

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Volume I: EIR/EIS

### PREFACE

What is this Document? .....	i
How Do I Use This Document?.....	ii
What Has Changed?.....	iv
Summary of Changes .....	v
What Happens Next? .....	v
Burbank to Los Angeles Milestone Schedule .....	vi
S SUMMARY.....	S-1
S.1 Introduction and Background .....	S-1
S.2 Summary of Changes Between Draft and Final EIR/ EIS.....	S-4
S.2.1 Engineering and Design Refinements .....	S-4
S.2.2 Summary of Environmental Analysis Changes .....	S-5
S.2.3 Evaluation of Need for CEQA Recirculation or NEPA Supplementation .....	S-7
S.3 Tiered Environmental Review—Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and Burbank to Los Angeles Section Project Section EIR/EIS.....	S-8
S.4 Issues Raised during the Scoping Process .....	S-9
S.5 Purpose of and Need for the Statewide High-Speed Rail System and the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	S-12
S.5.1 Purpose of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System .....	S-12
S.5.2 Purpose of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	S-12
S.5.3 Objectives for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and within the Burbank to Los Angeles Region .....	S-12
S.5.4 Need for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and within the Burbank to Los Angeles Region .....	S-13
S.6 Alternatives.....	S-14
S.6.1 No Project Alternative .....	S-15
S.6.2 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Build Alternative .....	S-16
S.6.3 Station Area Development.....	S-18
S.7 Design Considerations to Avoid and Minimize Impacts .....	S-23
S.8 No Project Alternative Impacts .....	S-23
S.9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Evaluation .....	S-24
S.9.1 High-Speed Rail Project Benefits .....	S-24
S.9.2 Adverse Effects of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	S-25
S.9.3 Capital and Operational Costs.....	S-62
S.9.4 Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Impacts .....	S-63
S.9.5 Environmental Justice Effects.....	S-64
S.10 Areas of Controversy .....	S-65
S.11 Identification of Preferred Alternative .....	S-66
S.12 Draft EIR/EIS Circulation and Review.....	S-66
S.12.1 Public and Agency Comment Summary .....	S-67
S.13 Next Steps in the Environmental Process.....	S-67
S.13.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority Decision-Making .....	S-68
S.13.2 Federal Railroad Administration Decision-Making.....	S-68
S.13.3 Surface Transportation Board Decision-Making .....	S-68
S.14 Project Implementation .....	S-69
1 PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES .....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1 The High-Speed Rail System .....	1-1

1.1.2	The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System .....	1-3
1.1.3	Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System .....	1-4
1.1.4	Burbank to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Project Section .....	1-8
1.1.5	Lead Agencies, NEPA Cooperating Agencies, CEQA Responsible Agencies .....	1-8
1.1.6	Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy.....	1-10
1.2	Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section .....	1-11
1.2.1	Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System .....	1-11
1.2.2	Purpose of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	1-11
1.2.3	CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section .....	1-11
1.2.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section .....	1-12
1.3	Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies and Programs .....	1-31
1.3.1	California Transportation Plan 2040 .....	1-32
1.3.2	Measure R (Los Angeles County).....	1-32
1.3.3	Measure M (Los Angeles County) .....	1-33
1.3.4	2016–2040 Southern California Association of Governments Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy.....	1-33
1.3.5	Los Angeles Union Station Master Plan .....	1-34
1.3.6	Metro Antelope Valley Line Capacity and Service Improvements Program .....	1-34
1.3.7	Metrolink 5-Year Short Range Transit Plan .....	1-35
1.3.8	Metrolink 10-Year Strategic Plan .....	1-35
1.3.9	Metrolink SCORE Program.....	1-35
1.3.10	Los Angeles-Glendale-Burbank Corridor Feasibility Study .....	1-36
1.4	Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Project Vicinity .....	1-36
1.4.1	Regional Intermodal Transportation Center at Hollywood Burbank Airport.....	1-36
1.4.2	Elevate Hollywood Burbank Airport .....	1-37
1.4.3	linkBurbank .....	1-37
1.4.4	Metrolink Brighton to McGinley Double Track Project .....	1-38
1.4.5	Buena Vista Street and Empire Avenue Grade Separation.....	1-38
1.4.6	Interstate 5 HOV Project.....	1-38
1.4.7	SR 2 Freeway Terminus Improvement .....	1-38
1.4.8	Link Union Station .....	1-39
1.4.9	Metro Regional Connector .....	1-39
1.4.10	Metro Westside Purple Line Extension.....	1-39
1.4.11	Metro East San Fernando Valley North/South Corridor .....	1-39
1.4.12	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension.....	1-40
1.4.13	Metro Crenshaw/LAX Transit Extension Project .....	1-40
1.4.14	Metro Doran Street and Broadway/Brazil Safety and Access Project.....	1-40
1.4.15	Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements Project.....	1-40
1.4.16	Patsaouras Plaza Expansion .....	1-41
2	ALTERNATIVES .....	2-1
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.1.1	Independent Utility .....	2-3
2.2	Background of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section EIR/EIS .....	2-3
2.3	High-Speed Rail System Infrastructure.....	2-4
2.3.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security.....	2-4
2.3.2	Vehicles.....	2-6
2.3.3	Stations .....	2-7
2.3.4	Infrastructure Components .....	2-9

2.3.5	Grade Separations.....	2-13
2.3.6	Traction Power Distribution.....	2-14
2.3.7	Signaling and Train-Control Elements.....	2-19
2.3.8	Track Structure .....	2-20
2.3.9	Maintenance Facilities .....	2-20
2.4	Alternatives Considered during the Alternatives Screening Process.....	2-21
2.4.1	High-Speed Rail Project-Level Alternatives Development Process .....	2-22
2.4.2	Range of Potential Alternatives Considered and Findings .....	2-23
2.5	Alignment and Station Alternatives Evaluated in This Project EIR/EIS .....	2-33
2.5.1	No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements .....	2-34
2.5.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	2-40
2.6	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts .....	2-72
2.6.1	Ridership and High-Speed Rail System Design.....	2-73
2.6.2	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis .....	2-74
2.6.3	Ridership and Station-Area Parking .....	2-74
2.7	Operations and Service Plan .....	2-75
2.7.1	High-Speed Rail Service.....	2-75
2.7.2	Maintenance Activities .....	2-76
2.8	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations.....	2-77
2.8.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development around High-Speed Rail Stations.....	2-77
2.8.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail .....	2-78
2.9	Construction Plan and Phased Implementation Plan.....	2-82
2.9.1	Design-Build Project Delivery .....	2-82
2.9.2	Phased Implementation Strategy.....	2-82
2.9.3	General Approach.....	2-83
2.9.4	Pre-Construction Activities.....	2-84
2.9.5	Major Construction Activities .....	2-92
2.10	Permits .....	2-97
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	3.1-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Chapter 3 Purpose .....	3.1-2
3.1.2	Chapter 3 Organization .....	3.1-3
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Content.....	3.1-3
3.1.4	Outreach to Local Agencies.....	3.1-12
3.1.5	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation .....	3.1-12
3.2	Transportation .....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction .....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.2-3
3.2.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.2-11
3.2.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.2-12
3.2.5	Affected Environment.....	3.2-35
3.2.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.2-49
3.2.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-83
3.2.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.2-96
3.2.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.2-98
3.3	Air Quality and Global Climate Change .....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction .....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.3-2
3.3.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.3-18
3.3.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.3-18
3.3.5	Affected Environment.....	3.3-32
3.3.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.3-48

3.3.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-82
3.3.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.3-83
3.3.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.3-84
3.4	Noise and Vibration .....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction .....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.4-2
3.4.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.4-9
3.4.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.4-10
3.4.5	Affected Environment.....	3.4-24
3.4.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.4-30
3.4.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-49
3.4.8	NEPA Impacts Summary .....	3.4-58
3.4.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.4-59
3.5	Electromagnetic Interference and Electromagnetic Fields.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction .....	3.5-1
3.5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.5-5
3.5.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.5-9
3.5.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.5-9
3.5.5	Affected Environment.....	3.5-16
3.5.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.5-20
3.5.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-31
3.5.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.5-32
3.5.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.5-33
3.6	Public Utilities and Energy .....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction .....	3.6-1
3.6.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.6-2
3.6.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.6-11
3.6.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.6-11
3.6.5	Affected Environment.....	3.6-17
3.6.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.6-27
3.6.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-65
3.6.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.6-66
3.6.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.6-67
3.7	Biological and Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction .....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.7-6
3.7.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.7-14
3.7.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.7-14
3.7.5	Affected Environment.....	3.7-24
3.7.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.7-39
3.7.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-68
3.7.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.7-79
3.7.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.7-81
3.8	Hydrology and Water Resources .....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction .....	3.8-1
3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.8-2
3.8.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.8-8
3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.8-9
3.8.5	Affected Environment.....	3.8-18
3.8.6	Environmental Consequences .....	3.8-39
3.8.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-67
3.8.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.8-69
3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.8-70
3.9	Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources .....	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction .....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.9-2

3.9.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.9-7
3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.9-8
3.9.5	Affected Environment.....	3.9-16
3.9.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-49
3.9.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-68
3.9.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.9-69
3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.9-70
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Wastes .....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction .....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.10-3
3.10.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.10-10
3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.10-10
3.10.5	Affected Environment.....	3.10-15
3.10.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-31
3.10.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-46
3.10.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.10-47
3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.10-51
3.11	Safety and Security .....	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction .....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.11-4
3.11.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.11-17
3.11.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.11-19
3.11.5	Affected Environment.....	3.11-26
3.11.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-49
3.11.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-77
3.11.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.11-78
3.11.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.11-79
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities .....	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction .....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.12-2
3.12.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.12-7
3.12.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.12-7
3.12.5	Affected Environment.....	3.12-16
3.12.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-47
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-78
3.12.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.12-85
3.12.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.12-88
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development .....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction .....	3.13-1
3.13.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.13-2
3.13.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.13-7
3.13.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.13-8
3.13.5	Affected Environment.....	3.13-12
3.13.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-47
3.13.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.13-68
3.13.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.13-68
3.13.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.13-69
3.14	Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land.....	3.14-1
3.15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction .....	3.15-2
3.15.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.15-3
3.15.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.15-9
3.15.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.15-10
3.15.5	Affected Environment.....	3.15-15
3.15.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.15-15
3.15.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-49

3.15.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.15-53
3.15.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.15-55
3.16	Aesthetics and Visual Quality.....	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction .....	3.16-1
3.16.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.16-2
3.16.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.16-5
3.16.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.16-6
3.16.5	Affected Environment.....	3.16-12
3.16.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.16-41
3.16.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.16-99
3.16.8	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.16-102
3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.16-102
3.17	Cultural Resources.....	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction .....	3.17-1
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.17-3
3.17.3	Consistency with Local Plans and Laws.....	3.17-10
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance .....	3.17-12
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.17-21
3.17.6	Affected Environment.....	3.17-40
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences.....	3.17-59
3.17.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-78
3.17.9	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.17-85
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.17-89
3.18	Regional Growth.....	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction .....	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.18-2
3.18.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	3.18-6
3.18.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.18-6
3.18.5	Affected Environment.....	3.18-9
3.18.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.18-13
3.18.7	NEPA Impact Summary .....	3.18-22
3.18.8	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.18-23
3.19	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction .....	3.19-1
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	3.19-2
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts .....	3.19-3
3.19.4	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts .....	3.19-5
3.19.5	Historical Context.....	3.19-5
3.19.6	Projected Growth Trends .....	3.19-6
3.19.7	Organization of Cumulative Impact Analysis .....	3.19-18
3.19.8	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.19-23
3.19.9	Mitigation Measures (for Any Newly Identified Significant Cumulative Impacts) .....	3.19-82
3.19.10	Impact Summary .....	3.19-83
4	SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) EVALUATIONS.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-2
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders .....	4-3
4.1.2	Study Area .....	4-5
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-5
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definition .....	4-6
4.2	Coordination .....	4-8
4.2.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges .....	4-8
4.2.2	Cultural Resources .....	4-9

4.3	Purpose and Need .....	4-9
4.4	Alternatives.....	4-9
4.4.1	No Project Alternative .....	4-10
4.4.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	4-10
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis .....	4-12
4.5.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges .....	4-12
4.5.2	Cultural Resources .....	4-17
4.6	Section 4(f) Use Assessment.....	4-18
4.6.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges .....	4-18
4.6.2	Cultural Resources .....	4-81
4.7	Avoidance Alternatives.....	4-96
4.7.1	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: San Fernando Railroad Bike Path (Planned).....	4-97
4.7.2	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Arroyo Seco Parkway Historic District.....	4-98
4.7.3	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Broadway (Buena Vista) Viaduct.....	4-99
4.7.4	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Spring Street Viaduct .....	4-100
4.7.5	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Main Street Bridge .....	4-101
4.8	Measures to Minimize Harm .....	4-102
4.9	Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis.....	4-124
4.10	Section 6(f).....	4-124
5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE .....	5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.1.1	Definition of Resources.....	5-2
5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	5-3
5.2.1	Federal.....	5-3
5.2.2	State.....	5-4
5.2.3	Regional and Local .....	5-6
5.2.4	Consistency with Plans and Laws .....	5-7
5.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	5-7
5.3.1	Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area .....	5-7
5.3.2	Methods for NEPA Impact Analysis.....	5-10
5.3.3	Environmental Justice Engagement .....	5-14
5.4	Affected Environment.....	5-15
5.4.1	Reference Community Demographics.....	5-15
5.4.2	Resource Study Area Demographics .....	5-15
5.4.3	Station Area Demographics .....	5-33
5.5	Environmental Justice Engagement.....	5-33
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities.....	5-34
5.5.2	Summary of Public Outreach Issues and Concerns .....	5-37
5.6	Environmental Consequences .....	5-39
5.6.1	Overview .....	5-39
5.6.2	No Project Alternative .....	5-39
5.6.3	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	5-40
5.7	Summary of Disproportionate Effects .....	5-83
5.8	Measures to Minimize Harm .....	5-83
5.8.1	Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features .....	5-83
5.8.2	Mitigation Measures .....	5-86
5.8.3	Environmental Justice Community Engagement .....	5-87
5.9	California High-Speed Rail Authority's Environmental Justice Determination .....	5-88
6	PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS .....	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1

6.2	Capital Costs .....	6-1
6.2.1	Standardized Capital Cost Categories.....	6-1
6.2.2	Assumptions and Exclusions .....	6-2
6.2.3	Burbank to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	6-3
6.2.4	Maintenance Facilities .....	6-5
6.3	Operating and Maintenance Costs.....	6-5
6.3.1	Assumptions .....	6-5
6.3.2	Operating Speeds .....	6-6
6.3.3	Development of Operations and Maintenance Costs .....	6-6
7	OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS .....	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Significant Impacts .....	7-1
7.2	Project Benefits .....	7-5
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	7-6
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes that Would Result from the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section if Implemented .....	7-7
8	PREFERRED ALTEARNATIVE AND STATION SITES.....	8-1
8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.2	Summary of Comments During the Planning and Scoping Process .....	8-3
8.2.1	California Legislators .....	8-5
8.2.2	Project Area Local Governments .....	8-6
8.2.3	Federal Agencies .....	8-7
8.2.4	State Agencies .....	8-8
8.2.5	Tribal Consultation .....	8-8
8.2.6	Regional and Other Public Agencies .....	8-9
8.2.7	Businesses .....	8-10
8.2.8	Organizations .....	8-10
8.2.9	Individuals .....	8-10
8.3	Summary of Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS.....	8-10
8.3.1	Federal Agencies .....	8-11
8.3.2	State Agencies .....	8-11
8.3.3	Regional Agencies .....	8-11
8.3.4	Local Agencies .....	8-12
8.3.5	Elected Officials .....	8-13
8.3.6	Businesses/Organizations .....	8-13
8.3.7	Individuals .....	8-13
8.4	Alternatives Considered .....	8-13
8.5	Preferred Alternative .....	8-15
8.5.1	Description .....	8-15
8.5.2	Identification of the Preferred Alternative .....	8-15
8.5.3	Preferred Alternative Acceptance Decision .....	8-16
8.6	Environmentally Superior Alternative .....	8-17
8.7	Environmentally Preferable Alternative .....	8-18
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT .....	9-1
9.1	Environmental Justice Outreach .....	9-2
9.2	Public and Agency Scoping .....	9-3
9.2.1	Notices of Preparation, Notices of Intent, and Public Information Materials.....	9-3
9.2.2	Scoping Meetings .....	9-3
9.2.3	Scoping Comments .....	9-5
9.3	Alternatives Analysis Process .....	9-6
9.3.1	Public Information Meetings and Materials during the Alternatives Analysis Process.....	9-6

9.3.2	Technical Working Group Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process .....	9-7
9.3.3	Coordination with Other Railroad Owners/Operators .....	9-8
9.3.4	Agency Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process .....	9-8
9.4	Development of the Draft EIR/EIS .....	9-8
9.4.1	Outreach, Involvement, and Communications Guidance .....	9-8
9.4.2	Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding.....	9-9
9.4.3	Public Information Materials and Meetings.....	9-10
9.4.4	Tribal Coordination Meetings.....	9-11
9.4.5	Section 106 Consultation, National Historic Preservation Act.....	9-12
9.4.6	Agency Meetings and Consultation .....	9-12
9.5	Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS.....	9-13
9.6	Publication and Review of the Draft EIR/EIS .....	9-14
9.6.1	Public and Agency Open Houses and Hearings .....	9-14
9.6.2	Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS .....	9-15
9.6.3	Response to Common Comments.....	9-16
9.6.4	Engineering and Design Refinements after Publication of the Draft EIR/EIS .....	9-17
9.6.5	Ongoing Outreach Leading up to Publication of the Final EIR/EIS .....	9-17
9.7	Preferred Alternative and Authority Decision-Making Process .....	9-18
9.8	Log of Public and Agency Meetings.....	9-18
10	<b>FINAL EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION.....</b>	10-1
10.1	Repository Locations.....	10-1
10.1.1	Burbank.....	10-1
10.1.2	Glendale.....	10-2
10.1.3	Los Angeles .....	10-2
10.1.4	Sacramento.....	10-2
10.2	Federal Agencies .....	10-2
10.3	State Agencies .....	10-3
10.4	Elected Officials.....	10-4
10.4.1	Federal Elected Officials .....	10-5
10.4.2	State Elected Officials.....	10-5
10.4.3	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors.....	10-5
10.4.4	Los Angeles County Officials.....	10-5
10.4.5	Mayors .....	10-5
10.4.6	City Council Members.....	10-6
10.5	Regional/Local Agencies.....	10-6
10.5.1	City of Burbank .....	10-6
10.5.2	City of Glendale .....	10-7
10.5.3	City of Los Angeles .....	10-7
10.5.4	County of Los Angeles.....	10-7
10.5.5	Regional.....	10-7
10.6	Organizations and Businesses.....	10-8
10.7	Native American Contacts.....	10-9
10.8	Schools and School Districts.....	10-10
10.8.1	City of Burbank .....	10-10
10.8.2	City of Glendale .....	10-11
10.8.3	City of Los Angeles .....	10-11
11	<b>LIST OF PREPARERS .....</b>	11-1
12	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	12-1
	Preface .....	12-1
	Summary.....	12-1
	Chapter 1: Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives .....	12-3
	Chapter 2: Alternatives .....	12-8

Chapter 3: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation	
Measures.....	12-12
Section 3.1: Introduction .....	12-12
Section 3.2: Transportation .....	12-13
Section 3.3: Air Quality and Global Climate Change .....	12-15
Section 3.4: Noise and Vibration.....	12-19
Section 3.5: Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference .....	12-20
Section 3.6: Public Utilities and Energy .....	12-22
Section 3.7: Biological Resources and Wetlands .....	12-25
Section 3.8: Hydrology and Water Resources .....	12-28
Section 3.9: Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources.....	12-30
Section 3.10: Hazardous Materials and Wastes .....	12-34
Section 3.11: Safety and Security .....	12-36
Section 3.12: Socioeconomics and Communities.....	12-43
Section 3.13: Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	12-47
Section 3.14: Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land.....	12-49
Section 3.15: Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .....	12-49
Section 3.16: Aesthetics and Visual Quality .....	12-51
Section 3.17: Cultural Resources.....	12-52
Section 3.18: Regional Growth .....	12-54
Section 3.19: Cumulative Impacts.....	12-56
Chapter 4: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations.....	12-58
Chapter 5: Environmental Justice .....	12-59
Chapter 6: Project Costs and Operations .....	12-61
Chapter 7: Other CEQA/NEPA Considerations .....	12-61
Chapter 8: Preferred Alternative and Station Site(s) .....	12-61
Chapter 9: Public and Agency Involvement.....	12-62
13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	13-1
14 INDEX .....	14-1
15 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	15-1

## Figures

Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail System.....	S-2
Figure S-2 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Alignment.....	S-3
Figure S-3 Key Design Features of the HSR Build Alternative.....	S-17
Figure S-4 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan, Burbank Airport Station .....	S-20
Figure S-5 Preliminary Station Elements Plan, Los Angeles Union Station .....	S-22
Figure S-6 Transportation Resource Study Area.....	S-30
Figure S-7 Cities and Communities Adjacent to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	S-54
Figure S-8 Historic Bridges within the Resource Study Area .....	S-59
Figure 1-1 Statewide High-Speed Rail System, Program Alignments and Stations.....	1-2
Figure 1-2 Statewide High-Speed Rail System – Project Sections .....	1-7
Figure 1-3 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Corridor .....	1-9
Figure 1-4 Existing and Future California Population (in millions) .....	1-13
Figure 1-5 Intercity Trips in California (in millions).....	1-17

Figure 1-6 Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports .....	1-18
Figure 1-7 Regional Freight Network .....	1-19
Figure 1-8 Conventional Rail Routes in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section .....	1-23
Figure 1-9 Other Transportation Projects in Los Angeles County .....	1-37
Figure 2-1 Examples of Japanese <i>Shinkansen</i> High-Speed Trains .....	2-6
Figure 2-2 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Contact Wire System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups.....	2-7
Figure 2-3 Examples of Existing Stations .....	2-7
Figure 2-4 Simulated and Plan Views of a Functional Station and Its Various Components .....	2-8
Figure 2-5 Typical At-Grade Cross-Section .....	2-10
Figure 2-6 Retained-Fill Typical Cross-Section .....	2-10
Figure 2-7 Retained-Cut Typical Cross-Section .....	2-11
Figure 2-8 Typical Tunnel Cross-Section.....	2-12
Figure 2-9 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Typical Cross-Section.....	2-12
Figure 2-10 Typical Roadway Overcrossing .....	2-13
Figure 2-11 Typical Cross-Section of Roadway Grade-Separated Beneath the High-Speed Rail Guideway .....	2-14
Figure 2-12 Traction Power Substation .....	2-15
Figure 2-13 Traction Power Substation Overhead Contact System Gantry .....	2-16
Figure 2-14 Switching Station .....	2-17
Figure 2-15 Paralleling Station.....	2-17
Figure 2-16 Paralleling Station Overhead Contact System Gantry .....	2-18
Figure 2-17 Los Angeles Basin Alignment and Station Options Carried forward from 2005 Program EIR/EIS .....	2-25
Figure 2-18 Evolution of Alternatives throughout the Alternatives Analysis Process .....	2-27
Figure 2-19 Burbank Airport Station Options Evaluated in Palmdale to Burbank 2015 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis .....	2-32
Figure 2-20 Evaluation of Burbank Airport Station Options Since 2016.....	2-33
Figure 2-21 Overview of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	2-42
Figure 2-22 New Electrified and Non-Electrified Tracks within Existing Right-of-Way .....	2-43
Figure 2-23 Standard Track Separations within Non-Constrained Right-of-Way .....	2-44
Figure 2-24 Reduced Track Separations within Constrained Right-of-Way .....	2-44
Figure 2-25 HSR Build Alternative Overview .....	2-46
Figure 2-26 Typical Cross-Section between State Route 134 and Chevy Chase Drive .....	2-49
Figure 2-27 Diagram of Existing and Proposed Metrolink Central Maintenance Facility .....	2-51
Figure 2-28 Typical Cross-Section from State Route 110 to Mission Junction .....	2-52
Figure 2-29 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan—Burbank Airport Station.....	2-56
Figure 2-30 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan—Burbank Airport Station.....	2-57

Figure 2-31 Preliminary Station Elements Plan—Los Angeles Union Station.....	2-58
Figure 2-32 Affected State Facilities .....	2-61
Figure 2-33 New and Modified Railroad Structures.....	2-64
Figure 2-34 Downtown Burbank Metrolink Station Site Plan .....	2-66
Figure 2-35 Sonora Avenue Grade Separation Footprint.....	2-67
Figure 2-36 Grandview Avenue Grade Separation Footprint .....	2-68
Figure 2-37 Flower Street Grade Separation Footprint .....	2-69
Figure 2-38 Goodwin Avenue/Chevy Chase Drive Grade Separation .....	2-70
Figure 2-39 Main Street Grade Separation Footprint .....	2-71
Figure 2-40 Burbank to Los Angeles Transit Service Area.....	2-79
Figure 2-41 Right-of-Way Process.....	2-81
Figure 2-42 Tunnel Alignment beneath Hollywood Burbank Airport Runway.....	2-94
Figure 2-43 Northern Tunnel Portal Elevation .....	2-95
Figure 2-44 Portal Excavation Support.....	2-96
Figure 2-45 Sequential Excavation Method Construction Sequencing .....	2-96
Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area.....	3.1-5
Figure 3.2-1 Transportation Resource Study Area .....	3.2-14
Figure 3.2-2 Major Freeways/Highways and Traffic Volumes .....	3.2-36
Figure 3.2-3 Construction Detours .....	3.2-62
Figure 3.3-1 Aggregate GHG Emissions Reductions That Would Result from the California High-Speed Rail Project .....	3.3-12
Figure 3.3-2 South Coast Air Basin .....	3.3-20
Figure 3.3-3 Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.3-36
Figure 3.3-4 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.3-42
Figure 3.4-1 Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas .....	3.4-12
Figure 3.4-2 Noise Impact Criteria for Transit and High-Speed Rail Projects .....	3.4-16
Figure 3.4-3 Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels (Categories 1 and 2).....	3.4-17
Figure 3.4-4 Federal Railroad Administration Detailed Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria .....	3.4-21
Figure 3.4-5 Existing Noise Measurement Locations .....	3.4-25
Figure 3.4-6 Existing Vibration Measurement Locations .....	3.4-28
Figure 3.4-7 Land Use Category 2 Noise Impacts—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.4-38
Figure 3.4-8 Land Use Categories 1 and 3 Noise Impacts—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.4-40
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section .....	3.4-45
Figure 3.4-10 Sound Barrier Locations .....	3.4-55

Figure 3.5-1 Resource Study Area for Electromagnetic Interference/Electromagnetic Fields .....	3.5-10
Figure 3.5-2 Electromagnetic Field Measurement Site Locations .....	3.5-13
Figure 3.6-1 Water and Electrical Service Provider Areas .....	3.6-19
Figure 3.6-2 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2014 .....	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-3 California Transportation Energy Consumption by Source, 2014 .....	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-4 Statewide Baseline Annual Electricity Consumption .....	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-5 Electric Transmission Lines.....	3.6-32
Figure 3.6-6 Natural Gas Pipelines.....	3.6-35
Figure 3.6-7 Sewer Lines and Storm Drains.....	3.6-38
Figure 3.6-8 Water Lines.....	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-9 Communication Facilities and Sites .....	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-10 Oil Pipelines.....	3.6-47
Figure 3.7-1 Biological Resource Study Areas .....	3.7-16
Figure 3.7-2 eBird Occurrence Records of Special-Status Bird Species .....	3.7-30
Figure 3.7-3 Structures Examined during the Bat Habitat Assessment .....	3.7-33
Figure 3.7-4 National Wetlands Inventory Occurrence Records of Special-Status Natural Communities.....	3.7-34
Figure 3.7-5 Direct Impacts to Potentially Suitable Southern Tarplant Habitat .....	3.7-42
Figure 3.8-1 Direct and Indirect Resource Study Area Overview Map.....	3.8-10
Figure 3.8-2 Direct and Indirect Resource Study Areas .....	3.8-11
Figure 3.8-3 Watershed .....	3.8-19
Figure 3.8-4 Surface Waters.....	3.8-22
Figure 3.8-5 Los Angeles River Crossings .....	3.8-23
Figure 3.8-6 Groundwater Basins .....	3.8-29
Figure 3.8-7 Floodplains Index Map .....	3.8-33
Figure 3.8-8 Floodplains .....	3.8-34
Figure 3.8-9 Lockheed Channel.....	3.8-55
Figure 3.9-1 General Geology, Resource Hazards, and Seismicity Resource Study Areas.....	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-2 Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area .....	3.9-10
Figure 3.9-3 Geologic Units in the General Geology and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Areas.....	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-4 Soil Associations in the Resource Hazards Resource Study Area .....	3.9-22
Figure 3.9-5 Historically High Groundwater Levels Map .....	3.9-28
Figure 3.9-6 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Resource Hazards Resource Study Area .....	3.9-30
Figure 3.9-7 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area .....	3.9-33
Figure 3.9-8 Peak Ground Acceleration.....	3.9-36

Figure 3.9-9 Secondary Seismic Hazard Zones .....	3.9-37
Figure 3.9-10 California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Wells.....	3.9-41
Figure 3.9-11 Paleontological Sensitivity Map .....	3.9-43
Figure 3.10-1 High-Speed Rail Project Footprint and Resource Study Areas.....	3.10-11
Figure 3.10-2 HSR Build Alternative Alignment within USEPA Superfund Areas 1, 2, and 4 and the San Fernando Basin Groundwater Contaminant Plume .....	3.10-16
Figure 3.10-3 Waste Disposal Sites in the Resource Study Area .....	3.10-21
Figure 3.10-4 Oil Wells in the Resource Study Area .....	3.10-22
Figure 3.10-5 Potential Environmental Concerns .....	3.10-24
Figure 3.10-6 Superfund Site Location .....	3.10-38
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Existing Conditions.....	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-2 Safety and Security Existing and Modified Crossings.....	3.11-39
Figure 3.11-3 Construction Detours.....	3.11-57
Figure 3.12-1 Definition of Direct and Indirect Impacts Resource Study Areas .....	3.12-8
Figure 3.12-2 Indirect and Direct Impacts Population and Community Resource Study Areas .....	3.12-9
Figure 3.12-3 Economic Impacts Resource Study Area .....	3.12-10
Figure 3.12-4 Cities and Communities Adjacent to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative ...	3.12-18
Figure 3.12-5 Construction Street Closures.....	3.12-51
Figure 3.13-1 Resource Study Area for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-9
Figure 3.13-2 Existing Land Use.....	3.13-13
Figure 3.13-3 Planned Land Use .....	3.13-29
Figure 3.13-4 Existing Land Uses around Burbank Airport Station .....	3.13-63
Figure 3.13-5 Existing Land Uses around LAUS .....	3.13-64
Figure 3.13-6 Planned Land Uses around Burbank Airport Station.....	3.13-65
Figure 3.13-7 Planned Land Uses around LAUS.....	3.13-66
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area.....	3.14-2
Figure 3.15-1 Resource Study Area for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .....	3.15-12
Figure 3.15-2 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative and Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .	3.15-16
Figure 3.15-3 Potential Permanent Impacts .....	3.15-41
Figure 3.16-1 Aesthetics and Visual Quality Resource Study Area.....	3.16-7
Figure 3.16-2 Key Viewpoint Locations .....	3.16-13
Figure 3.16-3 Proposed Construction Staging Areas .....	3.16-43
Figure 3.16-4 Key Viewpoint 1.....	3.16-50
Figure 3.16-5 Key Viewpoint 2.....	3.16-52
Figure 3.16-6 Key Viewpoint 3 .....	3.16-54
Figure 3.16-7 Key Viewpoint 4 .....	3.16-56
Figure 3.16-8 Key Viewpoint 5 .....	3.16-58

Figure 3.16-9 Key Viewpoint 6.....	3.16-60
Figure 3.16-10 Key Viewpoint 7 .....	3.16-62
Figure 3.16-11 Key Viewpoint 8.....	3.16-64
Figure 3.16-12 Key Viewpoint 9.....	3.16-66
Figure 3.16-13 Key Viewpoint 10.....	3.16-68
Figure 3.16-14 Key Viewpoint 11.....	3.16-70
Figure 3.16-15 Key Viewpoint 12.....	3.16-72
Figure 3.16-16 Key Viewpoint 13.....	3.16-74
Figure 3.16-17 Key Viewpoint 14.....	3.16-76
Figure 3.16-18 Key Viewpoint 15.....	3.16-78
Figure 3.16-19 Key Viewpoint 16.....	3.16-80
Figure 3.16-20 Key Viewpoint 17.....	3.16-82
Figure 3.16-21 Key Viewpoint 18.....	3.16-84
Figure 3.16-22 Key Viewpoint 19.....	3.16-86
Figure 3.16-23 Key Viewpoint 20.....	3.16-88
Figure 3.16-24 Key Viewpoint 21.....	3.16-90
Figure 3.16-25 Key Viewpoint 22.....	3.16-92
Figure 3.16-26 Key Viewpoint 23.....	3.16-94
Figure 3.16-27 Key Viewpoint 24.....	3.16-96
Figure 3.16-28 Key Viewpoint 25.....	3.16-98
Figure 3.17-1 Eligible Historic Built Resources in the Area of Potential Effects .....	3.17-24
Figure 3.18-1 Resource Study Area for Regional Growth .....	3.18-7
Figure 3.19-1 Cumulative Land Development, Transportation, Bridge, and Utility Projects ...	3.19-8
Figure 3.19-2 Cumulative Sewer Projects .....	3.19-11
Figure 4-1 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Alignment.....	4-11
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources .....	4-13
Figure 4-3 Phases 1 through 3 of the San Fernando Bike Path.....	4-20
Figure 4-4 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on the San Fernando Bike Path (Planned Phase 3).....	4-22
Figure 4-5 Temporary Occupancy of Chandler Bikeway (Planned Extension) .....	4-25
Figure 4-6 Project Footprint near the Golden State Connector Bike Path.....	4-29
Figure 4-7 Project Footprint near the Burbank Western Channel Bike Path (Phase II) .....	4-31
Figure 4-8 Permanent Use of the San Fernando Railroad Bike Path (Planned).....	4-34
Figure 4-9 Project Footprint near the Verdugo Wash Bike Path (Planned).....	4-37
Figure 4-10 Project Footprint near Griffith Manor Park.....	4-39
Figure 4-11 Project Footprint near Pelanconi Park.....	4-41
Figure 4-12 Project Footprint near Pacific Community Center and Park.....	4-44
Figure 4-13 Project Footprint near Chevy Chase Recreation Center.....	4-46

Figure 4-14 Project Footprint near Cerritos Park.....	4-48
Figure 4-15 Project Footprint near Cerritos Elementary School .....	4-50
Figure 4-16 Project Footprint near Sotomayor Learning Academies .....	4-51
Figure 4-17 Project Footprint near Taylor Yard G1 Parcel (Bowtie Parcel).....	4-53
Figure 4-18 Project Footprint near Taylor Yard G2 River Park.....	4-55
Figure 4-19 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on Rio de Los Angeles State Park.....	4-57
Figure 4-20 Project Footprint near the Los Angeles River Recreation Zone .....	4-61
Figure 4-21 Project Footprint near Cypress Recreation Center .....	4-63
Figure 4-22 Project Footprint near the Los Angeles River Center and Gardens.....	4-65
Figure 4-23 Project Footprint near Elysian Park.....	4-67
Figure 4-24 Project Footprint near Confluence Park .....	4-69
Figure 4-25 Project Footprint near Los Angeles State Historic Park .....	4-71
Figure 4-26 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on Albion Riverside Park.....	4-73
Figure 4-27 Project Footprint near ConnectUS Cycle Track .....	4-76
Figure 4-28 Project Footprint near Paseo del Río .....	4-78
Figure 4-29 Project Footprint near the Rim of the Valley Trail.....	4-80
Figure 4-30 Permanent Use of the Arroyo Seco Parkway Historic District.....	4-84
Figure 4-31 Permanent Use of the Broadway (Buena Vista) Viaduct .....	4-86
Figure 4-32 Permanent Use of the Spring Street Viaduct .....	4-88
Figure 4-33 Project Footprint near the Main Street Bridge (Bridge #53C1010) .....	4-91
Figure 4-34 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts at the Los Angeles River Channel .....	4-94
Figure 5-1 Environmental Justice Resource Study Area .....	5-8
Figure 5-2 Reference Community and Environmental Justice Resource Study Area .....	5-9
Figure 5-3 Low-Income Populations in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area .....	5-17
Figure 5-4 Low-Income Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas .....	5-20
Figure 5-5 Minority Population in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area .....	5-23
Figure 5-6 Race and Ethnicity of Minorities in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area .....	5-27
Figure 5-7 Minority Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas .....	5-30
Figure 5-8 Displacements in Areas with Low-Income Populations .....	5-49
Figure 5-9 Displacements in Areas with Minority Populations.....	5-52
Figure 5-10 Traffic Improvements in Areas with Low Income Populations.....	5-63
Figure 5-11 Traffic Improvements in Areas with Minority Populations .....	5-66
Figure 5-12 Noise Impacts to Low-Income Populations after Implementation of Noise Barriers .....	5-70
Figure 5-13 Noise Impacts to Minority Populations after Implementation of Noise Barriers .....	5-73
Figure 8-1 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Preferred Alternative.....	8-2

## Tables

Table S-1 Summary of Design Features of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	S-16
Table S-2 Capital Costs of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative for the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2020 dollars in millions <sup>1</sup> ) .....	S-62
Table S-3 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the High-Speed Rail Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2015 dollars in millions).....	S-63
Table S-4 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features .....	S-70
Table S-5 CEQA Summary of Resources with Significant Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures for the HSR Build Alternative .....	S-77
Table 1-1 Population Growth in California, Los Angeles County, and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040) .....	1-14
Table 1-2 Household Growth in Los Angeles County and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040) .....	1-15
Table 1-3 Employment Growth in Los Angeles County and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040) .....	1-16
Table 1-4 Unemployment and Income in California, Los Angeles County, and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale .....	1-16
Table 1-5 Current and Projected Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, Delay, and Speeds in Los Angeles County .....	1-20
Table 1-6 Travel Growth for Intercity Highways.....	1-20
Table 1-7 Commercial Air Traffic at Airports in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	1-25
Table 1-8 2009 California Intercity Air Travel .....	1-25
Table 1-9 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, and Conventional Rail (Peak Conditions) <sup>[1],[2]</sup> .....	1-26
Table 2-1 High-Speed Rail Performance Criteria for Blended Project Sections.....	2-5
Table 2-2 Burbank to Los Angeles Alignment Alternatives and Station Options Studied throughout the Alternatives Analysis Process .....	2-28
Table 2-3 Regional Projected Population and Employment .....	2-34
Table 2-4 Planned Residential Development Projects within the Burbank to Los Angeles Area .....	2-35
Table 2-5 Total Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled—Los Angeles County .....	2-36
Table 2-6 Planned Highway Improvements within Project Vicinity .....	2-37
Table 2-7 Existing and Future Trains per Day in the LOSSAN Corridor between Burbank and Los Angeles.....	2-38
Table 2-8 Programmed Passenger Rail Improvements within the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section .....	2-39
Table 2-9 Summary of Design Features .....	2-41
Table 2-10 Roadway Crossings within the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	2-53
Table 2-11 Proposed Traction Power Locations.....	2-59
Table 2-12 Impact of High-Speed Rail Build Alternative on California Department of Transportation State Highway Facilities .....	2-60

Table 2-13 Freight Siding Tracks and Industry Spur Relocations and Closures .....	2-62
Table 2-14 Industry Spur Relocations.....	2-62
Table 2-15 New and Modified Railroad Structures .....	2-63
Table 2-16 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year).....	2-72
Table 2-17 Right-of-Way Impacts .....	2-80
Table 2-18 Construction Schedule <sup>1</sup> .....	2-83
Table 2-21 Anticipated Environmental Reviews, Permits, and Approvals .....	2-98
Table 3.2-1 California Statutory Bicycle Facility Definitions.....	3.2-5
Table 3.2-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.2-6
Table 3.2-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.2-13
Table 3.2-4 Resource Study Area Intersection Number Designations .....	3.2-25
Table 3.2-5 Level-of-Service Values and Average Vehicular Delay Definitions for Signalized Intersections.....	3.2-31
Table 3.2-6 Level-of-Service and Average Vehicular Delay Definitions for Unsignalized Intersections .....	3.2-32
Table 3.2-7 Level-of-Service and Volume-to-Capacity Definitions for Roadway Segments ...	3.2-32
Table 3.2-8 Regionally Significant Roadway Segments with Capacities.....	3.2-37
Table 3.2-9 Existing Roadway Segment Volumes.....	3.2-39
Table 3.2-10 Existing Alignment Roadway Segment Operations .....	3.2-40
Table 3.2-11 Summary of Transit Service near the Proposed Burbank Airport Station .....	3.2-43
Table 3.2-12 Summary of Transit Service at Los Angeles Union Station.....	3.2-44
Table 3.2-13 Alignment Roadway and Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project .....	3.2-51
Table 3.2-14 Burbank Airport Station Area Intersection and Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project .....	3.2-52
Table 3.2-15 Los Angeles Union Station Area Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project.....	3.2-52
Table 3.2-16 Signalized Intersections: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction.....	3.2-55
Table 3.2-17 Mitigation Available for Signalized Intersection Construction Impacts Included in TRAN-MM#1 .....	3.2-57
Table 3.2-18 Unsignalized Intersections: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction.....	3.2-58
Table 3.2-19 Mitigation Available for Unsignalized Intersection Construction Impacts Included in TRAN-MM#1 .....	3.2-59
Table 3.2-20 Roadway Segment Volumes: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction .....	3.2-60
Table 3.2-21 Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled .....	3.2-71
Table 3.2-22 Alignment Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-72
Table 3.2-23 Mitigation Available for Alignment Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-73

Table 3.2-24 Burbank Airport Station Area Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-73
Table 3.2-25 Mitigation Available for Burbank Airport Station Area Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-74
Table 3.2-26 Los Angeles Union Station Area Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-74
Table 3.2-27 Mitigation Available for Los Angeles Union Station Area Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-75
Table 3.2-28 Alignment Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-76
Table 3.2-29 Burbank Airport Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-77
Table 3.2-30 Mitigation Available for Burbank Airport Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-77
Table 3.2-31 Los Angeles Union Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-78
Table 3.2-32 Mitigation Available for Los Angeles Union Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-78
Table 3.2-33 Alignment Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-79
Table 3.2-34 Burbank Airport Station Area Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-79
Table 3.2-35 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure TRAN-MM#1 .....	3.2-85
Table 3.2-36 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure TRAN-MM#2 .....	3.2-90
Table 3.2-37 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Transportation .....	3.2-98
Table 3.3-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.3-14
Table 3.3-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.3-19
Table 3.3-4 General Conformity <i>De Minimis</i> Levels .....	3.3-30
Table 3.3-5 South Coast Air Quality Management District Thresholds .....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-6 Ambient Criterial Pollutant Concentration Data at Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.3-34
Table 3.3-7 Federal and State Attainment Status in the South Coast Air Basin .....	3.3-37
Table 3.3-8 Estimated 2012 Annual Average Emissions for the South Coast Air Quality Management District (tons/day).....	3.3-39
Table 3.3-9 2017 California Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory.....	3.3-40
Table 3.3-10 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Tracks/Stations .....	3.3-41
Table 3.3-11 2015 Statewide No Project Emissions (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-49
Table 3.3-12 2015 Statewide No Project Emissions (High Ridership Scenario) .....	3.3-49
Table 3.3-13 2040 Statewide No Project Emissions (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-50
Table 3.3-14 2040 Statewide No Project Emissions (High Ridership Scenario) .....	3.3-50

Table 3.3-15 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Construction Emissions.....	3.3-51
Table 3.3-16 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Programmatic Construction Emissions .....	3.3-52
Table 3.3-17 High-Speed Rail Early Action Project Components Construction Emissions.....	3.3-54
Table 3.3-18 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions (Metric Tons per Year) .....	3.3-59
Table 3.3-19 Early Action Projects Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions.....	3.3-60
Table 3.3-20 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations from Construction Emissions .....	3.3-62
Table 3.3-21 Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations from Construction Emissions.....	3.3-63
Table 3.3-22 Diesel Particulate Matter Excess Cancer Risk Associated with Construction Emissions .....	3.3-65
Table 3.3-23 2015 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-70
Table 3.3-24 2015 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (High Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-70
Table 3.3-25 2040 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-71
Table 3.3-26 2040 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (High Ridership) .....	3.3-71
Table 3.3-27 2015 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-72
Table 3.3-28 2015 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (High Ridership Scenario) .....	3.3-73
Table 3.3-29 2040 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-73
Table 3.3-30 2040 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (High Ridership Scenario) .....	3.3-74
Table 3.3-31 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions for the No Project Alternative under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios.....	3.3-75
Table 3.3-32 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emission Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios .....	3.3-75
Table 3.3-33 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near the Burbank Airport Station .....	3.3-79
Table 3.3-34 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near Los Angeles Union Station .....	3.3-80
Table 3.3-35 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.3-83
Table 3.3-36 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Global Climate Change.....	3.3-85
Table 3.4-1 Federal Highway Administration Noise Abatement Criteria in A-Weighted Decibels .....	3.4-5
Table 3.4-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.4-6
Table 3.4-3 Regional and Local Plans and Policies Inconsistencies.....	3.4-10
Table 3.4-4 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.4-11

Table 3.4-5 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Noise Assessment Criteria .....	3.4-14
Table 3.4-6 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Operational and Geometric Assumptions .....	3.4-15
Table 3.4-7 Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria .....	3.4-16
Table 3.4-8 Noise Impact Criteria: Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure .....	3.4-18
Table 3.4-9 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria .....	3.4-19
Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria.....	3.4-20
Table 3.4-11 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings .....	3.4-20
Table 3.4-12 Interpretation of Vibration Criteria for Detailed Analysis.....	3.4-22
Table 3.4-13 Noise Criteria Exceedance Screening Distances for High-Speed Rail Construction Activities in Residential Areas .....	3.4-31
Table 3.4-14 Typical Noise Levels from Construction Activities for Public Works Projects ....	3.4-32
Table 3.4-15 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	3.4-34
Table 3.4-16 Distances to Construction Vibration Annoyance Criteria.....	3.4-34
Table 3.4-17 Distances to Construction Vibration Damage Criteria .....	3.4-35
Table 3.4-18 Noise Impact Summary Without Mitigation.....	3.4-37
Table 3.4-19 Operations Impact on Schools .....	3.4-42
Table 3.4-20 Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impacts.....	3.4-43
Table 3.4-21 Sound Barrier Analysis—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.4-53
Table 3.4-22 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative—Severe Residual Impacts: Mitigation Not Considered .....	3.4-53
Table 3.4-23 Potential Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions.....	3.4-57
Table 3.4-24 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.4-58
Table 3.4-25 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Noise and Vibration .....	3.4-60
Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths.....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths .....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-3 Typical Electromagnetic Field Levels for Transmission/Power Lines.....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-4 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure.....	3.5-7
Table 3.5-5 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.5-8
Table 3.5-6 Definition of Resource Study Area .....	3.5-11
Table 3.5-7 Summary of CEQA Impact Thresholds .....	3.5-16
Table 3.5-8 Electromagnetic Field Measurement Locations.....	3.5-17
Table 3.5-9 Summary Comparison of Measured and Modeled Magnetic Fields.....	3.5-18
Table 3.5-10 List of Potentially Sensitive Receptors .....	3.5-18
Table 3.5-11 Summary of High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Exterior EMF Levels .....	3.5-23
Table 3.5-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for EMI/EMF .....	3.5-34
Table 3.6-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.6-7

Table 3.6-2 Resource Study Area Information.....	3.6-11
Table 3.6-3 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.6-16
Table 3.6-4 Study Area Utility and Energy Providers .....	3.6-18
Table 3.6-5 Water Suppliers in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Area .....	3.6-20
Table 3.6-6 Wastewater Treatment Plant Existing Average Flow and Capacity Summary for the Resource Study Area .....	3.6-21
Table 3.6-7 Landfill Facility Summary for Resource Study Area .....	3.6-22
Table 3.6-8 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2015 .....	3.6-24
Table 3.6-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on High-Risk and Major Utilities .....	3.6-31
Table 3.6-10 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on Other Significant Utility Facilities.....	3.6-31
Table 3.6-11 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on Low-Risk Utility Facilities .....	3.6-31
Table 3.6-12 Construction Water Use Summary .....	3.6-52
Table 3.6-13 Construction Water Use by City.....	3.6-52
Table 3.6-14 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.6-57
Table 3.6-15 2040 Estimated Change in Energy Consumption Due to the High-Speed Rail System (Medium Ridership Scenario to High Ridership Scenario).....	3.6-57
Table 3.6-16 Anticipated Project Water Demand at Proposed High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Stations.....	3.6-58
Table 3.6-17 Estimated Project Wastewater (Sewage) Generated for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Stations.....	3.6-60
Table 3.6-19 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy Resources .....	3.6-67
Table 3.7-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.7-10
Table 3.7-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.7-15
Table 3.7-3 Summary of Plant Communities and Land Cover Types within the Wildlife Resource Study Area .....	3.7-26
Table 3.7-4 Occurrence Records of Special-Status Plant Species within or near the Supplemental Habitat Study Area .....	3.7-27
Table 3.7-5 California Natural Diversity Database Occurrence Records of Special-Status Wildlife Species within or near the Supplemental Habitat Resource Study Area .....	3.7-28
Table 3.7-6 Summary of Delineated Waters of the U.S. Within the Aquatic Resource Study Area .....	3.7-36
Table 3.7-7 Summary of California Fish and Game Code §1600 Aquatic Resources Within the Aquatic Resource Study Area .....	3.7-37
Table 3.7-8 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Direct Impacts by Upland Vegetation and Land Cover Type .....	3.7-40
Table 3.7-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Direct Impacts to Potentially Suitable Habitat for Southern Tarplant .....	3.7-41
Table 3.7-10 Anticipated Temporary Direct Effects on Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-55

Table 3.7-11 Anticipated Permanent Effects on Aquatic Resources .....	3.7-56
Table 3.7-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.7-79
Table 3.7-13 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Biological and Aquatic Resources .....	3.7-82
Table 3.8-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.8-6
Table 3.8-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.8-9
Table 3.8-3 Receiving Waters Beneficial Uses.....	3.8-25
Table 3.8-4 Surface Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters.....	3.8-26
Table 3.8-5 Groundwater Basins in the Vicinity of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project.....	3.8-30
Table 3.8-6 Groundwater Quality Objectives.....	3.8-32
Table 3.8-7 Floodplains in the Vicinity of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project.....	3.8-38
Table 3.8-8 Groundwater Basins Crossed by the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.8-49
Table 3.8-9 Floodplains Crossed by the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.8-54
Table 3.8-10 Floodplain Crossings and Retaining Walls – Water Surface Elevation Comparison .....	3.8-60
Table 3.8-11 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.8-69
Table 3.8-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources .....	3.8-71
Table 3.9-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Geology, Soils, and Seismicity.....	3.9-5
Table 3.9-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Paleontological Resources .....	3.9-6
Table 3.9-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.9-8
Table 3.9-4 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Sensitivity Categories .....	3.9-14
Table 3.9-5 Summary of Geologic Units within the General Geology and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area .....	3.9-21
Table 3.9-6 Properties of Major Soil Types in the Resource Study Area .....	3.9-23
Table 3.9-7 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults Near or Crossing the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.9-29
Table 3.9-8 Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area .....	3.9-34
Table 3.9-9 Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area .....	3.9-35
Table 3.9-10 Significant Seismic Events in Southern California.....	3.9-35
Table 3.9-11 Mining Facilities near the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.9-40
Table 3.9-12 Paleontological Sensitivity Evaluation of Geologic Units in the Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area.....	3.9-42
Table 3.9-13 Geologic Units Sensitive to Paleontological Resources Potentially Affected by Development of Project Section Components.....	3.9-59
Table 3.9-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources .....	3.9-71
Table 3.10-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.10-9

Table 3.10-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.10-12
Table 3.10-3 Ranking Applied to Potential Environmental Concern Sites in the Resource Study Area.....	3.10-15
Table 3.10-4 Waste Disposal Sites in the Resource Study Area.....	3.10-19
Table 3.10-5 Educational Facilities in the Resource Study Area.....	3.10-30
Table 3.10-6 Potential Environmental Concern Properties in the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Project Footprint .....	3.10-36
Table 3.10-7 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.10-47
Table 3.10-8 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Wastes.....	3.10-51
Table 3.11-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.11-10
Table 3.11-3 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Areas .....	3.11-19
Table 3.11-4 Fire Departments within the Resource Study Areas.....	3.11-27
Table 3.11-5 Wildfire Activity within Los Angeles County .....	3.11-28
Table 3.11-6 Law Enforcement Jurisdictions within the Resource Study Areas .....	3.11-29
Table 3.11-7 Emergency Response Plans for Jurisdictions within the Resource Study Areas .....	3.11-31
Table 3.11-8 Evacuation Routes within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions .....	3.11-33
Table 3.11-9 2016 Crime Rates in the Region.....	3.11-35
Table 3.11-10 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Victims Killed or Injured within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions, 2016 .....	3.11-43
Table 3.11-11 Adopted Bicycle Master Plans within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions .....	3.11-43
Table 3.11-12 Airports and Heliports within the Resource Study Areas.....	3.11-44
Table 3.11-13 Government Buildings within the Resource Study Area.....	3.11-47
Table 3.11-14 Landfills and Waste Disposal Sites within 0.25 Mile of the Project Footprint.	3.11-49
Table 3.11-15 Road Closures .....	3.11-70
Table 3.11-16 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Safety and Security .....	3.11-79
Table 3.12-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.12-5
Table 3.12-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.12-11
Table 3.12-3 State and Region Population Growth (2000–2040).....	3.12-20
Table 3.12-4 City Population Growth (2010–2040) .....	3.12-21
Table 3.12-5 Neighborhood Council Area Population (Census 2010).....	3.12-21
Table 3.12-6 State and Region Minority Group Representation .....	3.12-22
Table 3.12-7 City Minority Group Representation (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-22
Table 3.12-8 Neighborhood Council Area Minority Group Representation (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-22
Table 3.12-9 Region Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-23

Table 3.12-10 City Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-24
Table 3.12-11 Neighborhood Council Area Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-24
Table 3.12-12 Regional Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-25
Table 3.12-13 City Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-25
Table 3.12-14 Neighborhood Council Area Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-26
Table 3.12-15 Regional Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-26
Table 3.12-16 City Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).3.12-27	
Table 3.12-17 Neighborhood Council Area Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-27
Table 3.12-18 Regional Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-28
Table 3.12-19 City Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-29
Table 3.12-20 Neighborhood Council Area Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-29
Table 3.12-21 State and Regional Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-30
Table 3.12-22 City Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-30
Table 3.12-23 Neighborhood Council Area Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-30
Table 3.12-24 City Community Cohesion Indicators (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-31
Table 3.12-25 Neighborhood Council Area Community Cohesion Indicators (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-32
Table 3.12-26 Regional Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-33
Table 3.12-27 Regional Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..3.12-33	
Table 3.12-28 State and Regional Foreclosure Rates.....	3.12-34
Table 3.12-29 City Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) ....	3.12-34
Table 3.12-30 City Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-35
Table 3.12-31 City Foreclosure Rates .....	3.12-35
Table 3.12-32 Neighborhood Council Area Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-35
Table 3.12-33 Neighborhood Council Area Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-36
Table 3.12-34 Neighborhood Council Area Existing Businesses .....	3.12-37

Table 3.12-35 Utility Providers within the Population and Community Impacts Resource Study Areas .....	3.12-39
Table 3.12-36 Proposed and Existing Bikeways within the Direct Resource Study Area .....	3.12-43
Table 3.12-37 State and Regional Unemployment (November 2017).....	3.12-44
Table 3.12-38 Employment by Industry in Los Angeles County (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .....	3.12-44
Table 3.12-39 City Unemployment (November 2017) .....	3.12-45
Table 3.12-40 City Employment by Industry (2010–2014 American Community Survey) ....	3.12-45
Table 3.12-41 Local Government Revenues in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (Fiscal Year 2014–2015) .....	3.12-47
Table 3.12-42 School Districts in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-47
Table 3.12-43 Residential Displacements under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.12-57
Table 3.12-44 Number of Residential Units Available for Relocation.....	3.12-57
Table 3.12-45 Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Relocations under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.12-59
Table 3.12-46 Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Displacements by City and Category .....	3.12-59
Table 3.12-47 Available Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Properties for Lease and Sale.	3.12-59
Table 3.12-48 Gap Analysis of Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Displacements under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.12-60
Table 3.12-49 Regional Business Vacancy Rates in Percent .....	3.12-60
Table 3.12-50 Sensitive Populations in Areas of Residential Displacements and Los Angeles County .....	3.12-62
Table 3.12-51 Temporary Impacts on Community Facilities from Construction.....	3.12-63
Table 3.12-52 Permanent Impacts on Community Facilities from Construction.....	3.12-65
Table 3.12-53 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-66
Table 3.12-54 Estimated Permanent Changes in Sales Tax Revenue (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-67
Table 3.12-56 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts .....	3.12-68
Table 3.12-57 School District Revenue Losses .....	3.12-69
Table 3.12-58 Types of Jobs Created by the HSR Built Alternative Operation and Maintenance .....	3.12-76
Table 3.12-59 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.12-85
Table 3.12-60 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomics and Communities .....	3.12-88
Table 3.13-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.13-4
Table 3.13-2 Definition of Resource Study Area.....	3.13-8
Table 3.13-3 Temporary Conversion of Existing Land Uses .....	3.13-50
Table 3.13-4 Temporary Conversions of Planned Land Uses.....	3.13-51
Table 3.13-5 Permanent Conversions of Existing Land Uses .....	3.13-55

Table 3.13-6 Permanent Conversion of Planned Land Uses .....	3.13-57
Table 3.13-7 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-70
Table 3.15-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.15-4
Table 3.15-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.15-11
Table 3.15-3 Parks and Recreational Resources in the Resource Study Area for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	3.15-22
Table 3.15-4 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.15-53
Table 3.15-5 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .....	3.15-55
Table 3.15-6 Summary by Resource of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .....	3.15-56
Table 3.16-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.16-4
Table 3.16-2 Definition of Resource Study Area .....	3.16-6
Table 3.16-3 Existing Viewer Groups and Preferences .....	3.16-18
Table 3.16-4 Upper San Fernando Valley Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality.....	3.16-20
Table 3.16-5 Lower San Fernando Valley Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality.....	3.16-30
Table 3.16-6 Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality .....	3.16-37
Table 3.16-7 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Impacts at Key Viewpoints .....	3.16-47
Table 3.16-8 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.16-101
Table 3.16-9 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality .....	3.16-103
Table 3.17-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.17-8
Table 3.17-2 Inconsistency with Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	3.17-10
Table 3.17-3 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates .....	3.17-12
Table 3.17-4 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Agency and Other Interested Consulting Parties .....	3.17-13
Table 3.17-5 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties .....	3.17-17
Table 3.17-6 Consulting Parties in the Preparation of the Memorandum of Agreement.....	3.17-21
Table 3.17-7 Definition of Resource Study Areas (Areas of Potential Effect) .....	3.17-22
Table 3.17-8 Previously Identified Archaeological Resources within and Immediately Adjacent to the Area of Potential Effects.....	3.17-45
Table 3.17-9 Previously Identified Built Resources Listed on or Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places within the Area of Potential Effects .....	3.17-49
Table 3.17-10 Newly Identified Built Resources within the Area of Potential Effects Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places .....	3.17-54
Table 3.17-11 Built Resources Significant under CEQA .....	3.17-58
Table 3.17-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects .....	3.17-85
Table 3.17-13 Summary of Effects under the National Historic Preservation Act .....	3.17-88

Table 3.17-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources .....	3.17-90
Table 3.18-1 Regional and Local Plans .....	3.18-5
Table 3.18-2 Definition of Resource Study Area.....	3.18-6
Table 3.18-3 Regional Employment by Industry for Los Angeles County .....	3.18-11
Table 3.18-4 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections, 2017 and 2040.....	3.18-11
Table 3.18-5 Unemployment (Annual Average, 2010, 2015 & 2017).....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-6 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area, 2000–2010.....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-7 Population Projections in the Resource Study Area, 2010–2040.....	3.18-13
Table 3.18-8 Existing and Projected Housing Units .....	3.18-13
Table 3.18-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Employment Growth During Construction (in Job-Years) .....	3.18-15
Table 3.18-10 Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs by 2040 (in Annual Job-Years) .....	3.18-17
Table 3.18-11 Regional Projected and Induced Operations and Maintenance Employment Growth .....	3.18-17
Table 3.18-12 Regional Projected and Induced Population Growth.....	3.18-18
Table 3.18-13 Regional Projected and Induced Housing Growth.....	3.18-20
Table 3.19-1 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area (2000–2010) .....	3.19-6
Table 3.19-2 Cumulative Transportation and Transit Project List.....	3.19-14
Table 3.19-3 Cumulative Development Project List.....	3.19-15
Table 3.19-4 Cumulative Utility Project List .....	3.19-16
Table 3.19-5 Cumulative Bridge Preventive Maintenance Project List.....	3.19-16
Table 3.19-6 Cumulative Sewer Project List.....	3.19-17
Table 3.19-7 Population Projections for Counties and Cities Traversed by the Burbank to Los Angeles Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project, 2010–2040.....	3.19-18
Table 3.19-8 Definition of Cumulative Resource Study Areas.....	3.19-19
Table 3.19-9 Summary of Cumulative Construction Impacts .....	3.19-84
Table 3.19-10 Summary of Cumulative Operations Impacts .....	3.19-87
Figure 4-28 Project Footprint near Paseo del Río .....	4-78
Table 4-1 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of Park and Recreation Resources .....	4-81
Table 4-2 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of National Register of Historic Places Listed or Eligible Properties.....	4-96
Table 4-3 Measures to Minimize Harm .....	4-104
Table 5-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies .....	5-6
Table 5-2 Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area .....	5-10
Table 5-3 Comparison of U.S. Census Poverty Threshold and Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines .....	5-12
Table 5-4 Population within 0.5 Mile of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	5-13
Table 5-5 Specific Outreach Efforts .....	5-14

Table 5-6 Environmental Justice Reference Community (American Community Survey 2010–2014).....	5-15
Table 5-7 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (American Community Survey 2010–2014).....	5-15
Table 5-8 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Environmental Justice Targeted Outreach Activity (August 2015–May 2021).....	5-35
Table 5-9 Displacements within the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area .....	5-48
Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the Burbank to Los Angeles Alternative (2020\$ in millions) .....	6-4
Table 6-2 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs for Phase 1 (2015\$ in millions) .....	6-8
Table 6-3 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the High-Speed Rail Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2015\$ in millions) .....	6-8
Table 8-1 Key Issues Considered during Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS.....	8-5
Table 8-2 Benefits of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative .....	8-18
Table 9-1 Public Scoping Notice Publication Information .....	9-4
Table 9-2 Key Issues Considered During Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS .....	9-5
Table 9-3 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Outreach Activity .....	9-18

**This page intentionally left blank**