signatories and concurring parties. The PI will implement the treatment and mitigation measures accordingly. | | | | | | | | |
| CUL-MM#2 | Halt Work in the Event of an Archaeological Discovery, and Comply with the PA, MOA, ATP, and all State and Federal Laws, as Applicable | <p>During construction (any ground-disturbing activities, including cleaning and grubbing) should there be an unanticipated discovery, the contractor will follow the procedures for unanticipated discoveries as stipulated in the PA, MOA, and associated ATP. The procedures must also be consistent with the following: the SOI's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation (48 <i>Federal Register</i> 44716-42), as amended; and Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, as amended (14 Cal. Code Regs. Chapter 3, Article 9, §§ 15120-15132). Should the discovery include human remains, the Authority will comply with federal and state regulations and guidelines regarding the treatment of human remains, including relevant sections of NAGPRA (§ 3(c)(d)); California Health and Safety Code, Section 8010 et seq.; and Cal. Public Res. Code Section 5097.98; and consult with the NAHC, tribal groups, and the SHPO.</p> <p>In the event of an unanticipated archaeological discovery, the contractor will cease work in the immediate vicinity of the find, based on the direction of the archaeological monitor or the apparent location of cultural resources if no monitor is present. When the archaeological monitor issues the temporary work stoppage, all ground-disturbing construction activities within a 50-foot radius of the discovery will halt immediately for up to 4 hours. If no qualified archaeologist is present, no work can commence until it is approved by the qualified archaeologist in accordance with the MOA, ATP, and monitoring plan. The contractor's qualified archaeologist will assess the potential significance of the find and make recommendations for further evaluation and treatment as necessary. These steps may include evaluation for the CRHR and NRHP, and necessary treatment to resolve significant impacts if the resource is a historical resource or historic property. If, after documentation is reviewed by the Authority, and it determines it is a historic property and the SHPO concurs that the resource is eligible for the NRHP, or the Authority determines it is eligible for the CRHR, the Authority will consider preservation in place in the order of priority provided in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3) and in consultation with the signatories and consulting parties to the MOA. If data recovery is the only feasible mitigation, then the PI will prepare a data recovery plan as required under CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3)(C), the MOA, and ATP, for the Authority's approval.</p> <p>The contractor will notify the Authority, who will notify the CSLC, if the find is a cultural resource on or in the</p> | Construction | Reporting | During construction | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor | Daily logs during active monitoring | ATP/MOA/PA | Impact CUL#1: Permanent Disturbance of Unknown Archaeological Resources Impact CUL#2: Permanent Disturbance of a Known Archaeological Resource |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|--|--|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | <p>submerged lands of California and consequently under the jurisdiction of the CSLC. The Authority will comply with all applicable rules and regulations promulgated by CSLC with respect to cultural resources in submerged lands.</p> <p>If human remains are discovered on state-owned or private lands, the contractor will contact the relevant County Coroner to allow the Coroner to determine if an investigation regarding the cause of death is required. If no investigation is required and the remains are of Native American origin the Authority will contact the NAHC to identify the MLD. The MLD is charged with inspecting the remains and providing recommendations on respectful treatment and disposition of the remains once agreed-upon archaeological treatment (if any) has been implemented. If the MLD fails to make a recommendation the remains will be reinterred in a location not subject to further disturbance and the location will be recorded with the NAHC and relevant Information Center of the California Historic Resources Information System. If human remains are part of an archaeological resource (in other words, not recent human remains), the Authority and contractor will, in consultation with the MLD and other consulting parties, consider preservation in place as the first option, in the order of priority called for in CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(b)(3).</p> <p>In consultation with the relevant Native American tribes, the Authority may conduct scientific analysis on the human remains if called for under a data recovery plan and amenable to all consulting parties. The Authority will work with the MLD to satisfy the requirements of Cal. Public Res. Code Section 5097.98. Performance tracking of this mitigation measure will be based on successful implementation and acceptance of the documentation by the SHPO and appropriate consulting parties.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| CUL-MM#3 | Other Mitigation for Effects on NRHP-Eligible Pre-Contact Archaeological Resources | <p>As a result of limited access to private properties during the environmental review phase of this project, the Authority's ability to fully identify and evaluate archaeological resources in the APE has also been limited. Thus, most of the project APE has not been subject to archaeological field inventories. Because pedestrian field surveys are a necessary component of the archaeological resource identification and evaluation effort, the commitment to complete the field surveys prior to ground-disturbing activities associated with the project, is codified in the MOA.</p> <p>Access to previously inaccessible properties to complete the archaeological resource identification effort is expected to be available after the ROD, during the design-build phase of the project. However, because of the design constraints associated with constructing an HSR system, the ability to shift the alignment to avoid any newly identified archaeological resources at this late phase of the project delivery process is substantially limited or</p> | Pre-construction | Pre-construction surveys | Prior to ground-disturbing activities | Authority | Authority | Prior to ground-disturbing activities | ATP/ MOA | Impact CUL#1: Permanent Disturbance of Unknown Archaeological Resources Impact CUL#2: Permanent Disturbance of a Known Archaeological Resource |

| Mitigation Measure | Title | Mitigation Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementing Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism | Impact # and Impact Title |
|--------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | unlikely, because the alignment is already established. As a result, impacts on as-yet-unidentified significant archaeological resources from the project are anticipated; however, the nature and quantity of such impacts remains unknown until completion of the archaeological field identification and evaluation effort. The MOA and ATP include protocols for the identification, evaluation, treatment, and data-recovery mitigation of as-yet-unidentified archaeological resources. Efforts to develop meaningful mitigation measures for impacts on as-yet-unidentified Native American archaeological resources that cannot be avoided will be negotiated with the tribal consulting parties. Measures negotiated among the MOA signatories and tribal consulting parties will be the Authority's responsibility to implement. | | | | | | | | |

Regional Growth

No mitigation measures required.

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|--|------|---|
| APE | area of potential effects | MOA | memorandum of agreement | VCP | vegetation control plan |
| ATC | automatic train control | MOU | memorandum of understanding | VMT | vehicle miles traveled |
| ATP | archaeological treatment plan | mph | miles per hour | VOC | volatile organic compound |
| Authority | California High-Speed Rail Authority | MUNI | San Francisco Municipal Railway | VTA | Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority |
| BAAQMD | Bay Area Air Quality Management District | NAGPRA | Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act | WCP | weed control plan |
| BCDC | San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission | NAHC | Native American Heritage Commission | WEAP | worker environmental awareness program |
| BEMP | built environment monitoring plan | NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act | WEF | wildlife exclusion fencing |
| BETP | built environment treatment plan | NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service | ZE | zero emissions |
| BMP | best management practice | NOx | nitrogen oxides | | |
| BRMP | biological resources management plan | NRHP | National Register of Historic Places | | |
| C.F.R. | Code of Federal Regulations | NZE | near zero emissions | | |
| CAA | Clean Air Act | O ₃ | ozone | | |
| Cal. | California | O&M | operations and maintenance | | |
| CCC | Central California coast | OCS | overhead contact system | | |
| CDFW | California Department of Fish and Wildlife | PA | Programmatic Agreement | | |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act | PCEP | Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project | | |
| CESA | California Endangered Species Act | PI | principal investigator | | |
| cm | centimeter | PM _{2.5} | particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter | | |
| CMP | compensatory mitigation plan | PM ₁₀ | particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in diameter | | |
| CRHR | California Register of Historical Resources | RHA | Rivers and Harbors Act | | |
| CRPR | California Rare Plant Ranks | ROD | record of decision | | |
| CSLC | California State Lands Commission | ROG | reactive organic gases | | |
| CWA | Clean Water Act | RRP | restoration and revegetation plan | | |
| dB | decibel | RSA | resource study area | | |
| dba | A-weighted decibel | RTP | regional transportation plan | | |
| EFH | essential fish habitat | RWQCB | Regional Water Quality Control Board | | |
| EIR | environmental impact report | SamTrans | San Mateo County Transit District | | |
| EMMA | Environmental Mitigation Management and Assessment system | SB | Senate Bill | | |
| EO | Executive Order | SR | State Route | | |
| ESA | environmentally sensitive area | SCVHA | Santa Clara Valley Habitat Agency | | |
| FESA | federal Endangered Species Act | SCVHP | Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan | | |
| Foundation | Bay Area Clean Air Foundation | SFBAAB | San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin | | |
| FRA | Federal Railroad Administration | SFO | San Francisco International Airport | | |
| GHG | greenhouse gas | SHPO | State Historic Preservation Officer | | |
| GIS | geographic information system | SJC | Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport | | |
| HSR | high-speed rail | SOI | Secretary of the Interior | | |
| I- | Interstate | SWRCB | State Water Resources Control Board | | |
| IAMF | impact avoidance and minimization feature | TOD | transit-oriented development | | |
| L _{eq} | equivalent sound level | UPRR | Union Pacific Railroad | | |
| LMF | light maintenance facility | US | U.S. Highway | | |
| LOS | level of service | USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers | | |
| MBTA | Migratory Bird Treaty Act | U.S.C. | United States Code | | |
| MLD | most likely descendant | USFWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | | |

Table 2 San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Transportation | | | | | | | | | |
| TR-IAMF#1 | Protection of Public Roadways during Construction | Prior to construction, the contractor will provide a photographic survey documenting the condition of the public roadways along truck routes providing access to the proposed project site. The photographic survey will be submitted for approval to the agency responsible for road maintenance and the Authority. The contractor will be responsible for the repair of any structural damage to public roadways caused by HSR construction or construction access, returning any damaged sections to the equivalent of their original pre-HSR construction structural condition or better. The contractor will survey the condition of the public roadways along truck routes providing access to the proposed project site after construction is complete. The contractor will complete a before-and-after-survey report and submit it to the Authority for review, indicating the location and extent of any damage. | Pre-construction/ Post-construction | Survey/Reporting | Immediately prior to and immediately following construction, and during construction as needed. | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Provide a photographic survey | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#2 | Construction Transportation Plan | <p>The contractor will prepare a detailed CTP for the purpose of minimizing the impact of construction and construction traffic on adjoining and nearby roadways in close consultation with the local jurisdiction having authority over the site. The Authority must review and approve the CTP before the contractor commences any construction activities. This plan will address, in detail, the activities to be carried out in each construction phase, with the requirement that the requirement of maintaining traffic flow during peak travel periods. Such activities include, but are not limited to, the routing and scheduling of materials deliveries, materials staging and storage areas, construction employee arrival and departure schedules, employee parking locations, and temporary road closures, if any. The CTP will provide traffic controls pursuant to the <i>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices</i> sections on temporary traffic controls (Caltrans 2017c) and would include a traffic control plan that includes, at a minimum, the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Temporary signage to alert drivers and pedestrians to the construction zone. ▪ Flag persons or other methods of traffic control. ▪ Traffic speed limitations in the construction zone. ▪ Temporary road closures and provisions for alternative access during the closure. ▪ Detour provisions for temporary road closures—alternating one-way traffic will be considered as an alternative to temporary closures where practicable and where it will result in better traffic flow than will a detour. ▪ Identified routes for construction traffic. ▪ Provisions for safe ADA-compliant pedestrian and bicycle passage or convenient nearby detour. ▪ Provisions to minimize access disruption to residents, businesses, customers, delivery vehicles, and buses to the extent practicable—where road closures are required during construction, limit to the hours that are least disruptive to access for the adjacent land uses. ▪ Provisions for farm equipment access. ▪ Provisions for 24-hour access by emergency vehicles. ▪ Safe vehicular and pedestrian access to local businesses and residences during construction. The plan will provide for scheduled transit access where construction will otherwise impede such access. Where an existing bus stop is within the work zone, the design-builder will provide a temporary bus stop at a safe and convenient location away from where construction is occurring in close coordination with the transit operator. Adequate measures will be taken to separate students and parents walking to and from the temporary bus stop from the construction zone. ▪ Advance notification to the local school district of construction activities and rigorously | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan/ Reporting Consult with local city, county, transit agencies, and any key stakeholders identified by the Authority on the draft CTP. Such consultation shall be undertaken prior to seeking Authority review and approval of the CTP. Comments from consulted entities on the CTP will be included in any draft CTP submitted for Authority approval. | At incorporation or completion of design/ implementation during construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare and implement CTP | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-----------|--|--|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>maintained traffic control at all school bus loading zones, to provide for the safety of schoolchildren. Review existing or planned Safe Routes to Schools with school districts and emergency responders to incorporate roadway modifications that maintain existing traffic patterns and fulfill response route and access needs during project construction and HSR operations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Identification and assessment of the potential safety risks of project construction to children, especially in areas where the project is located near homes, schools, day care centers, and parks. ▪ Promotion of child safety within and near the project area. For example, crossing guards could be provided in areas where construction activities are located near schools, day care centers, and parks. <p>CTPs will consider and account for the potential for overlapping construction projects.</p> | | | | | | | |
| TR-IAMF#3 | Off-Street Parking for Construction-Related Vehicles | The contractor will identify adequate off-street parking for all construction-related vehicles throughout the construction period to minimize impacts on public on-street parking areas. If adequate parking cannot be provided on the construction sites, the contractor will designate a remote parking area and arrange for the use a shuttle bus to transfer construction workers to and from the job site. This measure will be addressed in the CTP. | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CTP/Identify adequate off-street parking for all construction-related vehicles | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#4 | Maintenance of Pedestrian Access | The contractor will prepare specific CMPs, as part of the CTP, to address maintenance of pedestrian access during the construction period, to the extent feasible, in accordance with design, safety, and ADA requirements. Construction actions that limit pedestrian access may include, but not be limited to, sidewalk closures, bridge closures, crosswalk closures or pedestrian rerouting at intersections, placement of construction-related material within pedestrian pathways or sidewalks, and other actions that may affect the mobility or safety of pedestrians during the construction period. If sidewalks are maintained along the construction site frontage, the contractor will provide covered walkways and fencing. | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMPs that address maintenance of pedestrian access | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#5 | Maintenance of Bicycle Access | The contractor will prepare specific CMPs, as part of the CTP, to address maintenance of bicycle access during the construction period, to the extent feasible, in accordance with design, safety, and ADA requirements. Construction actions that limit bicycle access may include, but not be limited to, bike lane closures or narrowing, closure or narrowing of streets that are designated bike routes, bridge closures, placement of construction-related materials within designated bike lanes or along bike routes, and other actions that may affect the mobility or safety of bicyclists during the construction period. | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMPs that address maintenance of bicycle access | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#6 | Restriction on Construction Hours | The contractor will limit construction material deliveries between 7 a.m. and 9 a.m. and between 4 p.m. and 6 p.m. on weekdays to minimize impacts on traffic on roadways. The contractor will limit the number of construction employees arriving or departing the site between the hours of 7 a.m. and 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. and 6 p.m. Areas where these restrictions will be implemented will be determined as part of the CTP. Based on Authority review of the CTP, the restricted hours may be altered due to local travel patterns. | Construction | CTP to be prepared prior to construction followed by reporting | Prior to construction/ Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CTP/Limit construction materials deliveries and employee arrival and departures | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#7 | Construction Truck Routes | The contractor will deliver all construction-related equipment and materials on the designated truck routes identified in the CTP and will prohibit heavy-construction vehicles from using alternative routes to get to the site. Truck routes will be established away from schools, day care centers, and residences, or along routes with the least impact if the Authority determines those areas are unavoidable. This measure will be addressed in the CTP. | Construction | CTP to be prepared prior to construction followed by reporting. | Prior to construction/ Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CTP/ Establish truck routes | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#8 | Construction during Special Events | The contractor will provide a mechanism to prevent roadway construction activities from reducing roadway capacity during major athletic events or other special events that substantially (10 percent or more) increase traffic on roadways affected by project construction. Mechanisms include the presence of police officers directing traffic, special- | Design/ Construction | CTP to be prepared prior to construction followed by | Prior to construction/ Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CTP/ Event coordination | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|---|--|--|------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | event parking, use of within-the-curb parking, or shoulder lanes for through-traffic and traffic cones. This measure will be addressed in the CTP. | | reporting | | | | | |
| TR-IAMF#9 | Protection of Freight and Passenger Rail during Construction | The contractor will repair any structural damage to freight or public railways that may occur during the construction period and return any damaged sections to their original structural condition. If necessary, during construction, a "shoofly" track will be constructed to allow existing train lines to bypass any areas closed for construction activities. Upon completion, tracks will be opened and repaired; or new mainline track will be constructed, and the "shoofly" will be removed. The cost of the contractor's repair responsibility will be included in the design-build contract. | Construction | CTP to be prepared prior to construction followed by reporting | Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Repair structural damage to freight or public railways | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#11 | Maintenance of Transit Access | The contractor will prepare specific CMPs, as part of the CTP, to address maintenance of transit access during the construction period, to the extent feasible, in accordance with design, safety, and ADA requirements. Construction actions that limit transit access may include, but not be limited to, roadway lane closures or narrowing, closure or narrowing of streets that are designated transit routes, bus stop closures, bridge closures, placement of construction-related materials within designated transit lanes, bus stop or layover zones or along transit routes, and other actions that may affect the mobility or safety of bus transit during the construction period. | Construction | CTP to be prepared prior to construction followed by reporting | Prior to construction/ Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMPs to address maintenance of transit access | Condition of construction contract |
| TR-IAMF#12 | Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety | Prior to construction, the contractor will provide a technical memorandum describing how during operation pedestrian and bicycle accessibility will be provided and supported across the HSR corridor, to and from stations, and on station property. Priority for the safety for pedestrians and bicycles and vulnerable populations over motor vehicle access will be carried out in a manner to encourage maximum potential access from nonmotorized modes. Local access programs, such as Safe Routes to Schools, will be maintained or enhanced. Access to community facilities for vulnerable populations will be maintained or enhanced. | Pre-construction | Prepare technical memorandum | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Preparation of a pedestrian and bicycle accessibility technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |
| Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases | | | | | | | | | |
| AQ-IAMF#1 | Fugitive Dust Emissions | <p>During construction, the contractor will employ the following measures to minimize and control fugitive dust emissions. The contractor will prepare a fugitive dust control plan for each distinct construction segment. At a minimum, the plan will describe how each measure will be employed and identify an individual responsible for ensuring implementation. At a minimum, the plan will address the following components unless alternative measures are approved by the applicable air quality management district:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Cover all vehicle loads transported on public roads to limit visible dust emissions, and maintain at least 6 inches of freeboard space from the top of the container or truck bed. ▪ Clean all trucks and equipment before exiting the construction site using an appropriate cleaning station that does not allow runoff to leave the site or mud to be carried on tires off the site. ▪ Water exposed surfaces and unpaved roads at a minimum three times daily with adequate volume to result in wetting the top 1 inch of soil while avoiding overland flow. Rain events may sufficiently wet the top 1 inch of soil to alleviate the need to manually apply water. ▪ Limit vehicle travel speed on unpaved roads to 15 mph. ▪ Suspend any dust-generating activities when average wind speed exceeds 25 mph. ▪ Stabilize all disturbed areas, including storage piles that are not being used on a daily basis for construction purposes, by using water, a chemical stabilizer/suppressant, or hydro mulch or by covering with a tarp or other suitable cover or vegetative ground cover. In areas adjacent to organic farms, the Authority will use nonchemical means of dust suppression. ▪ Stabilize all on-site unpaved roads and off-site unpaved access roads using water or a | Construction | Prepare plan/ Reporting | Weekly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare a fugitive dust control plan | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-----------|---|---|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | | <p>chemical stabilizer/suppressant. In areas adjacent to organic farms, the Authority will use nonchemical means of dust suppression.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Apply water to or presoak all areas where land clearing, grubbing, scraping, excavation, land leveling, grading, cut-and-fill, and demolition activities are carried out. For buildings up to six stories tall, wet all exterior surfaces of buildings during demolition. Limit or expeditiously remove the accumulation of mud or dirt from adjacent public streets at a minimum of once daily, using a vacuum type sweeper. After the addition of materials to or the removal of materials from the surface or outdoor storage piles, apply sufficient water or a chemical stabilizer/suppressant. Where feasible, install wind breaks (e.g., dust curtains, plastic tarps, solid fencing) on the average dominant windward side(s) of station construction areas. For purposes of implementation, chain-link fencing with added landscape mesh fabric adequately qualifies as solid fencing. Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the Authority regarding dust complaints. This person would respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The phone number for the local air district would also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations. | | | | | | | |
| AQ-IAMF#2 | Selection of Coatings | <p>During construction, the contractor will use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low-VOC paint that contains less than 10 percent of VOC contents. Super-compliant or Clean Air paint that has a lower VOC content than that required by Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 8, Rule 3 when available. If not available, the contractor will document the lack of availability, recommend alternative measure(s) to comply with Regulation 8, Rule 3, or disclose absence of measure(s) for full compliance, and obtain concurrence from the Authority. | Construction | Low-VOC paint use | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Use of low-VOC paint during construction | Condition of construction contract |
| AQ-IAMF#3 | Renewable Diesel | <p>During construction, the contractor will use renewable diesel fuel to minimize and control exhaust emissions from all heavy-duty off-road diesel-fueled construction equipment and on-road diesel trucks. Renewable diesel must meet the most recent ASTM specification for diesel with the lowest carbon intensity among petroleum fuels sold in California. The contractor will provide the Authority with monthly and annual reports, through the EMMA system, of renewable diesel purchase records and equipment and vehicle fuel consumption. Exemptions to use traditional diesel can be made where renewable diesel is not available from suppliers within 200 miles of the project site. The construction contract must identify the quantity of traditional diesel purchased and fully document the availability and price of renewable diesel to meet project demand.</p> | Construction | Renewable diesel fuel use | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Use of renewable diesel fuel during construction | Contract requirements and specifications |
| AQ-IAMF#4 | Reduce Criteria Exhaust Emissions from Construction Equipment | <p>Prior to issuance of construction contracts, the Authority will incorporate the following construction equipment exhaust emissions requirements into the contract specifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All heavy-duty off-road construction diesel equipment used during the construction phase will meet Tier 4 engine requirements. A copy of each unit's certified tier specification and any required CARB or air pollution control district operating permit will be made available to the Authority at the time of mobilization of each piece of equipment. The contractor will keep a written record (supported by equipment-hour meters where available) of equipment usage during project construction for each piece of equipment. The contractor will provide the Authority with monthly reports of equipment operating hours (through the EMMA system) and annual reports documenting compliance. | Pre-construction | Contract specifications | Prior to construction | Authority | Authority | Exhaust emissions requirements incorporated into contract specifications | Contract requirements and specifications |
| AQ-IAMF#5 | Reduce Criteria Exhaust Emissions | <p>Prior to issuance of construction contracts, the Authority will incorporate the following material-hauling truck fleet mix requirements into the contract specifications:</p> | Pre-construction | Contract specifications | Prior to construction | Authority | Authority | Material hauling truck fleet mix | Contract requirements and |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|----------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | from On-Road Construction Equipment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All on-road trucks used to haul construction materials, including fill, ballast, rail ties, and steel, will consist of an average fleet mix of equipment model year 2010 or newer, but no less than the average fleet mix for the current calendar year as set forth in the CARB's EMFAC 2014 database. The contractor will provide documentation to the Authority of efforts to secure such a fleet mix. The contractor will keep a written record of equipment usage during project construction for each piece of equipment and provide the Authority with monthly reports of VMT (through EMMA) and annual reports documenting compliance. | | | | | | requirements incorporated into contract specifications | specifications |
| AQ-IAMF#6 | Reduce the Potential Impact of Concrete Batch Plants | Prior to construction of any concrete batch plant, the contractor will provide the Authority with a technical memorandum documenting consistency with the Authority's concrete batch plant siting criteria and utilization of typical control measures. Concrete batch plants will be sited at least 1,000 feet from sensitive receptors, including places such as day care centers, hospitals, senior care facilities, residences, parks, and other areas where people may congregate. The concrete batch plant will implement typical control measures to reduce fugitive dust, such as water sprays, enclosures, hoods, curtains, shrouds, movable and telescoping chutes, central dust collection systems, and other suitable technology, to reduce emissions to be equivalent to the USEPA AP-42 controlled emission factors for concrete batch plants. The contractor will provide to the Authority documentation that each batch plant meets this standard during operation. | Construction | Prepare plan/ Reporting | Prior to construction of concrete batch plants | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Preparation of a concrete batch plant technical memorandum | Contract requirements and specifications |
| Noise and Vibration | | | | | | | | | |
| NV-IAMF#1 | Noise and Vibration | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare and submit to the Authority a noise and vibration technical memorandum documenting how the FTA and FRA guidelines for minimizing construction noise and vibration impacts will be employed when work is being conducted within 1,000 feet of sensitive receptors. Typical construction practices contained in the FTA and FRA guidelines for minimizing construction noise and vibration impacts include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct noise barriers, such as temporary walls or piles on excavated material, between noisy activities and noise sensitive resources. Route truck traffic away from residential streets, when possible. Construct walled enclosures around especially noisy activities or around clusters or noise equipment. Combine noisy operations so that they occur in the same period. Phase demolition, earthmoving, and ground impacting operations so they do not occur in the same time period. Avoid impact pile driving where possible in vibration-sensitive areas. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare technical memorandum/ Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare a construction noise and vibration technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|--|--|---|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference | | | | | | | | | |
| EMF/EMI-IAMF#1 | Preventing Interference with Adjacent Railroads | <p><i>Technical Memorandum: CHSTP Implementation Stage EMC Program Plan</i> (ISEP) (TM 3.00.10) (Authority 2014b) requires coordination with adjacent railroads. During project design, the contractor will work with the engineering departments of railroads that operate parallel the HSR to apply current standard design practices to prevent interference with the electronic equipment operated by these railroads. The <i>California High-Speed Rail Authority Design Criteria Manual</i> (HSR Design Criteria Manual) (Authority 2019) Chapter 7, Electromagnetic Compatibility, summarizes the specific design standards listed in Section 7.1.2, Regulations, Codes, Standards, and Guidelines, including the following: CPUC Decisions 93-11-013 and 06-01-042, APTA Standard PR-E-S-010-98, and IEEE Std C95.1, C95.6 and 1143.</p> <p>Prior to O&M of each operating segment, the contractor will certify through issuance of a technical memorandum to the Authority that design provisions to prevent interference have been established and have been determined to be effective prior to the activation of potentially interfering systems of the HSR.</p> <p>The contractor will work with the railroad engineering departments where these railways parallel the HSR to apply the current standard design practices to prevent interference with the electronic equipment operated by these railroads. Design provisions to prevent interference will be put in place and determined to be adequately effective by a qualified electrical engineering professional prior to the HSR activation of potentially interfering systems.</p> | Design/ Construction | Prepare technical memorandum/ Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor/ Authority | Prepare EMC technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |
| EMF/EMI-IAMF#2 | Controlling Electromagnetic Fields/ Electromagnetic Interference | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare an EMF/EMI technical memorandum for review and approval by the Authority. The project will adhere to and comply with applicable federal and state laws and regulations. These guidelines are listed in Chapter 7 of the HSR Design Criteria Manual, and include the following: CPUC Decisions 93-11-013 and 06-01-042, APTA Standard PR-E-S-010-98, and IEEE Std C95.1, C95.6 and 1143. The project design will follow ISEP (TM 300.10) (Authority 2014b), and the current HSR Design Criteria Manual Chapter 7, which provides detailed EMC design criteria for the HSR systems and equipment, and Chapter 13, Grounding and Bonding, which addresses grounding requirements for third-party metallic items on utility support structures, pipework, metallic casings, public network grounding systems, fences and fence segments, other facilities utility lines, which are adjacent and crossing under and over tracks to the HSR right-of-way. These documents describe the design practices to avoid EMI and to provide for HSR operational safety. Some measures of the ISEP include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ During the planning stage through system design, the Authority will perform EMC safety analyses, which will include identification of existing nearby radio systems, design of systems to prevent EMI with identified neighboring uses, and incorporation of these design requirements into bid specifications used to procure radio systems. ▪ Pipelines and other linear metallic objects that are not sufficiently grounded through direct contact with earth will be separately grounded in coordination with the affected owner or utility to avoid possible shock hazards. For cases where metallic fences are purposely electrified to inhibit livestock or wildlife from traversing the barrier, specific insulation design measures will be implemented. ▪ HSR standard corrosion protection measures will be implemented to eliminate risk of substantial corrosion of nearby metal objects. | Design/ Construction | Prepare technical memorandum/ Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor/ Authority | Prepare EMF/EMI technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |
| Public Utilities and Energy | | | | | | | | | |
| PUE-IAMF#1 | Design Measures | The HSR project design incorporates design elements that minimize impacts on public utilities. A key objective is to minimize electricity consumption (e.g., using regenerative braking, energy-saving equipment on rolling stock and at station facilities, implementing energy saving measures during construction, and automatic train operations to maximize | Design/ Construction | Reporting | At incorporation or completion of design/monthly reporting (during | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Incorporate utilities and design elements that minimize electrical | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>energy efficiency during operations). Thus, the project would not overburden electric utility services during construction or operation. These design elements are included in the design-build contracts.</p> <p>Additionally, the Authority has adopted a Sustainability Policy (POLI-1007) that establishes project design and construction requirements that avoid and minimize impacts on public utilities. The policy commits the Authority to work toward net-zero water consumption during operations with compliance with the California Green Building Standards Code and net-zero energy consumption with facilities LEED-certified at the Platinum level. The Authority also has committed to using 100 percent renewable energy for operation.</p> <p>During construction, the policy calls for implementing the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Follow construction waste practices that divert at least 85 percent of waste from landfill, unless the local regulation is higher. ▪ Recycle all steel and concrete waste generated. ▪ Reduce potable water use. ▪ Maximize the use of renewable transportation fuels. ▪ In compliance with the International Standards Organization 14001 standard, the Authority's contract requirements for the design-build contractor will be monitored throughout construction, performance data collected through the EMMA database, and data compiled into annual reports for verification and continuous improvement of sustainability practices, including minimizing impacts on public utilities. | | | construction) | | | consumption into design | |
| PUE-IAMF#3 | Public Notifications | <p>Prior to construction in areas where utility service interruptions are unavoidable, the contractor will obtain written consent from utility owners prior to construction consistent with the HSR Design Criteria Manual Chapter 28, Utilities, Section 28.2.2.3.4, Level of Service and Service Interruptions. The contractor will notify the public through a combination of communication media (e.g., by phone, email, mail, newspaper notices, or other means) within that jurisdiction and the affected service providers of the planned outage. The notification will specify the estimated duration of the planned outage and will be published no less than 7 days prior to the outage. Construction will be coordinated to avoid interruptions of utility service to hospitals and other critical users. The contractor will submit the public communication plan to the Authority 60 days in advance of the work for verification that appropriate messaging and notification are to be provided.</p> | Pre-construction/ Construction | Public notification | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Public notification of utility service interruptions 60 days in advance of work for verification | Condition of construction contract |
| PUE-IAMF#4 | Utilities and Energy | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a technical memorandum documenting how construction activities will be coordinated with utility service providers to minimize or avoid planned and accidental temporary interruptions. The memorandum will identify all affected utility service providers, proposed coordination activities before and during construction, as well as the location of all known underground utilities. The technical memorandum will be provided to the Authority for review and approval prior to the start of coordination with any utility service providers. Confirmation of existing utilities will be conducted with all utility service providers consistent with the HSR Design Criteria Manual Section 28.2.2.3.2, Utility Verification Request to Owner. In addition, the contractor and each utility service provider will agree on the best ways to coordinate during construction for all planned and accidental interruptions of utility service. Following these initial contractor coordination activities with the utility service providers, the contractor will prepare a second technical memorandum to document the location of confirmed utility infrastructure that will be affected by construction activities consistent with the HSR Design Criteria Manual Chapter 28, Utilities, and California Government Code Section 4215 as well as the negotiated protocols the contractor will use to coordinate during construction with each affected utility service provide. This technical memorandum will be reviewed and approved by the Authority.</p> | Design/ Pre-construction | Prepare a technical memorandum | At incorporation or completion of design/monthly reporting (during construction) | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare service provider coordination technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |

Biological Resources

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|--|---|------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| BIO-IAMF#1 | Designate Project Biologist, Designated Biologists, Species-Specific Biological Monitors and General Biological Monitors | At least 15 business days prior to commencement of any ground-disturbing activity, including but not limited to geotechnical investigations, utility realignments, creation of staging areas, or initial clearing and grubbing, the Authority will submit the name(s) and qualifications of project biologists, designated biologists, species-specific biological monitors, and general biological monitors retained to conduct biological resource monitoring activities and implement avoidance and minimization measures. No ground-disturbing activity will begin until the Authority has received written approval from USFWS, NMFS, where applicable, and CDFW that the biologists and monitors have been approved to conduct the specified work. The project biologist is responsible for ensuring the timely implementation of the biological avoidance and minimization measures as outlined in the BRMP, and for guiding and directing the work of the designated biologists and biological monitors. Designated biologists will be responsible for directly overseeing and reporting the implementation of general and species-specific conservation measures. In some instances, designated biologists will only be approved for specific species, in which case they will only be authorized to conduct surveys and implement measures for the species for which they have been approved. Species-specific biological monitors will be responsible for implementation of species-specific measures for the species for which they have been approved, and will report directly to a designated biologist. General biological monitors will report directly to a designated biologist or to the project biologist. General biological monitors will be responsible for conducting WEAP training, implementing general conservation measures, conducting general compliance monitoring, and reporting on compliance monitoring activities. When the Authority is specified as implementing an IAMF, it is assumed that the Authority, or its contractor or agent, is implementing the IAMF under the supervision of biologists and biological monitors, as appropriate. | Pre-construction | Compliance reporting | 15 days prior to ground disturbance | Authority | Authority | Submit names of biologists and monitors to regulatory agencies | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#2 | Facilitate Agency Access | Throughout the construction period, the Authority will allow access by USEPA, USFWS, NMFS, USACE, CDFW, SWRCB, BCDC, and the San Francisco Bay RWQCB to the project site. Because of safety concerns, all visitors will check in with the Authority's resident engineer prior to entering the project footprint. In the event that agency personnel visit the project footprint, the project biologist will prepare a memorandum within 3 business days after the visit documenting the issues raised during the field meeting. The project biologist will report any issues regarding regulatory compliance raised during visits by agency personnel to the Authority. | Construction | Compliance reporting | 3 days after regulatory agency site visit | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare memorandum documenting agency site visit | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#3 | Prepare WEAP Training Materials and Conduct Construction Period WEAP Training | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will prepare a WEAP for the purpose of training construction crews to recognize and identify sensitive biological resources that may be encountered in the vicinity of the project footprint. The WEAP training materials will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. A video of the WEAP training prepared and presented by the project biologist and approved by the Authority may be used if the project biologist is not available to present the training in person.</p> <p>At a minimum, WEAP training materials will include the following information: the key provisions of FESA, CESA, BGEPA, MBTA, Cal. Fish and Game Code Section 1600, Porter-Cologne Act, and CWA; the consequences and penalties for violation or noncompliance with these laws and regulations and project authorizations; identification and characteristics of special-status plants, special-status wildlife, jurisdictional waters, and special-status plant communities and explanations about their ecological value; hazardous substance spill prevention and containment measures; the contact person in the event of the discovery of a dead or injured wildlife species; a review of avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures; characteristics of special-status plants, special-status wildlife, jurisdictional waters, and special-status plant communities; and explanations about their ecological value.</p> <p>The project biologist will present WEAP training to all construction personnel before they work in the project footprint. As part of the WEAP training, construction timing in relation to</p> | Pre-construction | Training program/ Reporting | Annual (training)/ Monthly (reporting) | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | Prepare WEAP/Annual (training)/Monthly (reporting) | WEAP |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | <p>species' habitat and life-stage requirements will be detailed and discussed on project maps, which will show areas for planned minimization and avoidance measures. Crews will be informed during the WEAP training that, except when necessary as determined in consultation with the project biologist, travel within the project footprint is restricted to established roadbeds, which include all pre-existing and project-constructed unimproved and improved roads. A fact sheet conveying this information will be prepared by the project biologist for distribution to the construction crews and others who enter the project footprint. Fact sheet information will be duplicated in a wallet-sized format and will be provided in other languages as necessary to accommodate non-English-speaking workers. All construction staff will attend the WEAP training prior to beginning work on-site and will attend the WEAP training on an annual basis thereafter.</p> <p>Upon completion of the WEAP training, each member of the construction crew will sign a form stating that they attended the training, understood the information presented, and agreed to comply with the requirements set out in the WEAP training. The project biologist will submit the signed WEAP training forms to the Authority on a monthly basis. On an annual basis, the Authority will certify that WEAP training had been provided to all construction personnel. On a monthly basis, the project biologist will provide updates relevant to the training to construction personnel during the daily safety ("tailgate") meeting.</p> | | | | | | | |
| BIO-IAMF#4 | Conduct Operation and Maintenance Period WEAP Training | <p>Prior to initiating O&M activities, O&M personnel will attend a WEAP training session arranged by the Authority.</p> <p>At a minimum, O&M WEAP training materials will include the following information: key provisions of the FESA, CESA, BGEPA, MBTA, Cal. Fish and Game Code Section 1600, Porter-Cologne Act, and CWA; the consequences and penalties for violation or noncompliance with these laws and regulations and project authorizations; identification and characteristics of special-status plants, special-status wildlife, jurisdictional waters, and special-status plant communities and explanations about their ecological value; hazardous substance spill prevention and containment measures; and the contact person in the event of the discovery of a dead or injured wildlife species. In addition, the training will include an overview of provisions of the BRMP, annual vegetation, and management plan, WCP, and security fencing and wildlife exclusion fencing maintenance plans pertinent to O&M activities. A fact sheet prepared by the Authority environmental compliance staff will be prepared for distribution to the O&M employees. The training will be provided by the Authority environmental compliance staff. The training sessions will be provided to employees prior to their involvement in any O&M activity and will be repeated for all O&M employees on an annual basis. Upon completion of the WEAP training, O&M employees will, in writing, verify their attendance at the training sessions and confirm their willingness to comply with the requirements set out in those sessions.</p> | Post-construction | Training program/ Reporting | Annual | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | WEAP Training/Annual reporting | WEAP |
| BIO-IAMF#5 | Prepare and Implement a Biological Resources Management Plan | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will prepare the BRMP, which will include a compilation of the biological resources' avoidance and minimization measures applicable to the HSR section. Project environmental plans, such as the RRP and WCP, will be included as appendices to the BRMP. The BRMP is intended to serve as a comprehensive document that sets out the range of avoidance and minimization measures to support the appropriate and timely implementation of those measures. The implementation of these measures will be tracked through final design, construction, and operation phases. The BRMP will contain, but not be limited to, the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A master schedule that shows construction of the project, pre-construction surveys, and establishment of buffers and exclusions zones to protect sensitive biological resources. ▪ Specific measures for the protection of special-status species. ▪ Identification (on construction plans) of the locations and quantity of habitats to be avoided or removed, along with the locations where habitats are to be restored. ▪ Identification of agency-approved project biologist(s) and biological monitor(s), including | Pre-construction | Prepare plan | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare BRMP | USFWS, USACE, SWRCB, and CDFW permits |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>those responsible for notification and report of injury or death of federally or state-listed species.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures to preserve topsoil and control erosion. Design of protective fencing around environmentally sensitive areas and the construction staging areas. Locations of trees to be protected as wildlife habitat (roosting sites) and locations for planting replacement trees. Specification of the purpose, type, frequency, and extent of chemical use for insect and disease control operations as part of vegetative maintenance within sensitive habitat areas. Specific measures for the protection of vernal pool habitat and riparian areas. These measures may include erosion and siltation control measures, protective fencing guidelines, dust control measures, grading techniques, construction area limits, and biological monitoring requirements. Provisions for biological monitoring during ground-disturbing activities to confirm compliance and success of protective measures. The monitoring will: (1) identify specific locations of wildlife habitat and sensitive species to be monitored; (2) identify the frequency of monitoring and the monitoring methods (for each habitat and sensitive species to be monitored); (3) list required qualifications of biological monitor(s); (4) identify the reporting requirements; and (5) provide an accounting of impacts on special-status species habitat compared to pre-construction impact estimates. <p>The BRMP will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval prior to any ground-disturbing activity.</p> | | | | | | | |
| BIO-IAMF#6 | Establish Monofilament Restrictions | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the project biologist will verify that plastic monofilament netting (erosion control matting) or similar material is not being used as part of erosion control activities. The project biologist will identify acceptable material for such use, including: geomembranes, coconut coir matting, tackified hydroseeding compounds, and rice straw wattles (e.g., Earthsaver wattles: biodegradable, photodegradable, burlap). Within developed or urban areas, the project biologist may allow exceptions to the restrictions on the type of erosion control material if the project biologist determines that the construction area is of sufficient distance from natural areas to avoid potential impacts on wildlife.</p> | Pre-construction | Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#7 | Prevent Entrapment in Construction Materials and Excavations | <p>At the end of each work day during construction, the Authority will cover all excavated, steep-sided holes or trenches more than 8 inches deep and that have sidewalls steeper than 1:1 (45 degree) slope with plywood or similar materials, or provide a minimum of one escape ramp per 100 feet of trenching (with slopes no greater than 3:1) constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. The project biologist will thoroughly inspect holes and trenches for trapped animals at the start and end of each work day.</p> <p>The Authority will screen, cover, or elevate at least 1 foot above ground, all construction pipe, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of 3 inches or greater that are stored overnight within the project footprint. These pipes, culverts, and similar structures will be inspected by the project biologist for wildlife before such material is moved, buried, or capped.</p> | Construction | Monitoring/ Compliance reporting | Daily monitoring/ Monthly reporting | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Daily monitoring/ monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#8 | Delineate Equipment Staging Areas and Traffic Routes | <p>Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the Authority will establish staging areas for construction equipment in areas that minimize impacts on sensitive biological resources, including habitat for special-status species, seasonal wetlands, and wildlife movement corridors. Staging areas (including any temporary material storage areas) will be located in areas that will be occupied by permanent facilities, where practicable. Equipment staging areas will be identified on final project construction plans. The Authority will flag and mark access routes to restrict vehicle traffic within the project footprint to established roads,</p> | Pre-construction | Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-------------|--|---|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | construction areas and other designated areas. | | | | | | | |
| BIO-IAMF#9 | Dispose of Construction Spoils and Waste | During ground-disturbing activities, the Authority may temporarily store excavated materials produced by construction activities in areas at or near construction sites within the project footprint. Where practicable, the Authority will return excavated soil to its original location to be used as backfill. Any excavated waste materials unsuitable for treatment and reuse will be disposed at an off-site location, in conformance with applicable state and federal laws. | Construction | Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#10 | Clean Construction Equipment | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the Authority will check that all equipment entering the work area is free of mud and plant materials. The Authority will establish vehicle cleaning locations designed to isolate and contain organic materials and minimize opportunities for weeds and invasive species to move in and out of the project footprint. Cleaning may be done by washing with water, blowing with compressed air, brushing, or other hand cleaning. The cleaning areas will be located to avoid impacts on surface waters and appropriate SWPPP BMPs will be implemented to further control any potential for the spread of weeds or other invasive species. Cleaning stations will be inspected regularly (at least monthly). | Pre-construction | Compliance reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#11 | Maintain Construction Sites and BMP Training | Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the Authority will prepare a construction site BMP field manual. The manual will contain standard construction site housekeeping practices required to be implemented by construction personnel. The manual will identify BMPs for the following topics: temporary soil stabilization, temporary sediment control, wind erosion control, nonstormwater management, waste management and materials control, rodenticide use, and other general construction site cleanliness measures. All construction personnel will receive training on BMP field manual implementation prior to working within the project footprint. All personnel will acknowledge, in writing, their understanding of the BMP field manual implementation requirements. The BMP field manual will be updated by January 31st of each year. The Authority will provide, on an annual basis, training updates to all construction personnel. | Pre-construction | Reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |
| BIO-IAMF#12 | Design the Project to be Bird Safe | Prior to final construction design, the Authority will ensure that the catenary system, masts, and other structures such as fencing, electric lines, communication towers and facilities are designed to be bird and raptor-safe in accordance with the applicable recommendations presented in <i>Suggested Practices for Raptor Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006</i> (APLIC 2006) and <i>Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines: State of the Art in 2012</i> (APLIC 2012). Applicable APLIC recommendations include, but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensuring sufficient spacing of phase conductors to prevent bird electrocution Configuring lines to reduce vertical spread of lines and/or decreasing the span length if such options are feasible Marking lines and fences (e.g., Bird Flight Diverter for fencing and lines) to increase the visibility of lines and reduce the potential for collision. Where fencing is necessary, using bird-compatible design standards to increase visibility of fences to prevent collision and entanglement Installing perch guards to discourage avian presence on and near project facilities Minimizing the use of guywires. Where the use of guywires is unavoidable, demarcating guywires using the best available methods to minimize avian strikes (e.g., line markers). Reusing or co-locating new transmission facilities and other ancillary facilities with existing facilities and disturbed areas to minimize habitat impacts and avoid collision risks Structures will be monopole or dual-pole design versus lattice tower design to minimize perching and nesting opportunities. Communication towers will conform to Recommended Best Practices for Communication Tower Design, Siting, Construction, | Pre-construction | Design | Prior to final design | Authority | Authority | Bird and raptor-safe design catenary system, masts, and other structures such as fencing | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>Operation, Maintenance, and Decommissioning (USFWS 2018).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of facility lighting that does not attract birds or their prey to project sites. These include using non-steady burning lights (red, dual red and white strobe, strobe-like flashing lights) to meet Federal Aviation Administration requirements, using motion or heat sensors and switches to reduce the time when lights are illuminated, using appropriate shielding to reduce horizontal or skyward illumination, and avoiding the use of high-intensity lights (e.g., sodium vapor, quartz, halogen). Lighting will not be installed under viaduct and bridge structures in riparian habitat areas. <p>Additional bird operational actions will be required for dry lakes and playas, Audubon Important Bird Areas, and documented avian movement corridors. These measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid, to the extent feasible, siting transmission lines across canyons or on ridgelines to prevent bird and raptor collisions. Install bird flight diverters on all facilities spanning or within 1,000 feet of stream and wash channels, canals, ponds, and any other natural or artificial body of water. Fencing or other type of flight diverter will be installed on all viaduct structures to encourage birds and raptors to fly over the HSR and avoid flying directly in the path of oncoming trains. Ensure poles do not have openings that could entrap birds. Measures may include sealing or capping all openings in poles or providing for escape routes (e.g., openings accommodating escape for various species). Design aerial structures (e.g., viaducts and bridges) and tunnel portals to discourage birds and bats from roosting in expansion joints or other crevices. | | | | | | | |
| Hydrology and Water Resources | | | | | | | | | |
| HYD-IAMF#1 | Stormwater Management | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a stormwater management and treatment plan in compliance with municipal separate storm sewer systems and construction stormwater general permits, issued by the SWRCB for review and approval by the Authority. During the detailed design phase, each receiving stormwater system's capacity to accommodate project runoff will be evaluated. As necessary, on-site stormwater BMPs, such as detention or selected upgrades to the receiving system, will be designed to provide adequate capacity and to comply with the design standards in the latest version of Authority Technical Memorandum 2.6.5 <i>Hydraulics and Hydrology Guidelines</i> (Authority 2011a), HSR Design Criteria Manual, <i>Caltrans Stormwater Quality Handbook: Project Planning and Design Guide</i> (Caltrans 2017d), and the requirements stated in the applicable state and local NPDES permits and guidelines. On-site stormwater management treatment BMPs will be designed and built to capture runoff and provide treatment prior to discharge of pollutant-generating surfaces, including station parking areas, access roads, new road over- and underpasses, reconstructed interchanges, and new or relocated roads and highways. These treatment BMPs will include measures to incorporate permeable surfaces into facility design plans where feasible, and how treated stormwater will be retained or detained on-site. Other BMPs will include strategies to manage the amount and quality of overall stormwater runoff. The design will prioritize low-impact development techniques, as referenced in the Caltrans <i>Project Planning and Design Guide</i> and the local NPDES guidelines as applicable, will be used to detain runoff on-site and to reduce off-site runoff. Low-impact development techniques will be used, where appropriate and include but are not limited to constructed wetland systems, biofiltration and bioretention systems, wet ponds, and vegetated systems (biofilters), such as vegetated swales and grass filter strips. The stormwater management and treatment plan will also address hydromodification such that pre-project hydrology is maintained. Hydromodification design measures will include incorporating on-site retention of</p> | Design | Prepare plan | At incorporation or completion of design | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare a stormwater management and treatment plan | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | stormwater runoff by using flow dispersion, infiltration, and evaporation (supplemented by detention where required). Additional flow control measures will be implemented where local regulations or drainage requirements dictate. | | | | | | | |
| HYD-IAMF#2 | Flood Protection | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a flood protection plan for Authority review and approval. The flood protection plan will be prepared to ensure that the project is designed both to remain operational during flood events and to minimize increases in 100-year or 200-year flood elevations, as applicable to locale. The contractor will be responsible for implementation of the design standards as presented in the flood protection plan. Design standards, as itemized in the flood protection plan, will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Establish track elevation to prevent saturation and infiltration of stormwater into the subballast. ▪ Minimize development within the floodplain, to such an extent that water surface elevation in the floodplain will not increase by more than 1 foot, or as required by state or local agencies, during the 100-year or 200-year flood flow [as applicable to locale]. Avoid placement of facilities in the floodplain or raise the ground with fill above the base-flood elevation.⁴ ▪ Design the floodplain crossings to maintain a 100-year floodwater surface elevation of no greater than 1 foot above current levels, or as required by state or local agencies, and project features within the floodway itself will not increase existing 100-year floodwater surface elevations in Federal Emergency Management Agency–designated floodways, or as otherwise agreed upon with the local county flood control district. <p>The following design standards will minimize the impacts of pier placement on floodplains and floodways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Design site crossings to be as nearly perpendicular to the channel as feasible to minimize bridge length. ▪ Orient piers to be parallel to the expected high-water flow direction to minimize flow disturbance. ▪ Elevate bridge crossings at least 3 feet above the high-water surface elevation to provide adequate clearance for floating debris, or as required by local agencies. ▪ Conduct engineering analyses of channel scour depths at each crossing to evaluate the depth for burying the bridge piers and abutments. Implement scour-control measures to reduce erosion potential. ▪ Use quarry stone, cobblestone, or their equivalent for erosion control along rivers and streams, complimented with native riparian plantings or other natural stabilization alternatives that will restore and maintain a natural riparian corridor. ▪ Place bedding materials under the stone protection at locations where the underlying soils require stabilization as a result of stream-flow velocity. | Design | Prepare plan | At incorporation or completion of design | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare flood protection plan | Condition of construction contract |
| HYD-IAMF#3 | Prepare and Implement a Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan | <p>Prior to construction (i.e., any ground-disturbing activities), the contractor’s fully trained and certified Qualified SWPPP Developer will prepare a site-specific SWPPP that complies with the California General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction and Land Disturbance Activities (Construction General Permit) (NPDES No. CAS000002) issued by the SWRCB. The contractor will submit the following permit registration documents to the Authority for review and approval:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Site-specific SWPPP ▪ Risk assessment determination | Pre-construction/ Construction | Permit compliance | At incorporation or completion of design/during monthly construction report | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare construction SWPPP | Condition of construction contract |

⁴ This text that indicates the water surface elevation in the floodplain “will not increase by more than 1 foot” conflicts with TM 2.6.5, Hydraulics and Hydrology Design Guidelines (2011), which states the water surface elevation in the floodplain “cannot be higher than the 100-year BFE” (base flood elevation).

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Site map <p>The site map will include all the features referenced in Appendix B of the Construction General Permit. No ground disturbance activity shall commence until a waste discharge identification number is issued by the SWRCB. Until a new order is adopted and becomes effective, the contractor will comply with Construction General Permit Order No. 2009-0009-DWQ as listed in the SWRCB's stormwater website at: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/stormwater/constpermits.html.</p> <p>The site-specific SWPPP shall identify BMPs that will be implemented to reduce or eliminate pollutants in stormwater and authorize nonstormwater discharges. The site-specific SWPPP will include water pollution control drawings that clearly present BMPs that will be implemented during each construction phase. For affected stream crossings, the site-specific SWPPP will identify BMPs that will be implemented for stream diversions. If dewatering is required, the site specific SWPPP will describe, list, and comply with applicable local and RWQCB permits for dewatering. Based on the potential pollutant sources, the site-specific SWPPP will identify and implement BMPs in the following categories to reduce or eliminate pollutant discharges from the site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Erosion Controls ▪ Sediment Controls ▪ Nonstormwater Management ▪ Materials Management ▪ Waste Management <p>Furthermore, site-specific SWPPP will include, but is not limited to, the following measures to address water pollution control:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement practices to minimize the contact of construction materials, equipment, and maintenance supplies with stormwater. ▪ Identify and eliminate, control, or treat nonstormwater discharges. ▪ Limit fueling and other activities using hazardous materials to areas at least 50 feet from surface water, provide drip pans under equipment, and perform daily checks for vehicle condition. ▪ Implement practices to reduce erosion of exposed soil, including preserving existing vegetation, soil stabilization with erosion control blankets, soil binders, and/or hydraulic mulch; watering for dust control per the opacity limits referenced in the local air quality management district permit; installing linear sediment controls along the toe of the slope, face of the slope, and at the grade breaks of exposed slopes to comply with flow lengths referenced in the Construction General Permit; and providing effective soil cover for inactive areas, all finished slopes, and completed lots. ▪ Implement practices to control sediment by designing catchment basins per the California Stormwater Quality Association Construction BMP Guidance Handbook; installing inlet protection; stabilizing construction entrances and exits; installing and maintaining linear sediment controls along the perimeter of the construction area; and inspecting all immediate access roads daily. ▪ Implement the following measures to maintain current water quality: effective site management "housekeeping", nonstormwater management erosion control, sediment controls, and run-on and runoff controls. ▪ Where feasible, avoid areas that may have substantial erosion risk, including areas with erosive soils and steep slopes. ▪ Use diversion ditches to intercept surface runoff from off-site. ▪ Where feasible, limit construction to dry periods when flows in aquatic resources are low | | | | | | | |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|--|--|---|----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>or absent.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement waste management practices to collect and provide proper off-site, commercially available disposal service of concrete wash water. ▪ Allow isolation of runoff from fresh concrete during curing to prevent it from reaching the local drainage system. ▪ Develop and implement a spill prevention and emergency response plan to manage and contain potential fuel and/or hazardous material spills. ▪ Dispose excess drilling mud and cuttings to a landfill specifically permitted to receive these materials. No on-site disposal will be allowed. ▪ Manage hazardous material waste such as asbestos concrete pipe, contaminated soil, and treated wood by accumulating wastes in closed containers and storing it within secondary containment areas. The contractor will not mix hazardous waste. All hazardous waste will be managed in compliance with federal, state, and local laws regarding storage, handling, transportation and disposal. <p>Implementation of the site-specific SWPPP will be performed by the contractor's fully trained and certified QSP. As part of the QSP's responsibility, the effectiveness of construction BMPs will be visually monitored at least once a week and before, during, and after rain events. Records of these inspections and visual monitoring results will be summarized on the project's Stormwater Multiple Application and Report Tracking System online database. The local RWQCB will have the opportunity to review the project's records on this account. Furthermore, paper or electronic records or documents required by the site-specific SWPPP will be available at the site until construction is complete.</p> | | | | | | | |
| HYD-IAMF#4 | Prepare and Implement an Industrial Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan | <p>Prior to construction of any facility classified as an industrial facility, the contractor will comply with existing industrial stormwater quality regulations. The general permit for stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities, NPDES No. CAS000001, requires preparation of an industrial SWPPP and a monitoring plan for industrial facilities that discharge stormwater from the site, including vehicle maintenance facilities associated with transportation operations. The permit includes performance standards for pollution control. The industrial SWPPP will describe the facility functions, treatment BMPs, operations BMPs, inspection and monitoring activities, and recordkeeping that will be implemented during the facility operations as they pertain specifically to stormwater. The SWPPP will be designed to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Protect existing water quality and comply with the industrial NPDES permit. 2. Identify activities that have the potential to cause surface water or groundwater contamination and the BMPs required to reduce, eliminate, or prevent contamination. <p>The contractor will provide a fully trained and certified Qualified Industrial Storm Water Practitioner to assist with compliance and implementation of this permit.</p> | Design/ Construction | Permit compliance | At incorporation or completion of design/during monthly operation report | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare industrial SWPPP | Condition of construction contract |
| Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources | | | | | | | | | |
| GEO-IAMF#1 | Geologic Hazards | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a CMP addressing how the contractor will address geologic constraints and minimize or avoid impacts related to geologic hazards during construction. This geologic hazard risk minimization plan will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. The plan will address the following geological and geotechnical constraints/resources, with reference to the specific underlying standards set forth in the guidance and other manuals detailed in GEO-IAMF#10:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Groundwater withdrawal. Controlling the amount of groundwater withdrawal from the project, by re-injecting groundwater at specific locations if necessary, or using alternate foundation designs to offset the potential for settlement. This control is important for locations with retained cuts in areas where high groundwater exists, and where existing buildings are located near the depressed track section. | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan | At incorporation or completion of design/during monthly construction report | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMP | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>b. Unstable soils. Employing various methods to mitigate for the risk of ground failure from unstable soils. If soft or loose soils are encountered at shallow depths, they can be excavated and replaced with competent soils. To limit the excavation depth, replacement materials can also be strengthened using geosynthetics. Where unsuitable soils are deeper, ground improvement methods, such as stone columns, cement deep-soil-mixing, or jet-grouting, can be used. Alternatively, if sufficient construction time is available, preloading—in combination with prefabricated vertical drains (wicks) and staged construction—can be used to gradually improve the strength of the soil without causing bearing-capacity failures.</p> <p>c. Subsidence. The Authority addresses subsidence in its design and construction processes. For the initial design, survey monuments were installed to establish a datum and set an initial track profile. In the construction phase, the design-build contractors for railbed preparation will conduct topographic surveys for preparation of final design. Because subsidence could have occurred since the original benchmarks (survey monuments) were established, the design-build contractor's topographic surveys will be used to help determine whether subsidence has occurred. The updated topographic surveys will also be used to establish the top of rail elevations for final design where the HSR system is outside established floodplain areas and above water surface elevations. Where the HSR system is in floodplain areas susceptible to flooding, consideration is being given to overbuild the height of the railbed in anticipation of future subsidence.</p> <p>d. Water and wind erosion. The contractor will implement erosion control methods as appropriate from the various erosion control methods documented in the construction SWPPP (see HYD-IAMF#3: Prepare and Implement a Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan), the Caltrans Construction Manuals, and the construction technical memorandum (see GEO-IAMF#6: Ground Rupture Early Warning Systems), and in coordination with other erosion, sediment, stormwater management and fugitive dust control efforts. Water and wind erosion control methods may include, but are not limited to, use of revegetation, stabilizers, mulches, and biodegradable geotextiles.</p> <p>e. Soils with shrink-swell potential. In locations where shrink-swell potential is marginally unacceptable, soil additives will be mixed with existing soil to reduce the shrink-swell potential. Construction specifications will be based upon the decision whether to remove or treat the soil. This decision is based on the soils, specific shrink-swell characteristics, the additional costs for treatment versus excavation and replacement, as well as the long-term performance characteristics of the treated soil.</p> <p>f. Soils with corrosive potential. In locations where soils have a potential to be corrosive to steel and concrete, the soils will be removed and buried structures will be designed for corrosive conditions, and corrosion-protected materials will be used in infrastructure.</p> | | | | | | | |
| GEO-IAMF#2 | Slope Monitoring | During O&M, the Authority will incorporate slope monitoring by a registered engineering geologist into the O&M procedures. The procedures will be implemented at sites identified in the CMP where a potential for long-term instability exists from gravity or seismic loading including but not limited to at-grade sections where slope failure could result in loss of track support, or where slope failure could result in additional earth loading to foundations supporting elevated structures. | Operation | Prepare plan/ Monitoring | Monthly during operation | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Slope monitoring during operation | Condition of construction contract |
| GEO-IAMF#3 | Gas Monitoring | Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a CMP addressing how gas monitoring will be incorporated into construction BMPs. The CMP will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. Hazards related to potential migration of hazardous gases due to the presence of known oil and gas fields, areas of active or historic landfills, or other subsurface sources can be reduced or eliminated by following strict federal and state Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA/Cal-OSHA) regulatory requirements | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan/ Design | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Preparation of a CMP | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | for excavations, and by consulting with other agencies as appropriate, such as the California Department of Conservation's Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources, and the California Environmental Protection Agency, DTSC, regarding known areas of concern. Practices will include using safe and explosion-proof equipment during construction, and testing for gases regularly. Installation of passive or active gas venting systems, gas collection systems, as well as active monitoring systems and alarms will be required in underground construction areas and facilities where subsurface gases are present. Installing gas-detection systems can monitor the effectiveness of these systems. | | | | | | | |
| GEO-IAMF#5 | Hazardous Minerals | Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a CMP addressing how the contractor will minimize or avoid impacts related to hazardous minerals (i.e., radon, mercury, naturally occurring asbestos) during construction. The CMP will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. The CMP will include appropriate provisions federal and state instructions and guidelines for handling hazardous minerals including but limited to dust control, control of soil erosion and water runoff, and testing and proper disposal of excavated material. | Design/ Construction | Design/ Monitoring/ Reporting | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Preparation of a CMP | Condition of construction contract |
| GEO-IAMF#6 | Ground Rupture Early Warning Systems | Prior to construction, the contractor will document how the project design incorporates installation of early warning systems, triggered by strong ground motion association with ground rupture. All known nearby active faults will be monitored. Linear monitoring systems such as time domain reflectometers or similar technology will be installed along rail lines in the zone of potential ground rupture. These devices emit electronic information that is processed in a centralized location and will be used to temporarily control trains, thus reducing accidents due to fault creep. Damage to infrastructure from fault creep can be mitigated with routine maintenance including minor realignment. | Design/ Pre-construction | Design/ Monitoring | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Preparation of a CMP | Condition of construction contract |
| GEO-IAMF#7 | Evaluate and Design for Large Seismic Ground Shaking | Prior to construction, the contractor will document through preparation of a technical memorandum how all HSR components were evaluated and designed for large seismic ground shaking. Prior to final design, the contractor will conduct additional seismic studies to establish up-to-date estimation of levels of ground motion. The most current <i>Caltrans Seismic Design Criteria</i> at the time of design will be used in the design of any structures supported in or on the ground. These design procedures and features reduce to the greatest practical extent for potential movements, shear forces, and displacements that result from inertial response of the structure. In critical locations, pendulum base isolators may be used to reduce the levels of inertial forces. New composite materials may also be used to enhance seismic performance. | Design | Design/Studies | Prior to construction | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | At incorporation or completion of design | Seismic ground shaking design technical memorandum |
| GEO-IAMF#8 | Suspension of Operations during an Earthquake | Prior to O&M activities, the contractor will document in a technical memorandum how suspension of operations during or after an earthquake was addressed in project design. Motion-sensing instruments to provide ground motion data and a control system to shut down HSR operations temporarily during or after a potentially damaging earthquake will be incorporated into final design. Monitoring equipment will be installed at select locations where high ground motions could occur. The system will then be inspected for damage due to ground motion and/or ground deformation, and then returned to service when appropriate. | Design/ Construction/ Operation | Reporting | As needed based on an earthquake event | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | At incorporation or completion of design/during monthly construction report | Technical memorandum prepared as needed based on an earthquake event |
| GEO-IAMF#9 | Subsidence Monitoring | Prior to O&M, the Authority will develop a stringent track monitoring program. Once tracks are operational, a remote monitoring program will be implemented to monitor the effects of ongoing subsidence. Track inspection systems will provide early warning of reduced track integrity. HSR trainsets will be equipped with autonomous equipment for daily track surveys. This specification will be added to HSR train bid packages. If monitoring indicates that track tolerances are not met, trains will operate at reduced speeds until track tolerances are restored. In addition, the contractor responsible for wayside maintenance will be required to implement a stringent program for track maintenance. | Design/ Operation | Program development | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Develop a stringent track monitoring program | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| GEO-IAMF#10 | Geology and Soils | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will document through issuance of a technical memorandum how the following guidelines and standards have been incorporated into facility design and construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 2015 AASHTO Load and Resistance Factor Bridge Design Specifications and the 2015 AASHTO Guide Specifications for Load and Resistance Factor Seismic Bridge Design (AASHTO 2015a, 2015b) or their most recent versions. These documents provide guidance for characterization of soils, as well as methods to be used in the design of bridge foundations and structures, retaining walls, and buried structures. These design specifications will provide minimum specifications for evaluating the seismic response of the soil and structures will provide minimum specifications for evaluating the seismic response of the soil and structures. ▪ Federal Highway Administration Circulars and Reference Manuals. These documents provide detailed geotechnical guidance. Methods to characterize geotechnical conditions at sites is found in Chapter 6, Geotechnical, of Federal Highway Lands, PDDM (FHWA 2017). Methods for performing foundation design and recommendations on foundation construction are found in Chapter 10, Structural Design, of the PDDM. These guidance documents include methods for designing retaining walls used for retained cuts and retained fills, foundations for elevated structures, and at-grade segments. Some of the documents include guidance on methods of mitigating geologic hazards that are encountered during design. The FHWA Geotechnical Technical Guidance Manual (FHWA 2007) supports the policies, standards and standard practices presented in Chapter 6 of the PDDM. Additionally, it provides guidance for activities where standards and standard practices do not exist, and it provides access to and guidance for the use of new technologies. ▪ American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association Manual. These guidelines deal with rail systems. Although they cover many of the same general topics as AASHTO, they are more focused on best practices for rail systems. The manual includes principles, data, specifications, plans, and economics pertaining to the engineering, design, and construction of railways. ▪ California Building Code. The code is based on 2015 IBC. This code contains general building design and construction requirements relating to fire and life safety, structural safety, and access compliance. Geologic and soils hazards are discussed in Chapter 16, Structural Design, and Chapter 18, Soils and Foundations, of the 2019 California Building Code, Title 24, Part 2 (Volumes 1 & 2) with a January 2020 Errata (iccsafe.org). ▪ IBC and ASCE-7. These codes and standards will be used for the design of the maintenance facilities and stations. Sections in IBC and ASCE-7 provide minimum requirements for geotechnical investigations, levels of earthquake ground shaking, minimum standards for structural design, and inspection and testing requirements. ▪ Caltrans Design Standards. Caltrans has specific minimum design and construction standards for all aspects of transportation system design, ranging from geotechnical explorations to construction practices. These amendments provide specific guidance for the design of deep foundations that are used to support elevated structures (Caltrans 2021a), for design of mechanically stabilized earth walls used for retained fills (Caltrans 2021b), and for design of various types of cantilever (e.g., soldier pile, secant pile, and tangent pile) (Caltrans 2021c), and tie-back walls used for retained cuts (Caltrans 2021d). ▪ Caltrans Construction Manuals. Caltrans has a number of construction manuals that will be followed addressing geology and soils conditions. These include the: <i>Field Guide to Construction Dewatering</i> (Caltrans 2014), <i>Caltrans Construction Site Best Management Practices (BMP) Manual</i> (Caltrans 2017a), and <i>Construction Site Best Management</i> | Design/ Construction/ Operation | Design/ Reporting | At incorporation or completion of design/during monthly construction reporting | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare technical memorandum/ Implementation of guidelines during design, construction, and operation phases | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-------------|---|---|--------|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | | <p><i>Practice (BMP) Field Manual and Troubleshooting Guide</i> (Caltrans 2003). BMPs for dewatering options and management are discussed in Section 1.2 of the <i>Field Guide to Construction Dewatering</i>, erosion control and soil stabilization are discussed in Section 3-5 of the <i>Caltrans Construction Site Best Management Process Manual</i>, non-stormwater management is discussed in Section 7 of the <i>Caltrans Construction Site Best Management Practices Manual</i>, and waste management at construction sites is discussed in Section 8 of the <i>Caltrans Construction Site Best Management Practices</i>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ASTM. ASTM has developed standards and guidelines for all types of material testing, from soil compaction testing to concrete-strength testing. The ASTM standards also include minimum performance requirements for materials. | | | | | | | |
| GEO-IAMF#11 | Engage a Qualified Paleontological Resources Specialist | <p>Prior to the 90 percent design milestone for each CP⁵ within the Project Section, the contractor will retain a PRS responsible for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewing the final design for the CP. Developing a detailed PRMMP for the CP. Implementing the PRMMP, including development and delivery of WEAP training, supervision of PRMs, evaluation and treatment of finds, if any, and preparation of a final paleontological mitigation report, per the PRMMP and for each CP. <p>Retention of PRS staff will occur in a timely manner, in advance of the 90 percent design milestone for each CP, such that the PRS is on board and can review the 90 percent design submittal without delay when it becomes available. If feasible, the same PRS will be responsible for all CPs within a given Project Section.</p> <p>All PRS staff will meet or exceed the qualifications for a Principal Paleontologist as defined in Caltrans' current <i>Standard Environmental Reference</i>, Chapter 8 (Caltrans 2017b) will be subject to review and approval by the Authority.</p> | Design | Contractor will retain paleontological resources specialist | Prior 90 percent design milestone for each CP | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Retain Paleontological Resources Specialist (PRS) | Condition of construction contract |
| GEO-IAMF#12 | Perform Final Design Review and Triggers Evaluation | <p>For each CP within the Project Section, the responsible PRS will evaluate the 90 percent design submittal to identify the portions of the CP that will involve work in paleontologically sensitive geologic units (either at the surface or in the subsurface), based on findings of the final paleontological resources technical report prepared for the Project Section. Evaluation will consider the location, areal extent, and anticipated depth of ground disturbance, the construction techniques that are planned/proposed, and the geology (i.e., location of geologic units with high paleontological resources) of the CP and vicinity. The evaluation and resulting recommendations will be consistent with guidance in the <i>SVP Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources</i> (SVP Standard Procedures) (SVP 2010), the <i>SVP Conditions of Receivership for Paleontologic Salvage Collections</i> (SVP Conditions of Receivership) (SVP 1996), and relevant guidance from Chapter 8 of the current <i>Caltrans Standard Environmental Reference</i> (Caltrans 2017b).</p> <p>The purpose of the Final Design Review and Triggers Evaluation will be to develop specific language detailing the location and duration of paleontological monitoring and other requirements for paleontological resources applicable to each CP within the Project Section. Paleontological protection requirements identified through the Final Design Review and Triggers Evaluation will be recorded in a concise technical memorandum (Final Design Review Requirements for Paleontological Resources Protection), which will then be incorporated in full detail into the PRMMP for each CP. Those portions of the CP requiring paleontological monitoring will also be clearly delineated in the project construction documents for each CP.</p> | Design | Reporting | Each CP | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | CP reporting | Condition of construction contract |

⁵ Because of their length and complexity, most HSR project sections are expected to be designed and constructed in segments, with separate construction documents (plans and specifications) developed for each segment. *Construction package* refers to a portion (segment) of a project section for which a discrete, stand-alone construction document set will be developed.

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-------------|--|--|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| GEO-IAMF#13 | Prepare and Implement Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan | <p>Following the Final Design Review and Triggers Evaluation for each CP, the PRS will develop a CP-specific PRMMP. For greater efficiency, PRMMPs may be written such that they cover more than one CP, as long as the specific requirements of the IAMFs are satisfied explicitly and in detail for each CP included.</p> <p>The PRMMP for each CP will incorporate the findings of the Design Review and Triggers Evaluation for that CP and will be consistent with the SVP Standard Procedures (SVP 2010), the SVP Conditions of Receivership (SVP Conformable Impact Mitigation Guidelines Committee 1996), and relevant guidance from Chapter 8 of the current <i>Caltrans Standard Environmental Reference</i> (Caltrans 2017b). As such, the PRMMP will provide for at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implementation of the PRMMP by qualified personnel, including the following positions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – PRS – The PRS will be required to meet or exceed Principal Paleontologist qualifications per Chapter 8 of the current <i>Caltrans Standard Environmental Reference</i> (Caltrans 2017b). The supervising paleontologist may, but not necessarily, be the PRS who prepares the PRMMP. – PRMs – The PRS will be required to meet or exceed Paleontological Monitor qualifications per Chapter 8 of the current <i>Caltrans Standard Environmental Reference</i> (Caltrans 2017b). ▪ Development of pre-construction and construction-period coordination procedures and communications protocols. ▪ Evaluation as to whether a pre-construction survey by qualified personnel is warranted for the CP. In general, pre-construction surveys are beneficial if there is a strong possibility that significant paleontological resources (e.g., concentrations of vertebrate fossils) are exposed at the ground surface and would be destroyed during the initial clearing and grubbing phase of earthwork. Such a determination can usually be made during preparation of the paleontological resources technical report. ▪ Requirements for paleontological monitoring by qualified PRMs of all ground-disturbance activities known to affect, or potentially affect, highly sensitive geologic units and for ground-disturbance activities affecting other geologic units in any areas where the PRS considers it warranted based on the findings of the paleontological resources technical report or any pre-construction surveys. In all areas of the CP subject to monitoring, monitoring will initially be conducted full-time for all ground-disturbance activities. However, the PRMMP may provide for monitoring frequency in any given location to be reduced once approximately 50 percent of the ground-disturbance activity in completed locations, if the reduction is appropriate based on the implementing PRS's professional judgment in consideration of actual site conditions. ▪ Provisions, if recommended by the PRS for paleontological monitoring of specific construction drilling operations. In general, small diameter (i.e., <18 inches) drilling operations or drilling operations using bucket augers tend to pulverize impacted sediments and any contained fossils and are typically not monitored. The section in the PRMMP addressing monitoring for drilling operations will rely, in part, on the information supplied by the CP design and geotechnical teams, but will also take into consideration of the nature, depth, and location of drilling needed, and the anticipated equipment and staging configurations. ▪ Provisions for the content development and delivery of paleontological resources WEAP training. ▪ Provisions for in-progress documentation of monitoring (and, if applicable, salvage/recovery operations) via "construction dailies" or a similar approved means. ▪ Provisions for a "stop work, evaluate, and treat appropriately" response in the event of a known or potential paleontological discovery, including finds in highly sensitive geologic | Design | Reporting | Each CP | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | CP reporting | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-------------|---|--|------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>units as well as finds, if any, in geologic units identified as less sensitive, or non-sensitive, for paleontological resources.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provisions for sampling and recovery of unearthed fossils consistent with SVP Standard Procedures (SVP 2010) and the SVP Conditions of Receivership (SVP 1996). Recovery procedures will provide for recovery of both macrofossils and microfossils. Provisions for acquiring a repository agreement from an approved regional repository for the curation, care, and storage of recovered materials, consistent with the SVP Conditions of Receivership (SVP 1996). If more than one repository institution is designated, separate repository agreements must be provided. Provisions for preparation of a final monitoring and mitigation report that meets the requirements of the Caltrans Standard Environmental Reference Chapter 8 provisions for the Paleontological Monitoring Report and Paleontological Stewardship Summary (Caltrans 2017b). Provisions for the preparation, identification, and analysis and curation of fossil specimens and data recovered, consistent with the SVP Conditions of Receivership (SVP 1996) and any specific requirements of the designated repository institution(s). | | | | | | | |
| GEO-IAMF#14 | Provide WEAP Training for Paleontological Resources | <p>Prior to groundbreaking for each CP within the Project Section, the contractor will provide paleontological resources WEAP training delivered by the PRS. All management and supervisory personnel and construction workers involved with ground-disturbing activities will be required to take this training before beginning work on the project. Refresher training will also be made available to management and supervisory personnel and workers as needed, based on the judgment of the PRS.</p> <p>At a minimum, paleontological resources WEAP training will include information on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordination between construction staff and paleontological staff Construction and paleontological staff roles and responsibilities in implementing the PRMMP Possibility of encountering fossils during construction Types of fossils that may be seen and how to recognize them Proper procedures in the event fossils are encountered, including the requirement to halt work in the vicinity of the find and procedures for notifying responsible parties in the event of a find <p>Training materials and formats may include, but are not necessarily limited to, in-person training, prerecorded videos, posters, and informational brochures that provide contacts and summarize procedures in the event paleontological resources are encountered. WEAP training contents will be subject to review and approval by the Authority. Paleontological resources WEAP training may be provided concurrently with cultural resources WEAP training.</p> <p>Upon completion of any WEAP training, the contractor will require workers to sign a form stating that they attended the training and understand and will comply with the information presented. Verification of paleontological resources WEAP training will be provided to the Authority by the contractor.</p> | Pre-construction | Training program/ Reporting | Annual (training)/ Monthly (reporting) | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | WEAP training | Condition of construction contract |
| GEO-IAMF#15 | Halt Construction, Evaluate, and Treat if Paleontological Resources Are Found | <p>Consistent with the PRMMP if fossil materials are discovered during construction, regardless of the individual making the discovery, all activity in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will halt and the find will be protected from further disturbance. If the discovery is made by someone other than the PRS or PRM(s), the person who made the discovery will immediately notify construction supervisory personnel, who will in turn notify the PRS. Notification to the PRS will take place promptly (prior to the close of work the same day as the find), and the PRS will evaluate the find and prescribe appropriate treatment as soon as feasible. Work may continue on other portions of the CP while evaluation (and, if</p> | Construction | Reporting | Daily logs during active monitoring | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Weekly reporting (if resource is identified during construction) | PRMMP, WEAP |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | | <p>needed, treatment) takes place, as long as the find can be adequately protected in the judgment of the PRS.</p> <p>If the PRS determines that treatment (i.e., recovery and documentation of unearthed fossils) is warranted, such treatment, and any required reporting, will proceed consistent with the PRMMP. The contractor will be responsible for ensuring prompt and accurate implementation, subject to verification by the Authority.</p> <p>The stop work requirement does not apply to drilling operations since drilling typically cannot be suspended in mid-course. However, if finds are made during drilling, the same notification and other follow-up requirements will apply. The PRS will coordinate with construction supervisory and drilling staff regarding the handling of recovered fossils.</p> <p>The requirements of this IAMF will be detailed in the PRMMP and presented as part of the paleontological resources WEAP training.</p> | | | | | | | |
| Hazardous Materials and Wastes | | | | | | | | | |
| HMW-IAMF#1 | Property Acquisition Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments | During the right-of-way acquisition phase, Phase I environmental site assessments (ESA) will be conducted in accordance with standard ASTM methodologies per ASTM E 1527-13 to characterize each parcel. The determination of parcels that require a Phase II ESA (e.g., soil, groundwater, soil vapor subsurface investigations) will be informed by a Phase I ESA and may require coordination with state and local agency officials per ASTM E 1903-19. If the Phase II ESA concludes that the site is affected, remediation or corrective action (e.g., removal of contamination, in-situ treatment, or soil capping) will be conducted with state and local agency officials (as necessary) and in full compliance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare plan | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare Phase I ESA | Condition of construction contract |
| HMW-IAMF#2 | Landfill | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities), the contractor will verify to the Authority through preparation of a technical memorandum that methane protection measures will be implemented for all work within 1,000 feet of a landfill, including gas detection systems and personnel training. This will be undertaken pursuant to State of California Title 27, Environmental Protection – Division 2, Solid Waste, and the hazardous materials best management practices plan. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Monthly record keeping | Contract requirements and specifications |
| HMW-IAMF#3 | Work Barriers | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities), the contractor will verify to the Authority through preparation of a technical memorandum the use of work barriers. Nominal design variances, such as the addition of a plastic barrier beneath the ballast material to limit the potential release of volatile subsurface contaminants, may be implemented in conjunction with site investigation and remediation. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare technical memorandum | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare work barrier technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |
| HMW-IAMF#4 | Undocumented Contamination | Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a CMP addressing provisions for the disturbance of undocumented contamination. The plan will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. Undocumented contamination could be encountered during construction activities. Upon discovery of undocumented contamination, the contractor will contact the local RWQCB and the DTSC. The contractor will work with the RWQCB and DTSC to provide information on the contamination and to establish requirements for investigating the extent of the contamination and remediate it as necessary. The contractor will notify the Authority of the discovery of any undocumented contamination within 24 hours, and will provide a copy of all documentation pertaining to the investigation, remediation, and disposal of the contamination to the Authority within 30 days of completion of the incident. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare plan/ Reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMP/Reporting as needed | Condition of construction contract |
| HMW-IAMF#5 | Demolition Plans | Prior to construction that involves demolition, the contractor will prepare demolition plans for the safe dismantling and removal of building components and debris. The demolition plans will include a plan for lead and asbestos abatement. The plans will be submitted to the project construction manager on behalf of the Authority for verification that appropriate demolition practices have been followed consistent with federal and state regulation | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare plan/Reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare demolition plans/Reporting as needed | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| | | regarding asbestos and lead paint abatement (e.g., 8 California Code of Regulations §§ 1529, 1532.1; National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants [40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart M, Section 145]; Resource Conservation and Recovery Act [40 C.F.R. Part 261]; and 40 C.F.R. Part 745). | | | | | | | |
| HMW-IAMF#6 | Spill Prevention | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities), the contractor will prepare a CMP addressing spill prevention. An SPCCP (or soil prevention and response plan if the total aboveground oil storage capacity is less than 1,320 gallons in storage containers greater than or equal to 55 gallons) will prescribe BMPs to prevent hazardous material releases and clean-up of any hazardous material releases that may occur. Example BMPs would be: all containers are to remain tightly covered unless removing contents/adding to them; drums and other containers are not to be stacked; all containers with liquids are to have secondary containment; a spill response/containment kit is to be available in the area where the hazardous materials are stored. The plans will be prepared and submitted to the project construction manager on behalf of the Authority and will be implemented during construction. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare plan/Reporting | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMP/Reporting as needed | Condition of construction contract |
| HMW-IAMF#7 | Transport of Materials | During construction, the contractor will comply with applicable state and federal regulations, such as the RCRA (40 C.F.R. Part 263), CERCLA (42 United States Code Chapter 103), the Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law (California Health and Safety Code § 6.95), and the Hazardous Waste Control Act (22 California Code of Regulations § 4.5). Prior to construction the contractor will provide the Authority with a hazardous materials and waste plan describing responsible parties and procedures for hazardous waste and hazardous materials transport. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Regulation compliance/ Reporting | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Weekly record keeping/monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |
| HMW-IAMF#8 | Permit Conditions | During construction the contractor will comply with the SWRCB Construction CWA Section 402 General Permit conditions and requirements for transport, labeling, containment, cover, and other BMPs for storage of hazardous materials during construction. Prior to construction, the contractor will provide the Authority with a hazardous materials and waste plan describing responsible parties and procedures for hazardous waste and hazardous materials transport, containment, and storage BMPs that will be implemented during construction. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Reporting | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Provide a hazardous materials and waste plan | Condition of construction contract |
| HMW-IAMF#9 | Environmental Management System | The Authority is committed to identifying, avoiding, and minimizing hazardous substances in the material selection process for construction, operation, and maintenance of the HSR system. The Authority will use an Environmental Management System to describe the process that will be used to evaluate the full inventory of hazardous materials as defined by federal and state law employed on an annual basis and will replace hazardous substances with nonhazardous materials to the extent that appropriate substituting materials are available. The contractor will implement the material substitution recommendation contained in the annual inventory. | Pre-construction/ Construction | Reporting | Annual | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Annual reporting | Condition of construction contract/ Environmental Management System |
| HMW-IAMF#10 | Hazardous Materials Plans | Prior to O&M activities, the Authority will prepare hazardous materials monitoring plans. These will use as a basis source, such as a hazardous materials business plan as defined in Title 19 California Code of Regulations, and an SPCCP. | Post-construction | Prepare plans | Prior to operations | Authority | Authority | Prepare hazardous materials monitoring plans | Condition of construction contract |
| Safety and Security | | | | | | | | | |
| SS-IAMF#1 | Construction Safety Transportation Management Plan | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activity), the contractor will prepare for submittal to the Authority a construction safety transportation management plan. The plan will describe the contractor's coordination efforts with local jurisdictions for maintaining emergency vehicle access. The plan also will address duration of road and traffic lane closures, length of detour routes, and ongoing coordination during construction with local jurisdictions as well as emergency service providers. The plan will also specify the contractor's procedures for implementing temporary road closures including: access to residences and businesses during construction, lane closures, signage and flag persons, temporary detour provisions, alternative bus and delivery routes, emergency vehicle | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare plan | Monthly | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare construction safety transportation management plan | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | | access, and alternative access locations. The Authority requires the design-build contractor to maintain emergency vehicle access and access for nearby residences and business throughout the duration of construction. The contractor will prepare and submit monthly reports to the Authority documenting construction transportation plan implementation activities for compliance monitoring. | | | | | | | |
| SS-IAMF#2 | Safety and Security Management Plan | <p>The Authority will require the design-build contractor to prepare a safety and security management plan that complies with the below-listed requirements to protect public safety and security. Sixty days after receiving from the Authority a construction notice-to-proceed, the contractor will provide the Authority with a technical memorandum documenting how the following requirements, plan, programs and guidelines were considered in design, construction, and eventual operation to protect the safety and security of construction workers and users of the HSR. The contractor will be responsible for implementing all construction-related safety and security plans and the Authority will be responsible for implementing all safety and security plans related to HSR operation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Workplace worker safety is generally governed by the Occupational Health and Safety Act of 1970. This Act established the OSHA, which establishes standards and oversees compliance with workplace safety and reporting of injuries and illnesses of employed workers. In California, OSHA enforcement of workplace requirements is performed by Cal-OSHA. Under Cal-OSHA regulations, as of July 1, 1991, every employer must establish, implement, and maintain an injury and illness prevention program. ▪ The Authority has adopted the California High-Speed Rail Program Safety and Security Management Plan (Authority 2018 to guide the safety and security activities, processes, and responsibilities during design, construction and implementation phases of the project to protect the safety and security of construction workers and the public. An SSP and a security and emergency preparedness plan will be implemented prior to the start of revenue service to guide the safety and security of the operation of the HSR system. ▪ Prior to construction, the contractor will provide the Authority with a safety and security management plan documenting how they will implement the Authority’s safety and security requirements within their project scope. ▪ Implement site-specific health and safety plans and site-specific security plans to establish minimum safety and security guidelines for contractors of, and visitors to, construction projects. Contractors will be required to develop and implement site-specific measures that address regulatory requirements to protect human health and property at construction sites. ▪ Preparation of a Valley fever action plan that includes: (A) information on causes, preventative measures, symptoms, and treatments for Valley fever to individuals who could potentially be exposed through construction activities (i.e., construction workers, monitors, managers, and support personnel); (B) continued outreach and coordination with California Department of Public Health; (C) coordination with county departments of public health to ensure that the above referenced information concerning Valley fever is readily available to nearby residents, schools, and businesses and to obtain area information about Valley fever outbreaks and hotspots; and (D) provide a qualified person dedicated to overseeing implementation of the Valley fever prevention measures to encourage a culture of safety of the contractors and subcontractors. The VFHS designee will coordinate with the county Public Health Officer and oversee and manage the implementation of Valley fever control measures. The VFHS designee is responsible for coordinating the implementation of measures with the county Public Health Officer. Medical information will be maintained following applicable and appropriate confidentiality protections. The VFHS in coordination with the county Public Health Officer will determine what measures will be added to the requirements for the safety and security management plan regarding preventive measures to avoid Valley fever exposure. Measures will include, but are not limited to the following: (A) train workers | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare plan | 60 days after receiving a construction notice to proceed | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | Prepare technical memorandum documenting compliance with safety requirements, plans, programs, and guidelines | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|-----------|-----------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>and supervisors on how to recognize symptoms of illness and ways to minimize exposure, such as washing hands at the end of shifts; (B) provide washing facilities nearby for washing at the end of shifts; (C) provide vehicles with enclosed, air conditioned cabs and make sure workers keep the windows closed; (D) equip heavy equipment cabs with high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters; and (E) make National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health–approved respiratory protection with particulate filters as recommended by the California Department of Public Health available to workers who request them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ System safety program plans incorporate FRA requirements and are implemented upon FRA approval. FRA’s SSP requirements will be determined in FRA’s new System Safety Regulation (49 C.F.R. Part 270). ▪ Rail systems must comply with FRA requirements for tracks, equipment, railroad operating rules and practices, passenger safety, emergency response, and passenger equipment safety standards found in 49 C.F.R. Parts 200–299. ▪ The HSR Urban Design Guidelines (Authority 2011c) requires implementing the principles of crime prevention through environmental design. The contractor will consider four basic principles of crime prevention through environmental design during station design and site planning: territoriality (design physical elements that express ownership of the station or site); natural surveillance (arrange physical features to maximize visibility); improved sightlines (provide clear views of surrounding areas); and access control (provide physical guidance for people coming and going from a space). The HSR design includes emergency access to the rail right-of-way and elevated HSR structure design includes emergency egress points. ▪ Implement fire/life safety and security programs that promote fire and life safety and security in system design, construction, and implementation. The fire and life safety program will be coordinated with local emergency response organizations to provide them with an understanding of the rail system, facilities, and operations, and to obtain their input for modifications to emergency response operations and facilities, such as evacuation routes. The Authority will establish fire/life safety and security committees throughout the Project Section. ▪ Implement system security plans that address design features intended to maintain security at the stations within the track right-of-way, at stations, and onboard trains. A dedicated police force will ensure that the security needs of the HSR system are met. ▪ The design standards and guidelines require emergency walkways on both sides of the tracks for both elevated and at-grade sections and the provision of appropriate space as defined by fire and safety codes along at-grade sections of the alignment to allow for emergency response access. ▪ Implement standard operating procedures and emergency operating procedures, such as the FRA-mandated Roadway Worker Protection Program to address the day-to-day operation and emergency situations that will maintain the safety of employees, passengers, and the public. | | | | | | | |
| SS-IAMF#3 | Hazard Analyses | <p>The Authority’s hazard management program includes the identification of hazards, assessment of associated risk, and application of control measures (mitigation) to reduce risk to an acceptable level. Prior to project construction the Authority or its contractor will prepare a hazard assessment that includes a PHA and TVA. The Authority’s programmatic PHAs are developed in conformance with the FRA’s <i>Collision Hazard Analysis Guide: Commuter and Intercity Passenger Rail Service</i> (FRA 2007), and the U.S. Department of Defense’s System Safety Program Plan (MIL-STD-882E) to identify and determine the facility hazards and vulnerabilities so that they can be addressed by—and either eliminated or minimized—the design.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ TVAs establish provisions for the deterrence and detection of, as well as the response | Pre-construction/ Construction | Reporting | Monthly | Authority | Authority | Monthly reporting | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | | <p>to, criminal and terrorist acts for rail facilities and system operations. Provisions include right-of-way fencing, intrusion detection, security lighting, security procedures and training, and closed-circuit televisions. Intrusion-detection technology could also alert to the presence of inert objects, such as toppled tall structures or derailed freight trains, and stop HSR operations to avoid collisions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> During design and construction, the contractor will conduct site-specific PHA and TVA assessments to apply the programmatic work to specific project designs. <p>The Authority's safety and security committees will be responsible for implementing the recommendations contained in the hazard analysis during HSR operation.</p> | | | | | | | |
| Socioeconomics and Communities | | | | | | | | | |
| SOCIO-IAMF#1 | Construction Management Plan | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare a CMP providing measures that minimize construction impacts on communities, in particular low-income households and minority populations that are more sensitive to construction-borne disruptions. The plan will be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. The plan will include actions pertaining to communications, visual protection, air quality, safety controls, noise controls, and traffic controls to minimize impacts on surrounding communities, particularly low-income households and minority populations. The plan will verify that property access is maintained for local businesses, residences, and emergency services. This plan will include maintaining customer and vendor access to local businesses throughout construction by using signs to instruct customers about access to businesses during construction. In addition, the plan will include efforts to consult with local transit providers to minimize impacts on local and regional bus routes in affected communities.</p> | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan | At incorporation or completion of design/monthly reporting (during construction) | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare CMP | Condition of construction contract |
| SOCIO-IAMF#2 | Compliance with Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act | <p>The Authority must comply with the Uniform Act. The provisions of the Uniform Act, a federally mandated program, will apply to all acquisitions of real property or displacements of persons resulting from this federally assisted project. It was created to provide for fair and equitable treatment of all affected persons. Additionally, the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution provides that private property may not be taken for a public use without payment of "just compensation."</p> <p>The Uniform Act requires that the owning agency provide notification to all affected property owners of the agency's intent to acquire an interest in their property. This notification includes a written offer letter of just compensation. A right-of-way specialist is assigned to each property owner to assist him or her through the acquisition process. The Uniform Act also provides benefits to displaced individuals to assist them financially and with advisory services related to relocating their residence or business operation. Benefits are available to both owner occupants and tenants of either residential or business properties.</p> <p>The Uniform Act requires provision of relocation benefits to all eligible persons regardless of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. Benefits to which eligible owners or tenants may be entitled are determined on an individual basis and explained in detail by an assigned right-of-way specialist.</p> <p>The California Relocation Assistance Act essentially mirrors the Uniform Act and provides for consistent and fair treatment of property owners. However, because the project will receive federal funding, the Uniform Act takes precedence. Owners of private property have federal and state constitutional guarantees that their property will not be acquired or damaged for public use unless owners first receive just compensation. Just compensation is measured by the "fair market value," where the property value is considered to be the highest price that would be negotiated on the date of valuation. The value must be agreed upon by a seller who is willing, not obliged to sell but under no particular or urgent necessity, and by a buyer who is ready, willing, and able to buy but under no particular necessity. Both the owner and the buyer must deal with the other with the full knowledge of all the uses and purposes for which the property is reasonably adaptable and available</p> | Design/ Construction/ Operation | Reporting and meeting with interested parties | Monthly | Authority | Authority | Comply with Uniform Act/Monthly reporting and record keeping | Compliance with acts, creation of ombudsman office and reporting |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|--|--|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>(Code of Civil Procedure § 1263.320a).</p> <p>More detailed information about how the Authority plans to comply with the Uniform Act and the California Relocation Assistance Act is provided in the following three detailed relocation assistance documents modeled after Caltrans versions, all of which are provided in Appendix 3.12-A, Relocation Assistance Documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Your Rights and Benefits as a Displacee under the Uniform Relocation Assistance Program (Residential) Your Rights and Benefits as a Displacee under the Uniform Relocation Assistance Program (Mobile Home) Your Rights and Benefits as a Displacee under the Uniform Relocation Assistance Program (Business, Farm, or Nonprofit Organization) | | | | | | | |
| SOCIO-IAMF#3 | Relocation Implementation Plan | <p>Before any acquisitions occur, the Authority will develop a relocation implementation plan, in consultation with affected cities and counties and property owners. In addition to establishing a program to minimize the economic disruption related to relocation, the relocation implementation plan will be written in a style that also enables it to be used as a public information document.</p> <p>The relocation implementation plan will be designed to meet the following objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide affected property and business owners and tenants a high level of individualized assistance in situations when acquisition is necessary and the property owner desires to relocate the existing land use. Coordinate relocation activities with other agencies acquiring property resulting in displacements in the study area to provide for all displaced persons and businesses to receive fair and consistent relocation benefits. Make a best effort to minimize the permanent closure of businesses and nonprofit agencies as a result of property acquisition. Within the limits established by law and regulation, minimize the economic disruption caused to property owners by relocation. In individual situations, where warranted, consider the cost of obtaining the entitlement permits necessary to relocate to a suitable location and take those costs into account when establishing the fair market value of the property. Provide those business owners who require complex permitting with regulatory compliance assistance. <p>The relocation implementation plan will include the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A description of the appraisal, acquisition, and relocation process as well as a description of the activities of the appraisal and relocation specialists. A means of assigning appraisal and relocation staff to affected property owners, tenants, or other residents on an individual basis. Individualized assistance to affected property owners, tenants, or other residents in applying for funding, including research to summarize loans, grants, and federal aid available, and research areas for relocation. Creation of an ombudsman's position to act as a single point of contact for property owners, residents, and tenants with questions about the relocation process. The ombudsman will also act to address concerns about the relocation process as it applies to the individual situations of property owners, tenants, and other residents. | Design/ Construction | Prepare plan | Prior to acquisitions | Authority | Authority | Develop relocation mitigation plan | Condition of construction contract |
| Station Planning, Land Use, and Development | | | | | | | | | |
| LU-IAMF#1 | HSR Station Area Development: General Principles | <p>Prior to O&M, the Authority will prepare a memorandum for each station describing how the Authority's station area development principles and guidelines are applied to achieve the anticipated benefits of station area development. Refer to <i>HST Station Area</i></p> | Post-construction | Reporting | For each station | Authority | Authority | Authority would prepare a technical memorandum for | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|--|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | and Guidelines | <i>Development: General Principles and Guidelines</i> (Authority 2011b). | | | | | | each station | |
| LU-IAMF#2 | Station Area Planning and Local Agency Coordination | Prior to O&M, the Authority will prepare a memorandum for each station describing the local agency coordination and station area planning conducted to prepare the station area for HSR operations. Refer to <i>HST Station Area Development: General Principles and Guidelines</i> (Authority 2011b). | Post-construction | Reporting | For each station | Authority | Authority | Authority would prepare a technical memorandum for each station | Condition of construction contract |
| LU-IAMF#3 | Restoration of Land Used Temporarily during Construction | Prior to any ground-disturbing activities at the site of land to be used temporarily during construction, the contractor will prepare a restoration plan addressing specific actions, sequence of implementation, and parties responsible for implementation and successful achievement of restoration for temporary impacts. Before beginning construction use of land, the contractor will submit the restoration plan to the Authority for review and obtain Authority approval. The restoration plan will include time-stamped photo documentation of the pre-construction conditions of all temporary staging areas. All construction access, mobilization, material laydown, and staging areas will be returned to a condition equal to the pre-construction staging condition. This requirement is included in the design-build construction contract requirements. | Pre-construction | Prepare restoration plan | Prior to construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Contractor would prepare a restoration plan | Condition of construction contract |
| Parks, Recreation, and Open Space | | | | | | | | | |
| PK-IAMF#1 | Parks, Recreation, and Open Space | <p>Prior to construction, the contractor will prepare and submit to the Authority a technical memorandum that identifies project design features to minimize construction impacts on parks, recreation, and open space. Typical design measures to avoid or minimize impacts on parks and recreation may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide safe and attractive access for present travel modes (e.g., motorists, bicyclists, pedestrians—as applicable) to existing park and recreation facilities. Design guideway, system, and station features in such a way as to enhance the surrounding local communities. Provide easy crossings of the guideway that allow for community use under the guideway or at station areas. | Pre-construction | Reporting | At incorporation or completion of design/monthly reporting during construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare technical memorandum that documents project design features that minimize impacts on parks, recreation, and open space | Condition of construction contract |
| Aesthetics and Visual Quality | | | | | | | | | |
| AVQ-IAMF#1 | Aesthetic Options | For the numerous HSR non-station structures across the state, the Authority seeks to balance providing a consistent, project-wide aesthetic with the local aesthetic context. Accordingly, the Authority has created a guidance document, <i>Draft Design Opportunities for Local Jurisdictions and Aesthetic Requirements</i> (Authority 2017), to provide local jurisdictions with examples of aesthetic options that can be applied to non-station elements in the HSR system, such as integrated patterns and textures in the concrete on elevated guideway columns, parapets or retaining walls and the types of materials for sound walls. In addition, the Authority has prepared an <i>Aesthetics Manual for Non-Station Structures</i> (Authority 2014a) that establishes principles to guide designers, responding to requests for proposals for design-build services toward an appropriate level of aesthetic quality in their design. Prior to the selection of a design-build contractor, the <i>Draft Design Opportunities for Local Jurisdictions and Aesthetic Requirements</i> guidance document will be provided to local jurisdictions to inform their understanding of aesthetic options to be selected. The <i>Aesthetics Manual for Non-Station Structures</i> will be provided to proposing design-build contractors. | Pre-construction | Reporting | At incorporation or completion of design/monthly reporting during construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare aesthetics technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |
| AVQ-IAMF#2 | Aesthetic Review Process | <p>Prior to selecting the design-build contractor, in accordance with the aesthetic review process identified for non-station structures in the Authority's <i>Draft Design Opportunities for Local Jurisdictions and Aesthetic Requirements</i> guidance document, the Authority will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare documentation that identifies elements along the HSR alignment that are recommended for aesthetic treatment and HSR system and local infrastructure elements for which design-build proposals will be expected to demonstrate aesthetic design expertise. Consult with local jurisdictions on how best to involve the community in the process to | Pre-construction | Reporting | At incorporation or completion of design/monthly reporting during construction | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare aesthetics review process technical memorandum | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|---------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | <p>identify their aesthetic preferences. The Authority will present the project elements to local jurisdictions for discussion. Local jurisdictions will provide the Authority with their initial written input on local aesthetic treatment preferences.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate the identified aesthetic preferences for potential cost, schedule, and operational impacts and compatibility with project-wide aesthetic goals. Coordinate with the local jurisdiction on the aesthetic approach that will be documented in a Design Options and Aesthetics Cooperative Agreement, as shown in Appendix A of the Draft Design Opportunities for Local Jurisdictions and Aesthetic Requirements. Incorporate the agreed-upon aesthetic approaches in the construction procurement documents. Work with the selected contractor and local jurisdictions to implement the local jurisdictions' aesthetic preferences as documented in the Design Options and Aesthetics Cooperative Agreements. | | | | | | | |
| Cultural Resources | | | | | | | | | |
| CUL-IAMF#1 | Geospatial Data Layer and Archaeological Sensitivity Map | <p>Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities) and staging of materials and equipment, the contractor's archaeologist will prepare a geospatial data layer identifying the locations of all known archaeological resources and historic built resources that require avoidance or protection, and areas of archaeological sensitivity that require monitoring within the APE. The contractor's archaeologist, who meets the SOI's Professional Qualification Standards provided in 36 C.F.R. Part 61, will use, as appropriate, a combination of the following: known locations of archaeological sites and historic built resources, tribal consultation, landforms, depositional processes, distance to water, mapping provided in the ATP, or historic mapping. This mapping is to be updated as the design progresses if it results in an expansion of the APE, including temporary construction easements and new laydown and access areas. This mapping will be used to develop an archaeological monitoring plan to be prepared by the contractor's archaeologist, and upon approval by the Authority, implemented by the contractor's archaeologist. When design is sufficiently advanced, a geospatial data layer will be produced by the contractor overlaying the locations of all known archaeological resources and historic built resources within the APE, for which avoidance or protection measures are necessary, and all archaeologically sensitive areas, for which monitoring is required.</p> | Design/Pre-construction | Prepare plan | At incorporation or completion of design | Contractor's archaeologist or geoarchaeologist | Authority | Prepare geospatial data layer | Condition of construction contract |
| CUL-IAMF#2 | WEAP Training Session | <p>Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activity), construction contractor personnel who work on-site will attend a WEAP training session provided by the contractor. The WEAP will include cultural resources awareness training performed by the contractor's archaeologist or architectural historian who meets the SOI's Professional Qualification Standards provided in 36 C.F.R. Part 61. The contractor will develop instructional materials and a fact sheet for distribution to the construction crews, and submit the materials, as well as qualifications of the personnel providing the training, to the Authority for approval at least 15 days prior to being permitted on-site access. The training will address measures required to avoid or protect historic built resources, educate crews on artifacts and archaeological features they may encounter and the mandatory procedures to follow should potential cultural resources be exposed during construction. Translation services will be provided by the contractor for non-English-speaking participants. The training sessions will be given prior to the initiation of any ground-disturbing activities and repeated on an annual basis. Additionally, new construction crewmembers will attend an initial WEAP training session prior to working on-site.</p> <p>On completion of the WEAP training, construction crews will sign a form stating that they attended the training, understood the information presented, and will comply with the WEAP requirements. The contractor's archaeologist or architectural historian will submit the signed WEAP training forms to the mitigation manager on a monthly basis. On an annual basis, the contractor will provide the Authority with a letter indicating that regular</p> | Pre-construction | Training program/ Reporting | Annual (training)/ Monthly (reporting) | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | WEAP training | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | | WEAP training has been implemented and will provide at least one PowerPoint annually of the WEAP training. On a monthly basis, the contractor's archaeologist or architectural historian will provide updates and synopsis of the training to workers during the daily safety ("tailgate") meeting. Construction crews will be informed during the WEAP training that, to the extent possible, travel within the marked project site will be restricted to established roadbeds. | | | | | | | |
| CUL-IAMF#3 | Pre-Construction Cultural Resource Surveys | Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities in areas not yet surveyed) and the staging of materials and equipment, the contractor will conduct pre-construction cultural resource surveys. Resulting from lack of legal access, much of the construction footprint may not have been surveyed. Once parcels are accessible, the contractor will have archaeologists or architectural historians, as appropriate, who meet the SOI's Professional Qualification Standards survey and complete appropriate reports for archaeological or historic built resources, in accordance with the documentation requirements stipulated in the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement. Identified resources will be evaluated for listing in the NRHP and CRHR. The qualified archaeologist or architectural historian, as appropriate, will assess the project's potential to affect historic properties (NRHP) by applying the effects criteria in 36 C.F.R. Section 800.5(a)(1). The project's potential to cause significant impacts on historical resources (CRHR) will be analyzed by applying the criteria in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(b). Should the Authority, in consultation with the SHPO, determine that any newly identified historic properties or historical resources will be adversely affected, the BETP or ATP will be amended to document the mitigation measures agreed upon by the MOA signatories. The schedule of these surveys will be dependent on the timing of obtaining legal access to the properties and may be driven by the need to complete construction-related activities (e.g., geotechnical borings, laydown yards). Prior to beginning surveys, updated records searches may be required by the Authority to validate that accurate information was obtained regarding previous inventory and evaluation efforts. The contractor's archaeologist or architectural historian, in consultation with the Authority, will determine if an updated records search is required. If an updated records search is necessary, the search will be performed by the contractor's archaeologist or architectural historian. | Pre-construction | Conduct pre-construction surveys; Identify historic and/or cultural resources | Surveys conducted prior to ground disturbance | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Cultural resource surveys conducted prior to ground disturbance | Condition of construction contract |
| CUL-IAMF#4 | Relocation of Project Features when Possible | Changing the rail alignment to avoid newly discovered sites is likely infeasible; however, access areas and laydown sites may be relocated should their proposed location be found to be on archaeological sites or have the potential to affect historic built resources in the vicinity. The contractor will delineate all of the applicable avoidance and protection measures as identified in the final treatment plans for identified archaeological and historic built resources on construction drawings prior to the start of construction. Additionally, as the design progresses, the contractor will site project features such as communication towers or other rail infrastructure to avoid and protect identified archaeological and built historic properties and historical resources. The Authority will establish regular coordination meetings with the contractor's qualified staff of archaeologists and architectural historians to ensure that the identified resources are avoided and the project designs have taken these resources into account. | Construction | Relocation of access areas and laydown sites | As needed | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Relocation access areas and laydown sites as needed to avoid archaeological or historic built resources | Condition of construction contract |
| CUL-IAMF#5 | Archaeological Monitoring Plan and Implementation | Prior to construction the contractor's professionally qualified archaeologist, as defined in the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement, will prepare a monitoring plan based on the results of geospatial data layer and archaeological sensitivity map and in accordance with the ATP to ensure that all protection measures and protocols for data recovery are followed. The plan is to be reviewed and approved by the Authority prior to any ground-disturbing activities and will adhere to the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation</i> . During construction (any ground-disturbing activities) or staging of materials or equipment, the contractor will be responsible for implementing the monitoring plan and providing archaeological and tribal monitoring of ground-disturbing construction activities with a potential to affect archaeological remains in | Pre-construction/ Construction | Prepare and implement monitoring plan | Prior to construction (prepare plan)/ During construction (implement plan) | Authority/ Contractor | Contractor | Prepare archaeological monitoring plan | Condition of construction contract |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------------|---|---|------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | | areas identified as archaeologically sensitive in the ATP. The contractor will obtain Authority approval of all persons providing archaeological or tribal monitoring. | | | | | | | |
| CUL-IAMF#6 | Pre-Construction Conditions Assessment, Plan for Protection of Historic Built Resources, and Repair of Inadvertent Damage | <p>Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities that are within 1,000 feet of a historic built resource), the contractor may be required to assess the condition of historic built resources adjacent to construction and prepare a Plan for the Protection of Historic Built Resources and Repair of Inadvertent Damage. The MOA and BETP will stipulate properties for which the plan is to be prepared. MOA signatories and consulting parties may comment on the adequacy of the assessments. Protection measures will be developed in consultation with the landowner or land-owning agencies as well as the SHPO and the MOA signatories and consulting parties, as required by the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement. As the design progresses, additional properties may be identified by the Authority as requiring this plan. The plan will record existing conditions to (1) establish a baseline against which to compare the property's post-project condition, (2) identify structural deficiencies that make the property vulnerable to project construction related damage, such as vibration, and (3) identify stabilization or other measures required to avoid or minimize inadvertent adverse effects. The plan will be further described in the BETP and be prepared by an interdisciplinary team, including (but not limited to) as appropriate, an architectural historian, architect, photographer, structural engineer, and acoustical engineer. Ambient conditions will be used to identify buildings that are sensitive receptors to construction-related vibration and require vibration monitoring during construction activities. Additional protective measures may be required if the property is vacant during construction.</p> <p>The plan content will be outlined in the BETP and is to be completed and approved by the Authority, with protective measures implemented before construction begins within 1,000 feet of the subject property. The plan will describe the protocols for documenting inadvertent damage (should it occur), as well as notification, coordination, and reporting to the SHPO, MOA signatories, and the owner of the historic built resource. The plan will direct that inadvertent damage to historic built resources will be repaired in accordance with the SOI's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (U.S. Department of the Interior 1995). The plan will be developed in coordination with the Authority, and it will be submitted to the SHPO for review and approval. Protective plans will be required for buildings that will be moved as part of the project mitigation, including stabilization before, during, and after relocation; protection during temporary storage; and relocation to a new site, followed by rehabilitation.</p> | Pre-construction | Conduct assessment and protection plan | Required if within 1,000 feet of historic built property | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | Assess the condition of construction-adjacent historic properties and prepare a Plan for the Protection of Historic Built Resources and Repair of Inadvertent Damage | MOA/PA/BETP |
| CUL-IAMF#7 | Built Environment Monitoring Plan | <p>Prior to construction (any ground-disturbing activities within 1,000 feet of a historic built resource), the contractor will prepare a BEMP. The BEMP will be prepared describing the properties that will require monitoring, the type of activities or resources that will require full-time monitoring or spot checks, the required number of monitors for each construction activity, and the parameters that will influence the level of effort for monitoring. Maximum vibration level thresholds may be established in the Plan for Protection of Historic Resources and Repair of Inadvertent Damage. Monitoring maximum vibration thresholds will be included in the BEMP. The BETP will outline the process for corrective action should the protection measures prove ineffective. Consultation procedures will also be defined in the BETP. The contractor will develop both the draft and final plans in coordination with the Authority and will submit the BETP to the SHPO for review and approval. The plan will be implemented prior to any ground-disturbing activities within 1,000 feet of properties identified as requiring monitoring, as specified in the BETP.</p> | Pre-construction | Prepare monitoring plan | Required if within 1,000 feet of historic built property | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor/ Authority | Prepare a BEMP | BETP |
| CUL-IAMF#8 | Implement Protection and/or Stabilization Measures | <p>The contractor will implement the measures described in the Plan for Protection of Historic Resources and Repair of Inadvertent Damage and in the BETP. Such protection measures will include, but will not be limited to, vibration monitoring of construction in the vicinity of historic built resources; cordoning off of resources from construction activities (e.g., traffic, equipment storage, personnel); shielding of resources from dust or debris; and stabilization</p> | Pre-construction | Implement protection and/or stabilization measures | Per BETP | Contractor/ Authority | Contractor | Implement historic built resource protection measures per | BETP |

| IAMF | Title | IAMF Text | Phase | Implementation Action | Reporting Schedule | Implementation Party | Reporting Party | Implementation Text | Implementation Mechanism |
|------|-------|--|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | <p>of buildings and structures adjacent to construction. The monitoring measures described in the BEMP will ensure that protection measures are in place before construction begins. Additionally, monitoring during construction will verify that the protection measures are effective. For resources requiring vibration monitoring, the monitor will be responsible for setting up on-site vibration monitoring devices at the approximate location of the construction site; monitoring vibration levels, issuing a temporary work stoppage if maximum vibration level thresholds are reached; implementing the procedures outlined in a vibration monitoring and control plan if construction activities result in vibration exceedances or an unanticipated impact occurs; reporting to the Authority any concerns or issues related to the historic built resources within the APE that may require further investigation; and documenting monitoring activities in a daily log and summarizing these activities in a monthly report. The contractor will submit the monitoring logs and monthly reports to the Authority as they are completed.</p> <p>Temporary stabilization and protection measures will be removed after construction is complete, and the historic built resources will be restored to their pre-construction condition. For buildings that will be moved, treatment will include stabilization before, during, and after relocation; protection during temporary storage; and relocation to a new site, followed by rehabilitation.</p> | | | | | | BETP | |

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|--|------|--|
| AASHTO | American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials | FRA | Federal Railroad Administration | VOC | volatile organic compound |
| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act | FTA | Federal Transit Administration | WEAP | Worker Environmental Awareness Program |
| ASTM | American Society for Testing and Materials | HEPA | high efficiency particulate air | WCP | weed control plan |
| APE | area of potential effects | HSR | high-speed rail | | |
| APLIC | Avian Power Line Interaction Committee | IAMF | impact avoidance and minimization feature | | |
| APTA | American Public Transportation Association | IBC | International Building Code | | |
| AREMA | American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association | IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers | | |
| ASCE | American Society of Civil Engineers | ISEP | Implementation Stage Electromagnetic Compatibility Program Plan | | |
| ATP | archaeological treatment plan | MBTA | Migratory Bird Treaty Act | | |
| Authority | California High-Speed Rail Authority | MOA | Memorandum of Agreement | | |
| BCDC | San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission | mph | miles per hour | | |
| BEMP | built environment monitoring plan | NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service | | |
| BETP | built environment treatment plan | NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System | | |
| BGEPA | Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act | NRHP | National Register of Historic Places | | |
| BMP | best management practice | O&M | operations and maintenance | | |
| BRMP | biological resources management plan | OSHA | Occupational Safety & Health Administration | | |
| C.F.R. | Code of Federal Regulations | PDDM | Project Development and Design Manual | | |
| Cal-OSHA | California Division of Occupational Safety and Health | PHA | preliminary hazard analysis | | |
| Caltrans | California Department of Transportation | PM _{2.5} | particulate matter smaller than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter | | |
| CARB | California Air Resources Board | Porter-Cologne Act | Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act | | |
| CDFW | California Department of Fish and Wildlife | PRM | paleontological resource monitor | | |
| CDPH | California Department of Public Health | PRMMP | paleontological resources monitoring and mitigation plan | | |
| CDSM | cement deep-soil-mixing | PRS | paleontological resources specialist | | |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act | QSP | Qualified SWPPP Practitioner | | |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act | RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act | | |
| CESA | California Endangered Species Act | RRP | restoration and revegetation plan | | |
| CMP | compensatory mitigation plan | RWQCB | Regional Water Quality Control Board | | |
| CMP | construction management plan | SFBAAB | San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin | | |
| CP | construction package | SHPO | State Historic Preservation Officer | | |
| CPUC | California Public Utilities Commission | SOI | Secretary of the Interior | | |
| CRHR | California Register of Historical Resources | SPCCP | spill prevention, control, and countermeasure plan | | |
| CTP | construction transportation plan | SSP | systems safety program | | |
| CWA | Clean Water Act | SWPPP | stormwater pollution prevention plan | | |
| DTSC | California Department of Toxic Substances Control | SVP | Society of Vertebrate Paleontology | | |
| EMC | electromagnetic compatibility | SWRCB | State Water Resources Control Board | | |
| EMF | electromagnetic field | TVA | threat and vulnerability assessment | | |
| EMI | electromagnetic interference | Uniform Act | Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, as amended | | |
| EMMA | Environmental Mitigation Management and Assessment | USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers | | |
| ESA | environmental site assessment | USEPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | | |
| ESA | environmentally sensitive areas | USFWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | | |
| FESA | federal Endangered Species Act | VFHS | Valley Fever Health and Safety | | |
| FHWA | Federal Highway Administration | VMT | vehicle miles traveled | | |

4 REFERENCES

Table 1 References

- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2012. *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*. March 7, 2012. <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843> (accessed February 15, 2018).
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2015. *California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) Staff Guidance Regarding Avoidance of Impacts to Tricolored Blackbird Breeding Colonies on Agricultural Fields in 2015*. March 19, 2015.
- . 2018. *Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities*, March 20, 2018.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2017. “Chapter C2: Vegetation Control.” In *Maintenance Manual*. Last updated August 18, 2017. <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/maintenance/documents/17-chpt-c2-july-2014-rev-1-02-a11y.pdf> (accessed September 30, 2019).
- California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority). 2017. *Aesthetic Options for Non-Station Structures*.
- . 2022. *San Francisco to San Jose Project Section Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement*. Sacramento, CA. <https://hsr.ca.gov/programs/environmental-planning/project-section-environmental-documents-tier-2/san-francisco-to-san-jose-project-section-draft-environmental-impact-report-environmental-impact-statement/>
- County of Santa Clara, City of San Jose, City of Morgan Hill, City of Gilroy, Santa Clara Valley Water District, and Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (County of Santa Clara et al.). 2012. *Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan*. Final. Prepared by ICF International, August 2012. <https://scv-habitatagency.org/178/Santa-Clara-Valley-Habitat-Plan> (accessed December 11, 2019).
- Federal Railroad Administration (FRA). 2012. *High-Speed Ground Transportation Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*, DOT/FRA/ORD-12/15. U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Railroad Policy and Development. September 2012.
- Life Impacto Cero. 2015. *Development and Demonstration of an Anti-Bird Strike Tubular Screen for High Speed Rail Lines*. (LIFE12 BIO/ES/000660).
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2000. *Guidelines for Electrofishing Waters Containing Salmonids Listed under the Endangered Species Act*. June 2000. www.fwspubs.org/doi/suppl/10.3996/112016-JFWM-083/suppl_file/fwma-08-01-30_reference+s02.pdf (accessed February 6, 2019).
- San Francisco International Airport (SFO). 2014. *San Francisco Garter Snake Monitoring Report, West-of-Bayshore Property, San Francisco International Airport: 2013 Mark-Recapture Survey Results*. Prepared by Swaim Biological, Inc. September 2014. San Francisco, CA.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2000. *Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed and Candidate Plants*. January 2000. www.fws.gov/ventura/docs/species/protocols/botanicalinventories.pdf (accessed February 3, 2019).

Table 2 References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2015a. *Load and Resistance Factor Bridge Design Specifications*.
- . 2015b. *Guide Specifications for Load and Resistance Factor Seismic Bridge Design*.

- Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2006. *Suggested Practices for Avian Protection On Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006*. PIER Final Project Report CEC-500-2006-022. Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission. Washington, DC and Sacramento, CA.
- . 2012. *Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2012*. Edison Electric Institute and APLIC. Washington, DC.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2003. *Construction Site Best Management Practice (BMP) Field Manual and Troubleshooting Guide*. CTSW-RT-02-007. January 2003.
- . 2014. *Maintenance Manual—Chapter C2: Vegetation Control*. July 2014. <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/maintenance/documents/17-chpt-c2-july-2014-rev-1-02-a11y.pdf> (accessed December 15, 2019).
- . 2017a. *Caltrans Construction Site Best Management Practices (BMP) Manual*. CTSW-RT-17-314.18.1. May 2017.
- . 2017b. “Chapter 8: Paleontology.” In *Standard Environmental Reference, Environmental Handbook*. Last updated November 15, 2017.
- . 2017c. *California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*. Revision 2. Revised April 7, 2017. www.dot.ca.gov/trafficops/camutcd/docs/2014r2/CAMUTCD2014_rev2.pdf (accessed October 6, 2017).
- . 2017d. *Caltrans Stormwater Quality Handbook: Project Planning and Design Guide*. Report No. CTSW-RT-17-314.24.1. July 2017. Sacramento, CA.
- . 2021a. *Foundation Reports for Bridges*. Division of Engineering Services, Geotechnical Services. January 2021.
- . 2021b. *Caltrans Geotechnical Manual: Mechanically Stabilized Embankments (Non-Standard)*. January 2021.
- . 2021c. *Caltrans Geotechnical Manual: Non-Gravity Cantilever Earth Retaining Systems (ERS)*. January 2021.
- . 2021d. *Caltrans Geotechnical Manual: Ground Anchor Earth Retaining Systems (ERS)*. January 2021.
- California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority). 2011a. *Technical Memorandum: Hydraulics and Hydrology Design Guidelines*. Revision 1. TM 2.6.5. Prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff. May 23, 2011.
- . 2011b. *HST Station Area Development: General Principles and Guidelines*. Draft. February 3, 2011. Sacramento, CA. www.hsr.ca.gov/docs/programs/station_communities/HST_Station_Area_Development_General_Principles_and_Guidelines.pdf (accessed May 25, 2019).
- . 2011c. *Urban Design Guidelines: California High-Speed Train Project*. Prepared by PB’s PlaceMaking Group. March 2011. www.hsr.ca.gov/docs/programs/green_practices/sustainability/Urban%20Design%20Guidelines.pdf (accessed October 5, 2017).
- . 2014a. *Aesthetics Manual for Non-Station Structures*.
- . 2014b. *Technical Memorandum: CHSTP Implementation Stage EMC Program Plan (ISEP)*. Revision 0. TM 300.10. Prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff. January 26, 2014.
- . 2017. *Draft Design Opportunities for Local Jurisdictions and Aesthetic Requirements*.
- . 2018. *California High-Speed Rail Program Safety and Security Management Plan*. Revision 3.
- . 2019. *California High-Speed Rail Authority Design Criteria Manual*, Revision 4.4.2. December 19, 2019.

- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). 2007. Geotechnical Technical Guidance Manual. Draft. May 2007. <https://highways.dot.gov/federal-lands/pddm/geotechnical-technical-guidance-manual> (accessed August 9, 2021).
- . 2017. *Federal Lands Highway, Project Development and Design Manual*. November 16, 2017. <https://highways.dot.gov/federal-lands/pddm> (accessed August 9, 2021).
- Federal Railroad Administration (FRA). 2007. Collision Hazard Analysis Guide: Commuter and Intercity Rail Service. October 2007. Washington, DC. www.hsdl.org/?view&did=15767 (accessed September 2018).
- Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP). 1996. Conditions of Receivership for Paleontologic Salvage Collections. *Society of Vertebrate Paleontology News Bulletin* 166:31–32.
- . 2010. *Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources*. Prepared by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Impact Mitigation Guidelines Revision Committee.
- U.S. Department of the Interior. 1995. *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties*.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2018. Habitat Conservation Plan Regional Plan Summary Report, Region 8 (Nevada and California). <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp0/conservationPlan/region/summary?region=8&type=HCP> (accessed October 22, 2018).